Mantu Copy of E

HARYANA STATE POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD



MINUTES

of the

180 th Meeting of the Board.

held on 8-06-2018 at 2.30.pm.

PANCHKULA

HARYANA STATE POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD C-11, SECTOR 6, PANCHKULA. Ph. No. 0172-2577870-873

No. HSPCB/Estt./2018/EG-36/ 4638-49

Dated: 12-06-2018

To

- Ancies. The Chairman. Haryana State Pollution Control Board, Panchkula.
- 2. . The Director. Environment & Climate Change Department, Haryana, SCO No. 1-3, Sector 17-D, Chandigarh.
- 3. The Director. Urban Local Bodies, Haryana, Bays No. 11-14, Sector-4, Panchkula.
- 4. The Principal Chief Conservator of Forests, Haryana, Panchkula.
- The Transport Commissioner, Haryana, 5. 30-Bays Building, Chandigarh.
- 6. The Engineer-in-Chief, Haryana, Public Health Engineering Department, Panchkula.
- 7. The Managing Director, Haryana State Industrial and Infrastructure Development Corporation, Panchkula.
- 8. The Managing Director, Haryana Power Generation Corporation, Panchkula
- 9. Sh. Arvind Kapoor, MD, Rico Auto Industries. 38KM Stone Delhi-Jaipur Highway, Gurgaon
- Shri Sunil Rao. S/o Rao Jaswant Singh, EX MLA. H No. 444, Sector-3, Urban Estate. Rewan.
- Sh. Tarun Yaday. VPO Palawas, Bohra Bhawan Jherigarh, Tehsil Kosli, District Rewari.

 The Member Secretary.
 Haryana State Pollution Control Board, Panchkula.

Subject:

Minutes of the 180th meeting of the Haryana State Pollution Control Board held on 08.06.2018.

Kindly refer to this office letter No. HSPCB/Estt./2018/EG-36/4534-45 dated 04.06.2018 on the subject cited above.

Please find enclosed the Minutes of the 180th meeting of the Board held on 08.06.2018 for information and necessary action.

DAIAs above.

3. [alayard]

MEMBER SECRETARY

Endst. No. HSPCB/Estt./2018/EG-36/ 4 650

Dated: 12-06-2018

A copy of the above is forwarded to the Additional Chief Secretary to Government of Haryana, Environment & Climate Change Department, Chandigarh for information with reference to this office letter Endst. No. HSPCB/Estt./2018/EG-36/4546 dated 04.06.2018.

MEMBER SECRETARY 12/6

Endst. No. HSPCB/Estt./2018/EG-36/ 4651

Dated: 12 -06-2018

A copy of the above is forwarded to Sh. Shaleen, IAS, Additional Secretary, Finance, Finance Department, Haryana, Chandigarh for information with reference to this office letter Endst. No. HSPCB/Estt./2018/EG-36/4547 dated 04.06.2018.

MEMBER SECRETARY

Minutes of 180th meeting of the Haryana State Pollution Control Board, Panchkula, held at 2.30 P.M. on 08.06.2018 under the Chairmanship of Sh. Ashok Kheterpal, Chairman, Haryana State Pollution Control Board, Panchkula.

The 180th meeting of the Haryana State Pollution Control Board was convened at 2.30 P.M. on 08.06.2018 in its Conference Room under the Chairmanship of Sh. Ashok Kheterpal, Chairman, HSPCB. The list of participants is at Annexure-I. Leave of absence was granted to the Members who could not attend the meeting. The Member Secretary of the Board welcomed all the participants and presented the agenda items before the Board. A detailed discussion was held on all the agenda items and the minutes of the meeting are presented as below.

Agenda Item No. 180.1

Confirmation of the minutes of 179th meeting of the Haryana State Pollution Control Board.

Minutes of 179th meeting were confirmed.

Agenda Item No. 180.2

Action taken on the minutes of the 179th meeting of the Haryana State Pollution Control Board held on 28.03.2018.

The Board members were apprised of the action taken on the decisions taken in the 179th meeting and the same were noted.

Agenda Item No. 180.3

Extension of deputation period of Assistant Executive Engineers of HPGCL regarding-S/Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Lalit Malik and Sachin Narwal.

The Agenda Note was noted.

Agenda Item No. 180.4

Estt. Dr. Jai Bhagwan, Scientist 'C' - Retention in service beyond the age of 55 years.

The Agenda Note was noted.

Agenda Item No. 180.5

Clearance of Probation Period of Sh. Bhupinder Singh Chahal, Environmental Engineer, Haryana State Pollution Control Board, Regional Office, Panipat.

The Agenda Note was noted.

Agenda Item No. 180.6

Review of Annual Confidential Report for the year 2013-14 (01.04.2013 to 07.02.2014)—Sh. Virender Singh Punia, the then Assistant Environmental Engineer (now Environmental Engineer).

The matter was discussed elaborately and it was observed that the process of dealing of the representation of applicant was not given due diligence and the representation for expunging the adverse remarks submitted by Sh. Virender Singh Punia, the then AEE was considered and his overall assessment was upgraded as 'Good' by the Board.

Agenda Item No. 180.7

Review of Annual Confidential Report for the year 2013-14-Smt. Poonam Langayan Sandilya, Assistant Environmental Enigneer (HQ).

The matter was discussed elaborately and it was observed that the process of dealing of the representation of applicant was not given due diligence and the representation for expunging the adverse remarks submitted by Smt. Poonam Langayan Sandilya, the then AEE was considered and her overall assessment was upgraded as 'Good' by the Board.

Agenda Item No. 180.8

Proposed Amendments in Haryana State Pollution Control Board (Group A, B, C and D) Service Regulations, 2004.

The proposal contained in Agenda Note was approved.

Agenda Item No. 180.9

Promotion to the post of Senior Scientist.

The proposal contained in Agenda Note was discussed and it was approved that Dr. P.K.M.K.Das, Scientist 'C' may be promoted to the post of Senior Scientist in the Functional Pay Level (FPL)-14 i.e. Rs. 1,18,500/- (minimum) of 7th Revised Pay Package Formulation (RPPF).

Agenda Item No. 180.10

Increase of Permanent Imprest allotted to all Regional Offices & Laboratories.

The proposal contained in Agenda Note was approved.

Agenda Item No. 180.11

Installation of 22 Manual Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Stations in different Districts of Haryana i.e. Mahendergarh, Bhiwani, Mewat, Rohtak, Sonipat, Rewari, Jhajjar, Panipat, Palwal, Jind and Karnal.

The proposal contained in Agenda Note was approved.

Agenda Item No. 180.12

Imposing Prohibition on installation of new Pyrolysis Plants in the State.

The proposal contained in Agenda Note was approved. However, it was suggested by the Board that the study as proposed in the agenda may be completed within a time

bound manner as soon as possible to avoid the scope of illegal establishment of such type of units in the State.

Agenda Item No. 180.13

Delegation of power for inspection of industries at the time of grant of 1st CTO at the Regional Officers of the Board.

The proposal contained in Agenda Note was approved.

Agenda Item No. 180.14 (S)

Sanction for recruitment of Consultant for a period of six months having experitise in Environmental Science.

The proposal contained in Agenda Note was approved. However, it was also suggested & approved by the Board of Directors that period of engagement of Consultant may be enhanced from six months to one year which may be further extendable for another period on year to year basis of requirement of the Board and performamnce of the professional.

Agenda Item No. 180.15 (S)

For delegation of power to the ROs for grant/ refusal of authorization cases under, E-waste Management Rules, 2016.

The proposal contained in Agenda Note was approved. However, it was also suggested by the Board of Directors that awareness programmes may also be organized through Workshop / Meetings with Enterpreneurs of relevant field for proper implementation of E-waste (Management) Rules, 2016.

Agenda Item No. 180.16 (S)

Extension of existing stone crushing zone of Khanak, District - Bhiwani.

After detailed discussion, it was decided to defer the matter for next meeting and a detailed report be put up regarding requirement with justification of expansion in existing zone including the details of units operating outside the zone, existing area, gaps/area available in the existing zone, proposed area of exapansion, no. of units existing in the proposed zone and proposed number of units to be shifted to expanded zone. Also in addition to this, a detailed plan of new zone may be put up for examination of the matter in the interest of Environment as well as to cope up from existing circumstances.

Agenda Item No. 180.17 (S)

Procedure for grant / refusal of registration cases under, Plastic Waste Management Rules, 2016.

The proposal contained in Agenda Note was approved.

Agenda Item No. 180.18 (S)

Installation of 05 Nos Continuous Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Station (CAAQMS) (03 in Faridabad and 2 in Gurugram in Haryana).

The proposal contained in Agenda Note was approved.

Agenda Item No. 180.19 (S)

Proposal for revision of the existing fee structure of Board for obtaining consent to establish and consent to operate fee under Water Act, 1974 and Air Act, 1981 for the various industries / projects / legally constituted bodies / service sector.

Agenda item was approved and the Board agreed with the proposal to forward the same to Government for its consideration and approval. However, it was also suggested by the Board of Directors that the provisions for enhancement of fees on a regular year to year basis which specific increment may be considered.

Agenda Item No. 180.20 (S)

Addendum to Agenda Item No. 180.9 – Promotion to the post of Senior Scientist of Dr. P.K.M.K. Das, Scientist 'C'.

After examination of the facts of this agenda item, the promotion of Dr. P.K.M.K. Das, Scientist 'C' to the post of Senior Scientist as proposed in Agenda Item No. 180.9 was approved.

Agenda Item No. 180.21 (S)

Strengthening of Haryana State Pollution Control Board with additional Regional Offices, Zonal Offices and supervisory post in the rank of Chief Environmental Engineer in Head Office.

Agenda item approved and the Board agreed with the proposal to forward the same to Government for its consideration and approval.



ANNEXURE-I

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

- Sh. Ashok Kheterpal, Chairman, Haryana State Pollution Control Board, Panchkula.
- Sh. Nitin Kumar Yadav, IAS,
 Director,
 Urban Local Bodies, Haryana,
 Bays No. 11-14, Sector-4, Panchkula.
- Sh. S.M. Somashekar, IFS, Principal Chief Conservator of Forests, Forest Department, Haryana, Panchkula.
- Sh. Manpal Singh, Engineer-in-Chief, Public Health Engineering Department, Haryana, Panchkula.
- Sh. Vijay Kumar, Additional Transport (Commissioner), on behalf of Transport Commissioner, Haryana, 30-Bays Building, Chandigarh.
- Sh. Anoop Kumar, Senior Manager (IA), on behalf of Managing Director, Haryana State Industrial & Infrastructure Dev. Corprn. Ltd., Panchkula.
- 7. Sh. S.K. Khungar,
 Chief Engineer/Planning,
 on behalf of Managing Director,
 Haryana Power Generation Corporation Ltd.,
 Panchkula.
- Sh. S. Narayanan, IFS, Member Secretary, Haryana State Pollution Control Board, Panchkula.

Agenda 180.19(S)

Proposal for revision of the existing fee structure of Board for obtaining consent to establish and consent to operate fee under Water Act, 1974 and Air Act, 1981 for the various industries/projects/ legally constituted bodies/ service sector.

The Board is charging the consent fees/annual consent fees prescribed as per notification no. G.S.R.90/CA6/74/S.64/97 dated 5th December 1997 and amendment vide notification, dated 6th April 1998, dated 25th Jan, 1999, dated 3th May, 2002, dated 20th September 2002, and dated 4th October, 2007, issued by Government of Haryana, Environment Department, which has been incorporated in Haryana Water (Prevention and Control of Water Pollution) Rules, 1978 notified vide notification no. GSR-125/C.A.6/74/S.64/78 dated 22.12.1978 as amended till date and in Haryana Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Rules 1983 notification no. G.S.R. 73/C.A.14/81/S. 54/83 dated 15.12.1983 as amended till date.

A committee of the officers of the Board was constituted vide order Endst. no. 3907-3911 dated 09.05.2017, for preparing the proposal for revision in the fee structure for obtaining consent to establish and consent to operate under Water Act, 1974 and Air Act, 1981. The proposal prepared by the committee was discussed on 31.05.2018 by the worthy Member Secretary alongwith the officers of Head Office and after incorporating the suggestions the revised proposal was prepared and submitted to the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) of the Board for deliberation and recommendation. The TAC in its meeting held on 04.06.2018, has agreed with the proposal submitted by the Nodal Officer of the committee and the same has been approved by the competent authority on dated 06.06.2018 which is at Annexure-1.

In the proposal Annexure-A is revised fee structure proposed for consent to establish/ consent to operate under Water Act, 1974 and Air Act, 1981. The Annexure-B of the proposal, consists the comparison of existing fee structure and new fee structure proposed. The Annexure-C of the proposal, consists the comparison of the new proposed fee structure with consent fee of other State Pollution Control Boards i.e. Punjab. Rajasthan & Uttar Pradesh. The various aspects which have been taken into account due to which the fee revision is necessitated are given as per Annexure-D.

The salient features/recommendations of the committee are outlined as under:-

- 1. The committee has referred the fee structures of 03 nos. adjacent State Pollution Control Boards i.e. Punjab, Rajasthan & Uttar Pradesh.
- 2. The committee has pointed out the various points of justification required for the revision in the existing fee structure, which is submitted as per Annexure-D.
- 3. In the existing fee structure the Consent to Establish & Consent to Operate for the industries have been prescribed under two categories i.e. for highly

polluting and other than highly polluting except the units where the fee has been specifically prescribed. By office orders, the Board is charging CTE/CTO fee from the Red category units as highly polluting units and from the orange & green category units as other than highly polluting units. The committee has proposed the separate fee slabs for Red, Orange & Green category of industries as per categorization done by CPCB.

- 4. In the existing fee structure, the Board is charging Consent to Establish fee from various units either under Water Act or Air Act, whereas the categorization of the industries made by the CPCB which is being followed by the HSPCB, is under both the Acts i.e. Water Act & Air Act. So the committee has proposed the Consent to Establish fee from the various units under both Acts. The one of the State Pollution Control Board out of 03 nos. PCBs whose fee structure has been referred is already charging Consent to Establish fee under both Acts.
- 5. In the existing fee structure, the highest fee slab is above 100 crore. The investment cost of the industries has been increased many fold due to increase in the value of land, cost of construction and cost of plant & machinery with latest technology, since the last revision of fee i.e. in the year 1997. So, like the fee structures of the referred PCBs, the committee has proposed the fee slabs beyond investment of 100 crores also i.e. 100 to 200 crore, 200 to 500 crore, 500 to 1000 crore and above 1000 crore. Similarly, the lower fee slabs for investment upto 2 Lacs, 2 to 10 Lacs and 10 to 25 Lacs, have been merged in the proposed slab of upto 25 Lacs for charging the Consent to Operate fee.
- 6. The committee has proposed the 50% increase in the existing fee structure for Red & Orange category prescribed/ followed for Consent to Establish for various units upto the investment slab of 100 crore. In the investment slab of 100 to 200 crore, 200 to 500 crore, 500 to 1000 crore & above 1000 crore, the increase in Consent to Establish fee, have been proposed 30%, 60%, 100% & 200% respectively, of the existing fee prescribed for the investment slab above 100 crore. For the Green Category, the fee proposed is approx. 75% of the Consent to Establish fee proposed for the Orange category of industries.
- 7. In the existing, Consent to Operate fee structure for Red & Orange category, the two fee structures have been prescribed i.e. for the first year and for the subsequent years. The committee has proposed the single fee structure for charging the Consent to Operate fee i.e. same for the first year and subsequent years. The committee has not proposed any increase in the Consent to Operate fee upto the investment slab of 100 crore, however the Consent to Operate fee prescribed for the first year in the existing fee structure have been proposed for the subsequent years also. In the investment slab of 100 to 200 crore, 200 to 500 crore, 500 to 1000 crore & above 1000 crore, the increase in Consent to Operate fee, have been proposed 30%, 60%, 100% & 200% respectively, of the existing fee prescribed for the first year for the investment slab above 100 crore. For the Green Category, the Consent to Operate fee proposed is approx. 75% of the fee proposed for the Orange category of industries.

- 8. In the existing fee structure the separate fee slabs are prescribed under Water Act & Air Act for mining activities. The committee has proposed the same fee slabs under both Acts, as per existing fee slab prescribed under Haryana Water Rules and the Consent to Operate fee prescribed for the first year under Water Rules is proposed for the consecutive years also under both Acts i.e. Water Act & Air Act. The same slabs and fee has also been proposed for charging the CTE fee under both the Acts.
- 9. In the existing fee structure no separate fee slabs are prescribed for Hotels, Health Care Facilities, and Construction Projects. The Committee has proposed the separate fee slabs for charging Consent to Establish & Consent to Operate fee from such category of units considering the categorization of industries by CPCB.
- 10. As per existing fee structure different fee slabs have been prescribed for Stone Crushers, Brick Kilns, Hot Mix Plants, Pulverisers & Screening plants under Water Act or Air Act. The committee has proposed the same fee slabs for all these category of units for charging Consent to Establish and Consent to Operate under both Acts.

The proposal for revision of fee for obtaining consent to establish and consent to operate under Water Act, 1974 and Air Act, 1981 as per Annexure-A is submitted for approval of the Board so that the same can be submitted to Government for approval and notification



Proposal for revision of the existing fee structure of Board for obtaining consent to establish and consent to operate fee under Water Act, 1974 and Air Act, 1981 for the various industries/projects/ legally constituted bodies/ service sector.

As per orders dated 04.05.2017 issued vide endst. no. 3907-3911 dated 09.05.2017, a committee of the officers of the Board was constituted for preparing the proposal for revision in the fee structure for obtaining consent to establish and consent to operate fees under Water Act, 1974 and Air Act, 1981. Also CPCB vide letter No. B-29012/IPC-Vi/2016-17/24758 dated 20.03.2017 advised the Pollution Control Boards/Committees to follow the definition of, The Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises (MSME) as per, The Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises Development Act, 2006 (MSMED Act, 2006) for charging the consent fees. The committee comprises of following members:

- 1. Sh. Nirmal Kumar, EE (HQ) (Member Convener)
- 2. Sh. Satbir Singh, Distt. Attorney
- 3. Sh. Vînay Gautam, RO(PKL)
- 4. Sh. Vikas Chand, AEE(HQ)

Presently the Board is charging the consent fees/annual consent fees as per notification no. G.S.R.90/CA6/74/S.64/97 dated 5th December 1997 and amendment vide notification, dated 6th April 1998, dated 25th Jan, 1999, dated 3rd May, 2002, dated 20th September 2002, and dated 4th October, 2007, which is incorporated in Haryana Water (Prevention and Control of Water Pollution) Rules, 1978 notified vide notification no. GSR-125/C.A.6/74/S.64/78 dated 22.12.1978 (Amended till date) under Water Act (Prevention and Control of Pollution), 1974 and in Haryana Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Rules 1983 notification no. G.S.R. 73/C.A.14/81/S. 54/83 dated 15.12.1983 (amended till date) under Air Act (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981 of Environment Department Govt. of Haryana

A proposal of fee revision was prepared by Committee and submitted for consideration of Technical Advisory Committee. The recommendations of TAC meeting was circulated vide letter no. 4076-88 dated 20.04.2018. In the TAC meeting it was decided to compare the free structures of the other State Pollution Control Boards and provide the proper justification for revision in the existing consent fee structure.

The proposal of the committee constituted vide orders dated 4.5.2017, was submitted to the Competent Authority on dated 31.5.2018 and as per directions, a meeting of the following officers was called under chairmanship of Worthy Member Secretary on dated 1.6.2018, for discussing the proposal submitted by the committee.

- 1. Sh. S.P.S.Rathi, Sr. EE-1 (HQ)
- 2. Sh. Chand Saini, Sr. EE-II (HQ)
- 3. Sh. Nirmal Kumar, EE (HQ)
- 4. Sh. Satbir Singh, Distt. Attorney
- 5. Sh. Virender Punia, EE (CDC)
- 6. Vikas Chand, AEE (HQ)
- 7. Smt. Pinki Jangra, Sc-B
- 8. Sh. Ramesh Kumar, S.O. (Accounts)

The proposal submitted by the committee discussed in depth and some suggestions were proposed which have been incorporated and the revised proposal was prepared and submitted.

Further, the salient features/ recommendations of the committee are outlined as under:-

 The committee has referred the fee structures of 03 nos. adjacent State Pollysion Control Boards i.e. Punjab, Rajasthan & Uttar Pradesh.

M. P

22-

Agrin

- f

- The committee has pointed out the various points of justification required for the revision in the existing fee structure, which is submitted as per Annexure-
- 3. In the existing fee structure the Consent to Establish & Consent to Operate for the industries have been prescribed under two categories i.e. for highly polluting and other than highly polluting except the units where the fee has been specifically prescribed. By office orders, the Board is charging CTE/CTO fee from the Red category units as highly polluting units and from the orange & green category units as other than highly polluting units. The committee has proposed the separate fee slabs for Red, Orange & Green category of industries as per categorization done by CPCB.
- 4. In the existing fee structure, the Board is charging Consent to Establish fee from various units either under Water Act or Air Act, whereas the categorization of the industries made by the CPCB which is being followed by the HSPCB, is under both the Acts i.e. Water Act & Air Act. So the committee has proposed the Consent to Establish fee from the various units under both Acts. The one of the State Pollution Control Board out of 03 nos. PCBs whose fee structure has been referred is already charging Consent to Establish fee under both Acts.
- 5. In the existing fee structure, the highest fee slab is above 100 crore. The investment cost of the industries had been increased many fold due to increase in the value of land, cost of construction and cost of plant & machinery with latest technology, since the last revision of fee i.e. in the year 1997. So, like the fee structures of the referred PCBs, the committee has proposed the fee slabs beyond investment of 100 crores also i.e. 100 to 200 crore, 200 to 500 crore, 500 to 1000 crore and above 1000 crore. Similarly, the lower fee slabs for investment upto 2 Lacs, 2 to 10 Lacs and 10 to 25 Lacs, have been merged in the proposed slab of upto 25 Lacs for charging the Consent to Operate fee.
- 6. The committee has proposed the 50% increase in the existing fee structure for Red & Orange category prescribed/ followed for Consent to Establish for various units upto the investment slab of 100 crore. In the investment slab of 100 to 200 crore, 200 to 500 crore, 500 to 1000 crore & above 1000 crore, the increase in Consent to Establish fee, have been proposed 30%, 60%, 100% & 200% respectively, of the existing fee prescribed for the investment slab above 100 crore. For the Green Category, the fee proposed is approx. 75% of the Consent to Establish fee proposed for the Orange category of industries.
- 7. In the existing. Consent to Operate fee structure for Red & Orange category, the two fee structures have been prescribed i.e. for the first year and for the subsequent years. The committee has proposed the single fee structure for charging the Consent to Operate fee i.e. same for the first year and subsequent years. The committee has not proposed any increase in the Consent to Operate fee upto the investment slab of 100 crore, however the Consent to Operate fee prescribed for the first year in the existing fee structure have been proposed for the subsequent years also. In the investment slab of 100 to 200 crore, 200 to 500 crore, 500 to 1000 crore & above 1000 crore, the increase in Consent to Operate fee, have been proposed 30%, 60%, 100% & 200% respectively, of the existing fee prescribed for the first year for the investment slab above 100 crore. For the Green Category, the Consent to Operate fee proposed is approx. 75% of the fee proposed for the Orange category of industries.
- 8. In the existing fee structure the separate fee slabs are prescribed under Water Act & Air Act for mining activities. The committee has proposed the same fee slabs under both Acts, as per existing fee slab prescribed under Haryana Water Rules and the Consent to Operate fee prescribed for the first year under Water Rules is proposed for the consecutive years also under both Acts i.e. Water Act & Air Act. The same slabs and fee has also been proposed for charging the CTE fee under both the Acts.
- 9. In the existing fee structure no separate fee alabs are prescribed for Hotels, Health Care Facilities, and Construction Projects. The Committee has proposed the separate fee slabs for charging Consent to Establish & Consent to Operate

M. 2

2- 29

·st-

	Crores	360
I)	Exceeding Rs. 0.02 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.10 Crores	1125
mì	Investment upto 0.02 crores	300


3. Proposed CTE fee Structure for Green category under Water Act, 1974

Sr. No.	Proposed slabs of Capital Investment cost	Consent to establish/NOC fee (CTE) in Rupees (Green CATEGORY)
- 1	Exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	78750
b)	Exceeding Rs. 500 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	52500
c)	Exceeding Rs. 200 crores but not exceeding Rs. 500 crores	42000
d)	Exceeding Rs. 100 crores but not exceeding Rs. 200 crores	35000
e)	Exceeding Rs. 50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 100 crores	22500
ħ	Exceeding Rs. 10.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 50.0 crores	13500
g)	Exceeding Rs. 3.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 10 crore	9000
h)	Exceeding Rs. 1.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 3.0 crore	6400
i)	Exceeding Rs. 0.50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1.0 Crores	5000
j)	Exceeding Rs. 0.25 crores but not exceeding Rs.0.50 Crores	2800
k)	Exceeding Rs. 0.10 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.25 Crores	1700
1)	Exceeding Rs. 0.02 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.10 Crores	850
m)	Investment upto 0.02 crores	225

B) 1. Proposed CTO fee Structure for red category under Water Act, 1974.

Sr. No.	Proposed slabs of Capital Investment cost	Consent to Operate fee (CTO) in Rupees (RED CATEGORY)
a)	Exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	450000
bì	Exceeding Rs. 500 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	300000
c)	Exceeding Rs. 200 crores but not exceeding Rs. 500 crores	210000
d)	Exceeding Rs. 100 crores but not exceeding Rs. 200 crores	195000
	Exceeding Rs. 50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 100 crores	120000
ŋ	Exceeding Rs. 10 crores but not exceeding Rs. 50 crores	90000
g)	Exceeding Rs. 3.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 10 crore	60000
h)	Exceeding Rs. 1.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 3.0 crore	30000
i)	Exceeding Rs. 0.50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1.0 Crores	15000
i)	Exceeding Rs. 0.25 crores but not exceeding Rs.0.50 Crores	6000
k)		2000

m. 2 8



2. Proposed CTO fee Structure for Orange category under Water Act, 1974...

Sr. No.	Proposed slabs of Capital Investment cost	Consent to Operate fee (CTO) in Rupees (Orange CATEGORY)
3)	Exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	150000
b)	Exceeding Rs. 500 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	100000
c)	Exceeding Rs. 200 crores but not exceeding Rs. 500 crores	80000
d)	Exceeding Rs. 100 crores but not exceeding Rs. 200 crores	65000
e)	Exceeding Rs. 50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 100 crores	40000
ŋ	Exceeding Rs. 10 crores but not exceeding Rs. 50 crores	30000
g)	Exceeding Rs. 3.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 10 crore	20000
h)	Exceeding Rs. 1.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 3.0 crore	10000
i)	Exceeding Rs. 0.50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1.0 Crores	5000
Û	Exceeding Rs. 0.25 crores but not exceeding Rs.0.50 Crores	2000
k)	Investment upto 0.25 crores	1000

3. Proposed CTO fee Structure for Green category under Water Act, 1974...

Sr. No.	Proposed slabs of Capital Investment cost	Consert to Operate fee (CTO) in Rupees (Green CATEGORY)
a)	Exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	112500
b)	Exceeding Rs. 500 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	75000
c)	Exceeding Rs. 200 crores but not exceeding Rs. 500 crores	60000
d)	Exceeding Rs. 100 crores but not exceeding Rs. 200 crores	48750
e)	Exceeding Rs. 50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 100 crores	30000
ŋ	Exceeding Rs. 10 crores but not exceeding Rs. 50 crores	22500
g)	Exceeding Rs. 3.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 10 crore	15000
h)	Exceeding Rs. 1.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 3.0 crore	7500
i)	Exceeding Rs. 0.50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1.0 Crores	3750
j)	Exceeding Rs. 0.25 crores but not exceeding Rs.0.50 Crores	1500
k)	Investment upto 0.25 crores	750

Apart from the above there are some legally constituted bodies e.g. Municipal Corporations/Committees/ Public Health/ HUDA/ Industrial Estates, University/ Research/ educational institutions, Common treatment and disposal facilities under Hazardous & Other Waste (Management and Transboundary Movement) Rules 2016, E-Waste (Management) Rules 2016, Bio Medical Waste Management Rules 2016, and Solid Waste Management Rules 2016, and other activities such as Mining Activities, Hospitals, Hotels, Building & Construction projects, infrastructure projects, where the consent to establish and consent to operate fee

fee from such category of units considering the categorization of industries by CPCB.

10. As per existing fee structure different fee slabs have been prescribed for Stone Crushers, Brick Kilns, Hot Mix Plants, Pulverisers & Screening plants under Water Act or Air Act. The committee has proposed the same fee slabs for all these category of units for charging Consent to Establish and Consent to Operate under both Acts.

The revised proposal is submitted as per Annexure-A. In the Annexure-B, the comparison of existing fee structure and proposed fee structure has been done. In Annexure-C, the comparison of the proposed fee structure have been made with other State Pollution Control Boards i.e. Punjab, Rajasthan & Uttar Pradesh. As per Annexure-D, the various aspects have been submitted due to which the fee revision is necessitated.

__ × . ____

M. D. 8 ---

or 1 from

Annexure A

- Consent to establish (NOC/CTE) and Annual consent to operate (CTO) fees under Water Act, 1974:-
 - A) 1. Proposed CTE fee Structure for red category under Water Act, 1974.

Sr. No.	Proposed slabs of Capital Investment cost	Consent to establish/NOC fee (CTE) in Rupees (RED CATEGORY)
a)	Exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	315000
b)	Exceeding Rs. 500 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	210000
c)	Exceeding Rs. 200 crores but not exceeding Rs. 500 crores	168000
0)	Exceeding Rs. 100 crores but not exceeding Rs. 200 crores	136500
e)	Exceeding Rs. 50.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 100.0 crores	90000
ŋ	Exceeding Rs. 10.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 50.0 crores	54000
g)	Exceeding Rs. 3.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 10 crores	36000
h)	Exceeding Rs. 1.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 3.0 crores	26550
i)	Exceeding Rs. 0.50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1.0 Crores	21750
i)	Exceeding Rs. 0.25 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.50 Crores	11250
k)	Exceeding Rs. 0.10 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.25 Crores	6750
1)	Exceeding Rs. 0.02 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.10 Crores	3375
m)	Investment upto 0.02 crores	900

2. Proposed CTE fee Structure for Orange category under Water Act, 1974.

Sr. No.	Proposed slabs of Capital Investment cost	Consent to establish/NOC fee (CTE) in Rupees (Orange CATEGORY)
a)	Exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	105000
(b)	Exceeding Rs. 500 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	70000
c)	Exceeding Rs. 200 crores but not exceeding Rs. 500 crores	56000
0)	Exceeding Rs. 100 crores but not exceeding Rs. 200 crores	45500
e)	Exceeding Rs. 50.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 100.0 crores	30000
n	Exceeding Rs. 10.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 50.0 crores	18000
9)	Exceeding Rs. 3.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 10 crore	. 12000
h)	Exceeding Rs. 1.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 3.0 crore	8550
i)	Exceeding Rs. 0.50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1.0 Crores	6750
i)	Exceeding Rs. 0.25 crores but not exceeding Rs.0.50 Crores	3750
k)	Exceeding Rs. 0.10 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.25	2250

M. X 8



nex a page

need to be categorized considering other relevant factors and the consent to establish and consent to operated fee for this category is proposed as under:-

- C) Consent fee to establish (NOC / CTE) and Consent fees to operate (CTO) for legality constituted bodies and other units not covered above as per I (A) and I (B)
 - Consent fee to establish (NOC / CTE) and Annual Consent fees to operate (CTO) for Mining activities

Sr.	Maning activity	Proposed Consent to establish (NOC/ CTE) & Annual Consent to operate fee (CTO) in Rupees
a)	Units paying license fee! Dead rent/ Royalty per annum not exceeding Rs. 25 lacs	1,50,000
b)	Units paying ficense feel Dead rent/ Royalty per annum exceeding Rs. 25 lacs but not exceeding Rs. 50 lacs	2,25,000
c)	Units paying license fee/ Dead rent/ Royalty per annum exceeding Rs. 50 lacs	3,00,000

Consent fee to establish (NOC / CTE) and Annual Consent fees to operate (CTO) for ii) Hotels

Sr.	Hotel	Proposed Consent to establish (NOC/ CTE) & Annual Consent to operate fee (CTO) in Rupees
a)	Nos. of rooms upto 20	10,000
b)	Nos. of rooms more than 20 but not exceeding 50	20,000
c)	Nos. of rooms more than 50 but not exceeding 100	50,000
d)	No. of rooms more than 100	75,000
e)	Hotels having overall waste generation @ 100 KLD and more or Five Star Hotel (Irrespective of no. of Rooms)	1,00,000

m) Consent fee to establish (NOC / CTE) and Annual Consent fees to operate (CTO) for Health Care Facilities

Sr.	Health Care Facilities	Proposed Consent to establish (NOC/ CTE) & Annual Consent to operate fee (CTO) in Rupees	
a)	Nos. of beds upto 20	1,000	
b)	Nos. of Beds more than 20 but not exceeding 50	5,000	
c)	Nos, of Beds more than 50 but not exceeding 100	35,000	
d)	No. of Beds more than 100	60,000	

*No consent to establish and consent to operate fee will be charged from the Govt. Hospitals/ Health Care Facilities

rese 2 lgm

m & x

. .7 -

Consent fee to establish (NOC / CTE) and Annual Consent fees to operate (CTO) for iv) construction projects

Sr.	Construction projects/ Town Ship/ Area Development projects	Proposed Consent to establish (NOC/	Proposed Annual Consent to operate fee (CTO) in Rupees
a)	Building and construction projects having built up area or covered area more than 20,000 Square meter but not exceeding 150,000 square meter and having waste water generation not exceeding 100 KLD.	100000	50000
b)	Building and construction projects having built up area or covered area more than 20,000 Square meter but not exceeding 150,000 square meter and having waste water generation exceeding 100 KLD	200000	75000
c)	Building and construction projects having built up area exceeding 150,000 square meter or township and area development projects having area more than 50 Hectares but the waste water generation not exceeding 200 KLD	300000	100000
d)	Building and construction projects having built up area exceeding 150,000 square meter or township and area development projects having area more than 50 Hectares and the waste water generation exceeding 200 KLD but not exceeding 500 KLD	400000	150000
e)	Building and construction projects having built up area exceeding 150,000 square meter or township and area development projects having area more than 50 Hactares and the waste water generation exceeding 500 KLD	600000	200000

Consent fee to establish (NOC / CTE) and Consent fees to operate (CTO) for stone Y) crushers, Brick Kilns, Hot Mix Plants, Pulverisers, Screening plants

Sr.		Proposed Consent fee to establish (NOC / CTE) and Annual Consent to operate fee (CTO) in Rupees
a)	Stone Crushers, Brick Kilns, Hot Mix Plants, Pulverisers, Screening plants	10,000

Consent fee to establish (NOC / CTE) and Consent fees to operate (CTO) for legally vi) constituted bodies and other units not covered above as per I (A), I (B), I (C)(ii), I (C)(iii), 1 (C)(iii), 1 (C)(iv) and 1 (C)(v).

Sr. no.	Common facilities	Consent to establish (NOC/ CTE) & Annual Consent to operate fee (CTO) in Rupees
a)	Sewage Treatment Plant (STP) of Govt. Department / Local Bodies	5,000
b)	Industrial Estate	50,000
c)	University / Educational Institutions	5,000 ·
d)	Govt. infrastructure projects such as new highway construction projects, airport and commercial air strips etc.	100000

on 2 8

Consent to establish (NOC/CTE) and Annual consent to operate (CTO) fees under Air Act, 1981:-

A) 1. Proposed CTE fee Structure for red category under Air Act, 1981.

Sr. No.	Proposed slabs of Capital Investment cost	Consent to establish/NOC fee (CTE) in Rupees (RED CATEGORY)
2)	Exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	315000
b)	Exceeding Rs. 500 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	210000
c)	Exceeding Rs. 200 crores but not exceeding Rs. 500 crores	168000
d)	Exceeding Rs. 100 crores but not exceeding Rs. 200 crores	136500
e)	Exceeding Rs. 50.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 100.0 crore	90000
f)	Exceeding Rs. 10.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 50.0 crore	54000
g)	Exceeding Rs. 3.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 10 crore	36000
h)	Exceeding Rs. 1.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 3.0 crore	26550
i)	Exceeding Rs. 0.50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1.0 Crores	21750
j)	Exceeding Rs. 0.25 crores but not exceeding Rs.0.50 Crores	11250
k)	Exceeding Rs. 0.10 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.25 Crores	6750
Ŋ	Exceeding Rs. 0.02 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.10 Crores	3375
m)	Investment upto 0.02 crores	900

2. Proposed CTE fee Structure for Orange category under Air Act, 1981.

Sr. No.	Proposed slabs of Capital Investment cost	Consent to establish/NOC fee (CTE) in Rupees (Orange CATEGORY)
a)	Exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	105000
b)	Exceeding Rs. 500 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1000 crores Exceeding Rs. 200 crores but not exceeding Rs. 500 crores	70000
c)		56000
d)	Exceeding Rs. 100 crores but not exceeding Rs. 200 crores	45500
e)	Exceeding Rs. 50.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 100.0 crores	30000
Ŋ	Exceeding Rs. 10.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 50.0 crores	18000
g)	Exceeding Rs. 3.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 10 crore	12000
h)	Exceeding Rs. 1.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 3.0 crore	8550
i)	Exceeding Rs. 0.50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1.0 Crores	6750
j)	Exceeding Rs. 0.25 crores but not exceeding Rs.0.50 Crores	3750
k)	Exceeding Rs. 0.10 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.25	2250

部.

P

-9-

2250 2

	Crores	
	Exceeding Rs. 0.02 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.10 Crores	1125
m)	Investment upto 0.02 crores	. 300

3. Proposed CTE fee Structure for Green category under Air Act, 1981

Sr. No.	Proposed slabs of Capital Investment cost	Consent to establish/NOC fee (CTE) in Rupees (Green CATEGORY)
a)	Exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	78750
b)	Exceeding Rs. 500 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	52500
c)	Exceeding Rs. 200 crores but not exceeding Rs. 500 crores	42000
d)	Exceeding Rs. 100 crores but not exceeding Rs. 200 crores	35000
e)	Exceeding Rs. 50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 100 crores	22500
η	Exceeding Rs. 10.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 50.0 crores	13500
g)	Exceeding Rs. 3.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 10 crore	9000
h)	Exceeding Rs. 1.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 3.0 crore	6400
i)	Exceeding Rs. 0.50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1.0 Crores	5000
j)	Exceeding Rs. 0.25 crores but not exceeding Rs.0.50 Crores	2800
k)	Exceeding Rs. 0.10 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.25 Crores	1700
ŋ	Exceeding Rs. 0.02 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.10 Crores	850
m)	Investment upto 0.02 crores	225

B) 1. Proposed CTO fee Structure for red category under Air Act, 1981.

Sr. No.	Proposed slabs of Capital Investment cost	Consent to Operate fee (CTO) in Rupees (RED CATEGORY)
2)	Exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	450000
b)	Exceeding Rs. 500 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	300000
c)	Exceeding Rs. 200 crores but not exceeding Rs. 500 crores	210000
d)	Exceeding Rs. 100 crores but not exceeding Rs. 200 crores	195000
e)	Exceeding Rs. 50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 100 crores	120000
ŋ	Exceeding Rs. 10 crores but not exceeding Rs. 50 crores	90000
g)	Exceeding Rs. 3.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 10 crore	60000
h)	Exceeding Rs. 1.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 3.0 crore	30000
i)	Exceeding Rs. 0.50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1.0 Crores	15000
j)	Exceeding Rs. 0.25 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.50 Crores	6000
k)	Investment upto 0.25 crores	2000

on. 2

war

egno

-10-

2. Proposed CTO fee Structure for Orange category under Air Act, 1981.

Sr. No.	Proposed slabs of Capital Investment cost	Consent to Operate fee (CTO) in Rupees (Orange CATEGORY)
a)	Exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	150000
b)	Exceeding Rs. 500 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	100000
c)	Exceeding Rs. 200 crores but not exceeding Rs. 500 crores	80000
d)	Exceeding Rs. 100 crores but not exceeding Rs. 200 crores	65000
e)	Exceeding Rs. 50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 100 crores	40000
ŋ	Exceeding Rs. 10 crores but not exceeding Rs. 50 crores	30000
9)	Exceeding Rs. 3.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 10 crore	20000
h)	Exceeding Rs. 1.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 3.0 crore	10000
1)	Exceeding Rs. 0.50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1.0 Crores	5000
1)	Exceeding Rs. 0.25 crores but not exceeding Rs.0.50 Crores	2000
k)	Investment upto 0.25 crores	1000

3. Proposed CTO fee Structure for Green category under Air Act, 1981..

Sr. No.	Proposed slabs of Capital Investment cost	Consent to Operate fee (CTO) in Rupees (Green CATEGORY)
a)	Exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	112500
b)	Exceeding Rs. 500 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	75000
c)	Exceeding Rs. 200 crores but not exceeding Rs. 500 crores	60000
d)	Exceeding Rs. 100 crores but not exceeding Rs. 200 crores	48750
e)	Exceeding Rs. 50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 100 crores	30000
f)	Exceeding Rs. 10 crores but not exceeding Rs. 50 crores	22500
g)	Exceeding Rs. 3.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 10 crore	15000
h)	Exceeding Rs. 1.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 3.0 crore	7500
i)	Exceeding Rs. 0.50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1.0 Crores	3750
j)	Exceeding Rs. 0.25 crores but not exceeding Rs.0.50 Crores	1500
k)	Investment upto 0.25 crores	750

Apart from the above there are some legally constituted bodies e.g. Municipal
Comporations/Committees/ Public Health/ HUDA/ Industrial Estates, University/ Research/





educational institutions, Common treatment and disposal facilities under Hazardous & Other Waste (Management and Transboundary Movement) Rules 2016, E-Waste (Management) Rules 2016, Bio Medical Waste Management Rules 2016, and Solid Waste Management Rules 2016, and other activities such as Mining Activities, Hospitals, Hotels, Building & Construction projects, infrastructure projects, where the consent to establish and consent to operate fee need to be categorized considering other relevant factors and the consent to establish and consent to operated fee for this category of units are proposed as under:-

- C) Consent fee to establish (NOC / CTE) and Consent fees to operate (CTO) for legality constituted bodies and other units not covered above as per II (A) and II (B)
- Consent fee to establish (NOC / CTE) and Annual Consent fees to operate (CTO) for Mining activities under Air Act, 1981

Sr.	Mining activity	Proposed Consent to establish (NOC/ CTE) & Annual Consent to operate fee (CTO) in Rupees
a)	Units paying license fee/ Dead rent/ Royalty per annum not exceeding Rs. 25 lacs	1,50,000
b)	Units paying license fee/ Dead rent/ Royalty per annum exceeding Rs. 25 lacs but not exceeding Rs. 50 lacs	2,25,000
c)	Units paying license fee/ Dead rent/ Royalty per annum exceeding Rs. 50 lacs	3,00,000

ii) Consent fee to establish (NOC / CTE) and Annual Consent fees to operate (CTO) for

Sr.	Hotel	Proposed Consent to establish (NOC/ CTE) & Annual Consent to operate fee (CTO) in Rupees
a)	Nos. of rooms upto 20	10,000
b)	Nos. of rooms more than 20 but not exceeding 50	20,000
c)	Nos. of rooms more than 50 but not exceeding 100	50,000
d)	No. of rooms more than 100	75,000
e)	Hotels having overall waste generation @ 100 KLD and more or Five Star Hotel (Irrespective of no. of Rooms)	1,00,000

(iii) Consent fee to establish (NOC / CTE) and Annual Consent fees to operate (CTO) for Health Care Facilities

Sr.	Health Care Facilities	Proposed Consent to establish (NOC/ CTE) & Annual Consent to operate fee (CTO) in Rupees
a)	Nos. of beds upto 20	1,000
b)	Nos. of Beds more than 20 but not exceeding 50	5,000
c)	Nos. of Beds more than 50 but not exceeding 100	35,000
d)	No. of Beds more than 100	60,000

M. J. &

me l'Espir

-12-

*No consent to establish and consent to operate fee will be charged from the Govt. Hospitals/ Health Care Facilities

 iv) Consent fee to establish (NOC / CTE) and Annual Consent fees to operate (CTO) for construction projects

Sr.	Construction projects/ Town Ship/ Area Development projects	Proposed Consent to establish (NOC/ CTE)	Consent to operate fee (CTO) in Rupees
a)	Building and construction projects having built up area or covered area more than 20,000 Square meter but not exceeding 150,000 square meter and having waste water generation not exceeding 100 KLD	100000	50000
b)	Building and construction projects having built up area or covered area more than 20,000 Square meter but not exceeding 150,000 square meter and having waste water generation exceeding 100 KLD	200000	75000
c)	Building and construction projects having built up area exceeding 150,000 square meter or township and area development projects having area more than 50 Hectares but the waste water generation not exceeding 200 KLD	The state of the s	100000
d)	Building and construction projects having built up area exceeding 150,000 square meter or township and area development projects having area more than 50 Hectares and the waste water generation exceeding 200 KLD bill not exceeding 500 KLD		150000
e)	Building and construction projects having built up area exceeding 150,000 square meter or township and area development projects having area more than 50 Hectares and the waste water generation exceeding 500 KLD		200000

 Consent fee to establish (NOC / CTE) and Consent fees to operate (CTO) for stone crushers, Brick Kilns, Hot Mix Plants, Pulverisers, Screening plants

Sr.		Proposed Consent fee to establish (NOC / CTE) and Annual Consent to operate fee (CTO) in Rupees
a)	Stone Crushers, Brick Kilns, Hot Mix Plants, Pulverisers Screening plants	10,000

vi) Consent fee to establish (NOC / CTE) and Consent fees to operate (CTO) for legally constituted bodies and other units not covered above as per II (A), II (B), II (C)(i), II (C)(ii), III (C)(iii), III (C)(iv) and II (C)(iv).

Sr. no.	Common facilities	Consent to establish (NOC/ CTE) & Annual Consent to operate fee (CTO) in Rupees
a)	Sewage Treatment Plant (STP) of Govt. Department / Local Bodies	5,000
b)	Industrial Estate	50,000
c)	University / Educational Institutions	5,000
d)	Govt. infrastructure projects such as new highway construction projects, airport and commercial air strips etc.	100000

m & &

ve e Ram

Note:

- The investment costs of industries/units for calculating CTE/CTO fees will be calculated considering investment in Land, Building, Plant and Machinery and other fixed assets (Non Depreciated Value)
- 2. All units shall apply for renewal for CTO before 90 days of the expiry of the previous consent. If the industrial units/projects fails to apply before 90 days of the expiry of the previous consent and apply 60 days before the expiry of previous consent, the unit shall have to pay additional consent fee @ 50% of the consent fee notified. Subsequently if the unit fails to apply before 60 days of the expiry of the previous consent and applies 30 days before the expiry of previous consent, then the unit have to pay additional consent fee @ 100% of the consent fee notified. If the unit fails to apply 30 days before the expiry of the previous consent, the unit have to pay additional consent fee @ 200% of the consent fee notified. Thereafter, if unit fails to apply before 90 days of expiry of the previous consent, the unit have to pay additional fee @ 300% of the consent fee notified.

or & 8

va & Agon

Annexure B

- I. Consent to establish (NOC/CTE) and Annual consent to operate (CTO) fees under Water Act, 1974:-
 - A) 1. Comparative study of existing CTE Fee and Proposed CTE fee Structure for red category under Water Act, 1974.

Sr. No.	Existing slab of capital investment	establish/NOC fee (CTE) in Rupees	Proposed slabs of Capital Investment cost	establish/NOC fee (CTE) in
		(RED CATEGORY)		Rupees (RED CATEGORY)
a)	Exceeding Rs. 100 crores	105000	Exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	315000
			Exceeding Rs. 500	210000
			crores but not	
			exceeding Rs. 1000	
			Exceeding Rs. 200	168000
			crores but not	10000
			exceeding Rs. 500	
			crores	
			Exceeding Rs. 100	136500
			crores but not	
			exceeding Rs. 200 crores	
b)	Exceeding Rs. 50 crores but	60000	Exceeding Rs. 50.0	90000
0)	not exceeding Rs. 100 crores		crores but not	
		And the state of t	exceeding Rs. 100.0	
			crore	
c)	Exceeding Rs. 10 crores but	36000	Exceeding Rs. 10.0	
	not exceeding Rs. 50 crores		crores but not	
			exceeding Rs. 50.0 crore	
d)	Exceeding Rs. 3 crores but not	24000	Exceeding Rs. 3.0	36000
-,	exceeding Rs. 10 crores		crores but not	
			exceeding Rs. 10	
			crore	
e)	Exceeding Rs. 1.0 crores but	17700	Exceeding Rs. 1.0	26556
	not exceeding Rs. 3.0 crore		crores but not	
			exceeding Rs. 3.0	
		14500	Crore	2175
ŋ	Exceeding Rs. 0.50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1.0 Crores	14500	exceeding Rs. 0.50 crores but not	1
	not exceeding its. 1.0 crores		exceeding Rs. 1.0	
			Crores	
g)	Exceeding Rs. 0.25 crores but	7500	Exceeding Rs. 0.25	1125
	not exceeding Rs.0.50 Crores		crores but not	
			exceeding Rs.0.50	•
b 1	Secondary St. 0.10 second but	4500	Exceeding Rs. 0.10	675
h)	Exceeding Rs. 0.10 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.25 Crores	1300	crores but not	
	HOT EMEETING IS. U.23 COVES		exceeding Rs. 0.25	
			Crores	
i)	Exceeding Rs. 0.02 crores but	2250	Exceeding Rs. 0.02	337
	not exceeding Rs. 0.10 Crores		crores but not	
			exceeding Rs. 0.10	
		600	Crores	90
j)	Investment upto 0.02 crores	600	Investment upto	1
7	<u></u>	1	206	0000



2. Comparative study of existing CTE Fee and Proposed CTE fee Structure for Orange category under Water Act, 1974.

Sr. No.	Existing slab of capital investment	Consent to establish/NOC fee (CTE) in Rupees (Orange CATEGORY)	Proposed slabs of Capital Investment cost	1
a)	Exceeding Rs. 100 crores	35000	Exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	105000
			Exceeding Rs. 500	70000
		•	crores but not	
			exceeding Rs. 1000	
			crores	
			Exceeding Rs. 200	56000
			crores but not	
			exceeding Rs. 500	
			crores	
		- The state of the	Exceeding Rs. 100 crores but not	45500
			exceeding Rs. 200	
			crores	
b)	Exceeding Rs. 50 crores	20000	Exceeding Rs. 50.0	3000
	but not exceeding Rs. 100	***************************************	crores but not	
	crores		exceeding Rs. 100.0	
			crores '	
c)		12000	Exceeding Rs. 10.0	1800
	but not exceeding Rs. 50		crores but not	
	crores		exceeding Rs. 50.0	
ط١	Formadina Ba 3	8000	crores	
4)	Exceeding Rs. 3 crores but not exceeding Rs. 10	8000	Exceeding Rs. 3.0 crores but not	1200
	crores		exceeding Rs. 10 crore	
e)	Exceeding Rs. 1.0 crores	5700	Exceeding Rs. 1.0	8550
	but not exceeding Rs. 3.0		crores but not	
	crore		exceeding Rs. 3.0	
			crore	
1)	Exceeding Rs. 0.50 crores	4500	Exceeding Rs. 0.50	6750
	but not exceeding Rs. 1.0		crores but not	
	Crores		exceeding Rs. 1.0	
			Crores	
9)	Exceeding Rs. 0.25 crores	2500	Exceeding Rs. 0.25	3750
	but not exceeding Rs.0.50		crores but not	
-	Crores		exceeding Rs.0.50	
b)	Exceeding Rs. 0.10 crores	1500	Exceeding Rs. 0.10	225/
",	but not exceeding Rs. 0.25	1500	crores but not	. 2250
and the second	Crores		exceeding Rs. 0.25	
			Crores	
1)	Exceeding Rs. 0.02 crores	750	Exceeding Rs. 0.02	• 1125
	but not exceeding Rs. 0.10		crores but not	
- Company	Crores		exceeding. Rs. 0.10	
			Crores	
j)	Investment upto 0.02	200	Investment upto 0.02	300
gr. iron	crores		crores	

us e land

8t. 2 8

-16-

3. . Comparative study of existing CTE Fee and Proposed CTE fee Structure for Green category under Water Act, 1974

Sr. No.	Existing slab of capital investment	Consent to establish/NOC fee (CTE) in Rupees (Green CATEGORY)	Proposed slabs of Capital investment cost	Consent to establish/NOC fee (CTE) in Rupees (Green CATEGORY)
a)	Exceeding Rs. 100 crores	35000	Exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	78750
			Exceeding Rs. 500 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	52500
			Exceeding Rs. 200 crores but not exceeding Rs. 500 crores	42000
	· ·		Exceeding Rs. 100 crores but not exceeding Rs. 200 crores	35000
b)	Exceeding Rs. 50 crares but not exceeding Rs. 100 crores	20000	Exceeding Rs. 50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 100 crores	22500
c)	Exceeding Rs. 10 crores but not exceeding Rs. 50 crores	12000	Exceeding Rs. 10.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 50.0 crores	13500
d)	Exceeding Rs. 3 crores but not exceeding Rs. 10 crores	8000	Exceeding Rs. 3.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 10 crore	9000
e)	Exceeding Rs. 1.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 3.0 crore	5700	Exceeding Rs. 1.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 3.0 crore	6400
ŋ	Exceeding Rs. 0.50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1.0 Crores	4500	Exceeding Rs. 0.50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1.0 Crores	5000
9)	Exceeding Rs. 0.25 crores but not exceeding Rs.0.50 Crores	2500	Exceeding Rs. 0.25 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.50 Crores	2800
h)	Exceeding Rs. 0.10 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.25 Crores	1500	Exceeding Rs. 0.10 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.25 Crores	1700
1)	Exceeding Rs. 0.02 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.10 Crores	750	Exceeding Rs. 0.02 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.10 Crores	850
D	Investment upto 0.02 crores	200	Investment upto 0.02 crores	225



A. 2 8

ur a gri

1. Comparative study of Existing CTO Fee and Proposed CTO fee Structure for red category under Water Act, 1974.

Sr. No.	Existing slab of capital investment	Under Water Act.		Proposed stabs of Capital Investment cost	Consent to Operate fee (CTO) in Rupees
or of the second second second		First year	Subseq uent year		(RED CATEGORY)
a)	Exceeding Rs. 100 crores	150000	75000	Exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	450000
		And the second	TTO MICHAEL AND	Exceeding Rs. 500 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	300000
				Exceeding Rs. 200 crores but not exceeding Rs. 500 crores	210000
		the registration of	and the same	Exceeding Rs. 100 crores but not exceeding Rs. 200 crores	195000
b)	Exceeding Rs. 50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 100 crores	120000	60000	Exceeding Rs. 50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 100 crores	120000
c)	Exceeding Rs. 10 crores but not exceeding Rs. 50 crores	90000	45000	Exceeding Rs. 10 crores but not exceeding Rs. 50 crores	90000
d)	Exceeding Rs. 3 crores but not exceeding Rs. 10 crores	60000	24000	Exceeding Rs. 3.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 10 crore	60000
e)	Exceeding Rs. 1.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 3.0 crore	30000	11000	Exceeding Rs. 1.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 3.0 crore	30000
n	Exceeding Rs. 0.50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1.0 Crores	15000	4500	Exceeding Rs. 0.50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1.0 Crores	15000
g)	Exceeding Rs. 0.25 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.50 Crores	6000	3000	Exceeding Rs. 0.25 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.50 Crores	6000
h)	Exceeding Rs. 0.10 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.25 Crores	1500	1500	Investment upto 0.25 crores	2000
(i)	Exceeding Rs. 0.02 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.10 Crores	600	600		
(i)	Investment upto 0.02 crores	300	300		



m. St

ren 2 agmi

2. Comparative study of Existing CTO Fee and Proposed CTO fee Structure for Orange category under Water Act, 1974...

Sr. No.	Existing slab of capital investment	Under Water Act.		Proposed slabs of Capital Investment cost	Consent to Operate fee (CTO) in Rupees
		First year	Subseq uent year	.	(Orange CATEGORY)
a)	Exceeding Rs. 100 crores	50000	25000	Exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	150000
		*	Andrews States	Exceeding Rs. 500 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	100000
			Continue parameter (4) Andreas	Exceeding Rs. 200 crores but not exceeding Rs. 500 crores	80000
				Exceeding Rs. 100 crores but not exceeding Rs. 200 crores	65000
b)	Exceeding Rs. 50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 100 crores	40000	20000	Exceeding Rs. 50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 100 crores	40000
c)	Exceeding Rs. 10 crores but not exceeding Rs. 50 crores	30000	15000	Exceeding Rs. 10 crores but not exceeding Rs. 50 crores	30000
d)	Exceeding Rs. 3 crores but not exceeding Rs. 10 crores	20000	8000	Exceeding Rs. 3.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 10 crore	20000
e)	Exceeding Rs. 1.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 3.0 crore	10000	3700	Exceeding Rs. 1.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 3.0 crore	10000
n	Exceeding Rs. 0.50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1.0 Crores	5000	1500	Exceeding Rs. 0.50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1.0 Crores	5000
g)	Exceeding Rs. 0.25 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.50 Crores	2000	1000	Exceeding Rs. 0.25 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.50 Crores	2000
h)	Exceeding Rs. 0.10 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.25 Crores	500	500	Investment upto 0.25 crores	1000
(son	Exceeding Rs. 0.02 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.10 Crores	200	500		
j)	Investment upto 0.02 crores	1.00	200	1	



or. &

ven & som

 Comparative study of Existing CTO Fee and Proposed CTO fee Structure for Green category under Water Act, 1974...

Sr. No.	Current slab of capital investment	Under Water Act.		Proposed slabs of Capital Investment cost	Consent to Operate fee (CTO) in Rupees (Green CATEGORY)	
		First year	Subseq uent year			
2	Exceeding As, 100 chores	50000	25000	Exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	112500	
				Exceeding Rs. 500 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	75000	
				Exceeding Rs. 200 crores but not exceeding Rs. 500 crores	60000	
h)	F			Exceeding Rs. 100 crores but not exceeding Rs. 200 crores	48750	
	Exceeding Rs. 50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 100 crores	40000	20000	Exceeding Rs. 50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 100 crores	30000	
	Exceeding Rs. 10 crores but not exceeding Rs. 50 crores	30000	15000	Exceeding Rs. 10 crores but not exceeding Rs. 50 crores	22500	
	Exceeding Rs. 3 crores but not exceeding Rs. 10 crores	20000	8000	Exceeding Rs. 3.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 10 crore	15000	
e)	Exceeding Rs. 1.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 3.0 crore	10000	3700	Exceeding Rs. 1.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 3.0 crore	7500	
ŋ	Exceeding Rs. 0.50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1.0 Crores	5000	1500	Exceeding Rs. 0.50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1.0 Crores	3750	
g)	Exceeding Rs. 0.25 crores but not exceeding Rs.0.50 Crores	2000	1000	Exceeding Rs. 0.25 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.50 Crores	1500	
h)	Exceeding Rs. 0.10 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.25 Crores	500	500	Investment upto 0.25 crores	750	
i)	Exceeding Rs. 0.02 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.10 Crores	200	500			
j)	Investment upto 0.02 crores	100	200		Spring System Communication	

Apart from the above there are some legally constituted bodies e.g. Municipal Corporations/Committees/ Public Health/ HUDW Industrial Estates, University/ Research/ educational institutions, Common treatment and disposal facilities under Hazardous & Other Waste (Management and Transboundary Movement) Rules 2016, E-Waste (Management) Rules 2016, Bio Medical Waste Management Rules 2016, and Solid Waste-Management Rules 2016, and other activities such as Mining Activities, Hospitals, Hotels, Building & Construction projects, infrastructure projects, where the consent to establish and consent to operate fee need to be categorized considering other relevant factors and the consent to establish and consent to operated fee for this category is proposed as under-

m. & &

us-la de

- C) Consent fee to establish (NOC / CTE) and Consent fees to operate (CTO) for legally constituted bodies and other units not covered above as per I (A) and I (B)
- Consent fee to establish (NOC / CTE) and Annual Consent fees to operate (CTO) for Mining activities

Sr.	Mining activity.	ning activity. Existing CTE Fee Amount (Rs.)		CTO Fee (Rs.)	Mining activity	Proposed Consent to establish (NOC/ CTE) & Annual Consent to operate
			1st year	Subsequent year		fee (CTO) in Rupees
a)	Units paying license fee! Dead rent! Royalty Not exceeding Rs. 25 lacs annually	existing	150000	125000	Units paying license fee! Dead rent/ Royalty per annum not exceeding Rs. 25 lacs	1,50,000
b)	Units paying license fee/ Dead rent/ Royalty Exceeding Rs. 25 lacs but not exceeding Rs. 50 lacs	Water Act 1974 not	225000	175000	Units paying license fee! Dead rent! Royalty per annum exceeding Rs. 25 lacs but not exceeding Rs. 50 lacs	2,25,000
c)	Units paying license feel Dead rent/ Royalty More than Rs. 50 lacs		300000	225000	Units paying license fee! Dead rent/ Royalty per annum exceeding Rs. 50 lacs	3,00,000

 Consent fee to establish (NOC / CTE) and Annual Consent fees to operate (CTO) for Hotels

Sr.	Hotel	Existing Fee	Proposed Consent to establish (NOC/ CTE) & Annual Consent to operate fee (CTO) in Rupees
(a)	Nos. of rooms upto 20	As per	10,000
b)	Nos. of rooms more than 20 but not exceeding 50	existing	20,000
c)	Nos. of rooms more than 50 but not exceeding 100	structure hotels	50,000
d)	No. of rooms more than 100	are	75,000
e)	Hotels having overall waste generation @ 100 KLD and more or Five Star Hotel (Irrespective of no. of Rooms)	included in industrial sector. No separate fee structure for hotels.	1,00,000

 iii) Consent fee to establish (NOC / CTE) and Annual Consent fees to operate (CTO) for Health Care Facilities

Sr.	Health Care Facilities	Existing Fee	Proposed Consent to establish (NOC/ CTE) & Annual Consent to operate fee (CTO) in Rupees
a)	Nos. of beds upto 20	As per existing fee	1,000
b)	Nos. of Beds more than 20 but not exceeding 50	structure HCFs are included in industrial	5,000
c)	Nos. of Beds more than 50 but not exceeding 100	sector. No separate fee structure for HCFs.	35,000
d)(No. of Beds more than 100	•	60,000 000




5/19/23/ 218

*No consent to establish and consent to operate fee will be charged from the Govt. Hospitals/ Health Care Facilities

 iv) Consent fee to establish (NOC / CTE) and Annual Consent fees to operate (CTO) for construction projects

Sr	Construction projects/ Town Ship/ Area Development projects	Existing fee	Proposed Consent to establish (NOC/	Proposed Annual Consent to operate fee (CTO) in Rupees
a)	Building and construction projects having built up area or covered area more than 20,000 Square meter but not exceeding 150,000 square meter and having waste water generation not exceeding 100 KLD	As per existing fee structure Constructions projects are included in	100000	50000
b)	Building and construction projects having built up area or covered area more than 20,000 Square meter but not exceeding 150,000 square meter and having waste water generation exceeding 100 KLD	industrial sector. No	200000	75000
c)	Building and construction projects having built up area exceeding 150,000 square meter or township and area development projects having area more than 50 Hectares but the waste water generation not exceeding 200 KLD		300000	100000
d)	Building and construction projects having built up area exceeding 150,000 square meter or township and area development projects having area more than 50 Hectares and the waste water generation exceeding 200 KLD but not exceeding 500 KLD		400000	150000
e)	Building and construction projects having built up area exceeding 150,000 square meter or township and area development projects having area more than 50 Hectares and the waste water generation exceeding 500 KLD		600000	200000





un & Romin

 Consent fee to establish (NOC / CTE) and Consent fees to operate (CTO) for stone crushers, Brick Kilns, Hot Mix Plants, Pulverisers, Screening plants

	G GOILGIS,	Brick Kins, Hot I				
Sr.	Plants	nts Existing Consent fee to establish (NOC / CTE) in Rupees.			fee for Consent trate fee in	Proposed Consent fee to establish (NOC / CTE) and
a)	Stone Crushers	Crushing capacity not exceeding 100 ton per day Crushing capacity exceeding 100 ton per day	As per existing fee structure, CTE fees not prescribed.	fee st	existing ructure, lees not cribed.	10,000
b)	Brick kiln	Capital Investment not exceeding Rs. 30 lacs Capital Investment exceeding Rs. 30 lacs but not exceeding Rs. 50 lacs Exceeding Rs. 50 lacs				
c)	Hot Mix Plants	Capacity not exceeding 40 tons per day Capacity exceeding 40 tons per day				
d)	Pulvarizers	Capacity not exceeding 100 tons per day Capacity exceeding 100 tons per day				
e)	Screening plants	Production capacity not exceeding 100 ton per day	5000	. 5000	5000	
		Production capacity exceeding 100 ton per day	7500	7500	7500	

M. D. X

ven 2 dami

5/19/25/220

vi) Consent fee to establish (NOC / CTE) and Consent fees to operate (CTO) for legally constituted bodies and other units not covered above as per I (A), I (B), I (C)(ii), I (C)(iii), I (C)(iv) and I (C)(v).

Sr. no.	common facilities	Existing CTE and CTO Fee	Common facilities	Consent to establish (NOC/ CTE) & Annual Consent to operate fee (CTO) in Rupees
a)	Municipal Corporation Faridabad '		Sewage Treatment Plant (STP) of Govt.	5,000
b)	Class 'A' Municipalities (for each STP/ETP)	5000	Department / Local Bodies	
c)	Class 'B' Municipalities (for each STP/ETP)	2500		
d)	Class 'C' Municipalities (for each STP/ETP)	500		
e)	Public Health (for each STP)	5000 per each town		
n	Haryana Urban Development Authority(for each STP/CETP)	50000 per each state		
g)	Industrial Estate (for each STP/CETP)	As per existing fee structure for Industrial Estates are included in industrial sector. No separate fee structure for Industrial Estates.	Industrial Estate	50000
h)	University/ Educational Institutions		University/ Educational Institutions	5,000
i)	Govt. infrastructure projects such as new highway construction projects, airport and commercial air strips etc.	industrial sector. No separate fee in existing fee	Govt. infrastructure projects such as new highway construction projects, airport and commercial air strips etc.	100000

1

on. Z

me som

2. Comparative study of existing CTE Fee and Proposed CTE fee Structure for Orange category under Air Act, 1981.

Sr. No.	Existing slab of capital investment	Consent to establish/NOC fee (CTE) in Rupees (Orange CATEGORY)	Capital Investment	Consent to establish/NOC fee (CTE) in Rupees (Orange CATEGORY)
a)	Exceeding Rs. 100 crores	In existing fee structure, CTE	Exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	105000
		fee not prescribed under Air Act, 1981.	Exceeding , Rs. 500 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	70000
			Exceeding Rs. 200 crores but not exceeding Rs. 500 crores	56000
			Exceeding Rs. 100 crores but not exceeding Rs. 200 crores	45500
	Exceeding Rs. 50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 100 crores		Exceeding Rs. 50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 100 crores	30000
c)	Exceeding Rs. 10 crores but not exceeding Rs. 50 crores		Exceeding Rs. 10 crores but not exceeding Rs. 50 crores	18000
	Exceeding Rs. 3 crores but not exceeding Rs. 10 crores		Exceeding Rs. 3.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 10 crore	12000
e)	Exceeding Rs. 1.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 3.0 crore		Exceeding Rs. 1.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 3.0 crore	8550
	Exceeding Rs. 0.50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1.0 Crores		Exceeding Rs. 0.50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1.0 Crores	6750
Martin	Exceeding Rs. 0.25 crores but not exceeding Rs.0.50 Crores		Exceeding Rs. 0.25 crores but not exceeding Rs.0.50 Crores	3750
1	Exceeding Rs. 0.10 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.25 Crores		Exceeding Rs. 0.10 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.25 Crores	2250
1	exceeding Rs. 0.02 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.10 crores		Exceeding Rs. 0.02 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.10 Crores	1125
1	rivestment upto 0.02 crores	T.	investment upto 0.02 crores	300

W. S.

on 2 lgm

3. . Comparative study of existing CTE Fee and Proposed CTE fee Structure for Green category under Air. Act. 1981

Sr. No.	Existing slab of capital investment	Consent to establish/NOC fee (CTE) in Rupees (Green CATEGORY)	Proposed slabs of Capital Investment cost	Consent to establish/NOC fee (CTE) in Rupees (Green CATEGORY)
a)	Exceeding Rs. 100 crores	In existing fee structure, CTE	Exceeding Rs. 1000 crores Exceeding Rs. 500 crores but not	78750 52500
		fee not	exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	
		prescribed under Air Act, 1981.	Exceeding Rs. 200 crores but not exceeding Rs. 500 crores	42000
			Exceeding Rs. 100 crores but not exceeding Rs. 200 crores	35000
b)	Exceeding Rs. 50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 100 crores		Exceeding Rs. 50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 100 crores	22500
c)	Exceeding Rs. 10 crores but not exceeding Rs. 50 crores		Exceeding Rs. 10 crores but not exceeding Rs. 50 crores	13500
d)	Exceeding Rs. 3 crores but not exceeding Rs. 10 crores		Exceeding Rs. 3.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 10 crore	9000
e)	Exceeding Rs. 1.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 3.0 crore		Exceeding Rs. 1.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 3.0 crore	6400
Ŋ	Exceeding Rs. 0.50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1.0 Crores		Exceeding Rs. 0.50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1.0 Crores	5000
g)	Exceeding Rs. 0.25 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.50 Crores		Exceeding Rs. 0.25 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.50 Crores	2800
h)	Exceeding Rs. 0.10 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.25 Crores		Exceeding Rs. 0.10 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.25 Crores	1700
i)	Exceeding Rs. 0.02 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.10 Crores		Exceeding Rs. 0.02 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.10 Crores	850
j)	Investment upto 0.02 crores		Investment upto 0.02 crores	225

W. St.

in & Agmi

B) 1. Comparative study of Existing CTO Fee and Proposed CTO fee Structure for red category under Air Act, 1981.

Sr. No.	Existing sieb of capital investment	Under A	ir Act.	Proposed slabs of Capital Investment cost	Consent to establish/NOC fee (CTO) in
		First year	Subseq uent year		Rupees (RED CATEGORY)
a)	Exceeding Rs. 100 crores	150000	75000	Exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	450000
				Exceeding Rs. 500 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	300000
		Andrew Management (Fig. 2)		Exceeding Rs. 200 crores but not exceeding Rs. 500 crores	210000
			way of the state o	Exceeding Rs. 100 crores but not exceeding Rs. 200 crores	195000
b)	Exceeding Rs. 50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 100 crores	120000	60000	Exceeding Rs. 50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 100 crores	120000
c)	Exceeding Rs. 10 crores but not exceeding Rs. 50 crores	90000	45000	Exceeding Rs. 10 crores but not exceeding Rs. 50 crores	90000
d)	Exceeding Rs. 3 crores but not exceeding Rs. 10 crores	60000	24000	Exceeding Rs. 3.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 10 crore	60000
e)	Exceeding Rs. 1.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 3.0 crore	30000	11000	Exceeding Rs. 1.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 3.0 crore	30000
f)	Exceeding Rs. 0.50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1.0 Crores	15000	4500	Exceeding Rs. 0.50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1.0 Crores	15000
g)	Exceeding Rs. 0.25 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.50 Crores	6000	3000	Exceeding Rs. 0.25 crores but not exceeding Rs.0.50 Crores	6000
h)	Exceeding Rs. 0.10 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.25 Crores	1500	1500	Investment upto 0.25 crores	2000
1)	Exceeding Rs. 0.02 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.10 Crores	600	600		
i)	Investment upto 0.02 crores	300	300		

m. 2 &

m & Olgan

2. Comparative study of Existing CTO Fee and Proposed CTO fee Structure for Orange category under Air Act, 1981.

Sr. No.	Existing slab of capital investment	Under A	ir Act.	Proposed slabs of Capital investment cost	Consent to establish/NOC fee (CTO) in
		First	Subseq uent year		Rupees (Orange CATEGORY)
a)	Exceeding Rs. 100 crores	50000	25000	Exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	150000
		and the same and t	The control of the co	Exceeding Rs. 500 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	100000
		(American comments)	mayor production of manageria	Exceeding Rs. 200 crores but not exceeding Rs. 500 crores	80000
			Access and a second	Exceeding Rs. 100 crores but not exceeding Rs. 200 crores	65000
b)	Exceeding Rs. 50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 100 crores	40000	20000	Exceeding Rs. 50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 100 crores	40000
c)	Exceeding Rs. 10 crores but not exceeding Rs. 50 crores	30000	15000	Exceeding Rs. 10 crores but not exceeding Rs. 50 crores	30000
d)	Exceeding Rs. 3 crores but not exceeding Rs. 10 crores	20000	8000	Exceeding Rs. 3.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 10 crore	20000
e)	Exceeding Rs. 1.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 3.0 crore	10000	3700	Exceeding Rs. 1.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 3.0 crore	10000
ŋ	Exceeding Rs. 0.50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1.0 Crores	5000	1500	Exceeding Rs. 0.50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1.0 Crores	5000
g)	Exceeding Rs. 0.25 crores but not exceeding Rs.0.50 Crores	2000	1000	Exceeding Rs. 0.25 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.50 Crores	2000
h)	Exceeding Rs. 0.10 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.25 Crores	500	500	Investment upto 0.25 crores	1000
i)	Exceeding Rs. 0.02 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.10 Crores	200	200		
j)	Investment upto 0.02 crores	100	100		

8 2 8 8

291

2

Rgm

3.Comparative study of Existing CTO Fee and Proposed CTO fee Structure for Green category under Air Act, 1981...

Sr. No.	Current slab of capital investment	Under A	Air Act.	Proposed slabs of Capital Investment cost	Consent to establish/NOC fee (CTO) in Rupees
	•	First	Subseq uent year		(Green CATEGORY)
a)	Exceeding Rs. 100 crores	50000	25000	Exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	112500
			un application de la constitución de la constitució	Exceeding Rs. 500 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	75000
				Exceeding Rs. 200 crores but not exceeding Rs. 500 crores	60000
				Exceeding Rs. 100 crores but not exceeding Rs. 200 crores	48750
b)	not exceeding Rs. 100 crores	40000	20000	Exceeding Rs. 50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 100 crores	30000
c)	Exceeding Rs. 10 crores but not exceeding Rs. 50 crores	30000	15000	Exceeding Rs. 10 crores but not exceeding Rs. 50 crores	22500
d)	Exceeding Rs. 3 crores but not exceeding Rs. 10 crores	20000	8000	Exceeding Rs. 3.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 10 crore	15000
e)	Exceeding Rs. 1.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 3.0 crore	10000	3700	Exceeding Rs. 1.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 3.0 crore	7500
ŋ	Exceeding Rs. 0.50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1.0 Crores	5000	1500	Exceeding Rs. 0.50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1.0 Crores	3750
g)	Exceeding Rs. 0.25 crores but not exceeding Rs.0.50 Crores	2000	1000	Exceeding Rs. 0.25 crores but not exceeding Rs.0.50 Crores	1500
h)	Exceeding Rs. 0.10 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.25 Crores	500	500	Investment upto 0.25 crores	750
i)	Exceeding Rs. 0.02 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.10 Crores	200	200		
j)	Investment upto 0.02 crores	100	100		

Apart from the above there are some legally constituted bodies e.g. Municipal Corporations/Committees/ Public Health/ HUDA/ Industrial Estates, University/ Research/ educational institutions, Common treatment and disposal facilities under Hazardous & Other Waste (Management and Transboundary Movement) Rules 2016, E-Waste (Management Rules 2016, Bio Medical Waste Management Rules 2016, and Solid Waste Management Rules 2016, and other activities such as Mining Activities, Hospitals, Hotels, Building & Construction projects, infrastructure projects, where the consent to establish and consent to operate fee need to be categorized considering other relevant factors and the consent to establish and consent to operated fee for this category is proposed as under:-

2 8

vir

e ly

-3-

Consent fee to establish (NOC / CTE) and Consent fees to operate (CTO) for stone crushers, Brick Kilns, Hot Mix Plants, Pulverisers, Screening plants

Sr.	Plants	Existing Consestablish (NOC Rupees.	ent fee to	Annual to oper (CTO) Rupees			Proposed Consent fee to establish (NOC CTE) and Annua Consent to operate fee (CTO) in Rupees
a)	Stone Crushers	Crushing capacity not exceeding 100 ton per day	5000	5000	5000	Stone Crushers, Brick Kilns, Hot Mix Plants,	10,000
		Crushing capacity exceeding 100 ton per day	7500	7500	7500	Pulverisers Screening plants	
b)	Brick kiln	Capital Investment not exceeding Rs. 30 lacs	5000	5000	5000		
		Capital Investment exceeding Rs. 30 lacs but not exceeding Rs. 50 lacs	10000	10000	10000		
		Exceeding Rs. 50 lacs	15000	15000	15000	- The second sec	
c)	Hot Mix Plants	Capacity not exceeding 40 tons per day	5000	5000	5000		
		Capacity exceeding 40 tons per day	7500	7500	7500		
d)	Pulvarizers		2000	2000	2000		
		exceeding 100 tons per day	3000	3000	and the second second		
e)	Screening plants	Production capacity less than 100 ton per day Production capacity more than 100 ton per day	In existing fee structure, CTE fee not prescribed under Air Act, 1981.	struc	isting fee cture, CTO ee not fixed under Act, 1981.		

8. 2 8

vez

2

Don

vi) Consent fee to establish (NOC / CTE) and Consent fees to operate (CTO) for legality constituted bodies and other units not covered above as per II (A), II (B), II (C)(i), II (C)(ii), II (C)(iv) and II (C)(v).

Sr. no.	Existing Fee for common facilities	Existing Fee	Common facilities	Consent to establish (NOC/ CTE) & Annual Consent to operate fee (CTO) in Rupees
a)	Municipal Corporation Faridabad	In existing fee structure CTE fee and CTO fee	Sewage Treatment Plant (STP) of Govt.	5,000
b)	Class 'A' Municipalities (for each STP/ETP)	were charged only	Department / Local Bodies	
c)	Class 'B' Municipalities (for each STP/ETP)	Air Act is Charged from the unit.		
q)	Class 'C' Municipalities (for each STP/ETP)			
e)	Public Health (for each STP)			
n	Haryana Urban Development Authority(for each STP/CETP)			
g)	Industrial Estate (for each STP/CETP)	As per existing fee structure for Industrial Estates are included in industrial sector. No separate fee structure for Industrial Estates.	Industrial Estate	50000
h)	University/ Educational Institutions	As per existing fee structure these categories are	University/ Educational Institutions	5,000
	Govt. infrastructure projects such as new highway construction projects, airport and commercial air strips etc.	included in industrial sector. No separate		100000

Ab. I m

.8

egm

Annexure- C

Comparative study of proposed fee structure with other states (Raiasthan, Utter Pradesh and Punlab).

1. Consent to establish (NOC/CTE) and Annual consent to operate (CTO) fees under Water Act, 1974:-

A) 1. Comparative study of Proposed CTE fee Structure other states for red category under Water Act, 1974.

Proposed slabs of Consent to Capital Fee in Rs. Red Capital Investment in fee Capital Investment in Research Subject CTE in Rs. Red Capital Investment in Research Rs. 10000 315000 CATEGORY) a) Exceeding Rs. 1000 315000 Crores upto Rs. 10,000 Crores and 24,00,000 Crores upto Rs. 10,000 Crores upto S000 crores to S000 Crores		ž č		I	Haryana		R	Rajasthan	Uttar Pradesh	desh		Punjab
a) Exceeding Rs. 1000 315000 More than Rs. 10,000 5,00,000 crores Crores More than Rs. 5000 2,50,000 above crores upto Rs. 10,000 2,50,000 above crores upto Rs. 1000 1,25,000 crores Crores upto 5000 crores Crores More than Rs. 1000 2,50,000 crores Crores Crores More than Rs. 1000 2,50,000 crores	2		Proposer Capital cost	d slabs Investr	neut neut	P. E. S. C.	Capital Investment in Rs.	Fee in Rs. Red Category	Capital Investment in Rs.	3	Capital	nt in Rs
More than Rs 5000 2,50,000 5000 crores upto Rs. 10,000 2,50,000 above More than Rs. 1000 1,25,000 2000 c crores upto 5000 crores crores crores		•	-	Rs.		315000			More than Rs. 10,000 crores	5,00,000	menter entre (juntere eft). Fringliff (filtrin entre	ACTION CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR
More than Rs. 1000 1,25,000 crores upto 5000 crores	Dor		-						More than Rs 5000 crores upto Rs. 10,000 crores	2,50,000		e s
	6								More than Rs. 1000 crores upto 5000 crores	1,25,000	2000 crores crores	2000

A 2 8

ren

-35-

Jam

Fee in Rs. For red category	4,70,000			0	0	0	0		1
	-		3,30,000	3,00,000	2,35,000	200 1,80,000	1,20,000	88,000	70,000
Capital Investment in Ra	500 crores to 1000	crofes	400 crores to 500 crores	300 crores to 400 crores	200 crores to 300 crores	150 crores to 200 crores	100 crores to 150 crores	75 crores to 100 crores	S0 crores to 75
lee	75,000		\$0,000					37,000	
Capital investment in Rs.			More than 100 crores upto 500 crores					More than 8s. 50 crores upto 100 crores	
Fee in Re. Red Category						-		,	More than 50 50,000+ 1000 per
Capital Investment In Rs.									More than 50
Consent to establish/NOC fee (CTE) in Rupess (RED CATEGORY)	210000		168000		٠	136500		00006	
investment	Rs.	but Rs. 1	ing Rs.	ing Rs.		ing Rs. but	RS.	extending Rs. Socrores are not exceeding Rs.	100 crores
			8	• 0		0	-	•	
	slabs of Consent to Capital Fee in Rs. Red Capital investment in fee Investment Category Rs. Repeat (CTE) in In Rs. Rupees (RED CATEGORY)	Capital Investment establish/NOC investment Category Rs. Capital Investment establish/NOC investment Category Rs. Cost Rupees (RED CATEGORY) Exceeding Rs. 500 210000	Proposed slabs of Consent to Capital Fee in Rs. Red Capital Investment establish/NOC investment Category Rs. Cost Rupes (RED CATEGORY) Exceeding Rs. 1000 Crores but not exceeding Rs. 1000 Crores Category Rs. Rupes (RED CATEGORY) CATEGORY) Exceeding Rs. 1000 Crores Exceeding Rs. 1000 Crores	Proposed slabs of Consent to Capital Fee in Ra. Red Capital investment in fee Capital Investment establish/NOC investment Category CATECORY) Exceeding Rs. 1000 Crores Control Co	Proposed slabs of Consent to Capital Fee in Rs. Red Capital Investment in fee Capital Investment establish/NOC Investment Category Cost Cost Repeas (RED CATEGORY) Exceeding Rs. 1000 Crores CATEGORY) Exceeding Rs. 1000 Crores Cost Cost Cost Category CATEGORY) CATEGORY) Exceeding Rs. 1000 Crores Cost Cost Cost Category C	Proposed slabs of Consent to Capital Fee in Ra. Red Capital Investment in fee Capital Investment fee (CTE) in in Ra. Rupeas (RED CATEGORY) Exceeding Rs. 1000 Corres but not exceeding Rs. 200 169000 Crores but not exceeding Rs. 500 169000 Corres Date Office Rs. 500 169000	Proposed slabs of Consent to Capital Fee in Ra. Red Capital Investment in fee cost Red Category Ra. Capital Investment establish/NOC Investment fee (CTE) In Ra. By Exceeding Rs. 1000 210000 upto 1000 crores Concrets but not exceeding Rs. 200 138500 Concrets but not crores and crores crores crores are crores and crores crores are crores crores and crores crores are crores crores and crores crores are crores crores are crores crores and crores crores are crores crores are	Proposed slabs of Consent to Capital Investment for Capital Investment in fee cost fee (CTE) in In Re. Capital Investment establish/NOC Investment Category Re. Rueses (RED CATEGORY) Exceeding Rs. 200 210000 Coroles but not exceeding Rs. 200 156000 Coroles but not exceeding Rs. 200 156000	Proposed slabs of Compilal Note (Capital Investment to Capital Investment Category Capital Investment Category Capital Investment to Receding Rs. 500 Capital Investment Category Capital Investment In Receding Rs. 500 Capital Investment Category More than Rs. 500 crores 75,000 Capital Investment In Receding Rs. 200 Capital Investment Category More than Rs. 500 crores 50,000 Capital Investment Receding Rs. 200 Capital Investment Category More than Rs. 500 crores 50,000 Capital Investment In Receding Rs. 200 Capital Investment Category More than Rs. 500 crores 50,000 Capital Investment Receding Rs. 200 Capital Investment Category More than 100 crores 50,000 Capital Investment Receding Rs. 200 Capital Investment Receding Rs. 500 Capital Investment Capital Investment Receding Rs. 500 Capital Investment Receding Rs

Haryana		E.	Rajasthan				
	Consent to establish/NOC fee (CTE) in Rupees (RED	Capital Investment in Re.	Fee in Rs. Red Category	Capital Investment In Re.	ž	Capital Investment in Re	Fee in Rs. For red category
1			thereof, of incremental investment above			e Disease A. C. Trippersonal Constitution of C	
4	54000	More than 25	37,500	More than Rs. 10 crores	25,000	40 crores to 50 crores	000'09
		crores upto		upto 50 crores		30 crores to 40	53,000
						25 crores to 30	47,000
						crores	
	•	More than 10	30,000	And the second s		20 crores to 25	41,000
		crores upto				15 crores to 20	35,000
						ores to	30,000
1			_	More than 01 crores uto	10,000	05 crores to 10	10 18,000
	90096	More than us crores upto 10 crores	0000	10 crores		crores	

Punjab		80		than 6000			1800
	ttal	02 crores to crores	01 crores to	75 lacs to less than 01 crores	50 lacs to 75 lacs	25 lacs to 50 lacs	10 lacs to 25 lacs
desh	•			.000€		1500	
Uttar Pradesh	Capital Investment in fee Rs.			More than Rs. 50 lacs		More than Rs. 10 lacs to Rs. 50 lacs	
Rajaethan	Fee in Re. Red Category	21,000	18,000	15,000		900'6	0009
Raja	Capital Investment in Re.	More than 2 crores upto OS crores	More than 01 crores upto	More than 50	crores	More than 25 lacs upto 90 lacs	More than 10 lacs upto 25 lacs
	Consent to establish/NOC fee (CTE) in Rupees (RED CATEGORY)		26550	21750		11250	6750
Haryana	Proposed slabe of Capital Investment cost		Exceeding Rs. 1 crores but not exceeding Rs. 3	1.	exceeding Rs. 1 Crores	Exceeding Rs. 0.25 crores but not exceeding Rs 0.50 Crores	Exceeding Rs. 0.10 crores but not not exceeding Rs. 0.25
N. O.	0.00		(r)	1)		2	2

AH 2 8

repl

-

- 88 -

Punjab	Investment in Rs red category	05 lacs to 10 lacs 1200	Upto 05 lacs 900
Uttar Pradesh	Fee in Rs. Red Capital investment in fee Category Rs.	Less than 10 lacs 500	
Rajaethan	Fee in Rs. Red Category	2 4500	3000
	Capital Investment in Rs.	3375 More than 0	900 Upto 05 lacs
	Gonsent to Capital stabilish/NOC investment fee (CTE) in in Rs.	CATEGORY) 3375	006
Haryana	Capital Investment establish/NOC Investment fee (CTE) in in Rs.	l) Exceeding Rs. 0.02 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.10	Crores Investment upto 0.02 crores
No.	200	-	(E

& Dami

m. 2 8

som

- 56-

Zo.		Haryana	PU4	a a	Rajasthan	Uttar Pradesh	4	Punia	2
	Proposed Capital cost	slabs of Investment	Consent · to establish/NOC fee (GTE) In Rupess (Orange	Capital Investment in Re.	Fee in Ra. Orange Category	Capital Investment in Rs.	ž	Capital Investment in Rs	Fee in Rs. For Orange category
(a)	Exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	. 1000 crores	105000	Company (I) C to Lambara, Albara C C T Hamman and Albara C C C T Hamman and Albara C C C T T Hamman and Albara C C C T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	And the state of t	More than Rs. 10,000 crores	2,00,000		
						More than Rs 5000 crores upto Rs. 10,000	2,50,000	\$000 crores and above	1800000
						More than Rs. 1000 crores upto 5000	1,25,000	2000 crores to 5000 crores	000006
						crores		1000 crores to 2000 crores	470,000
a a	Exceeding R. But not excee	Exceeding Rs. 500 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	00000	<i>(</i>		More than Rs. 500 crores upto 1000 crores	75,000	500 crores to 1000 crores	3,50,000
0	Exceeding R but not exce	Exceeding Rs. 200 crores but not exceeding Rs. 500 crores	00095			More than 100 crores 50,000 upto 500 crores	900'05	crores to	
								200 cror#s to 300	180000

M. D

1

. Uo-

No.	Нагуапа	yana	S.	Rajasthan	Us. der Pradesh	4		Punjab
	Proposed state of	Consent	1					
-	Capital Investment	(CTE) In Rup (Orange CATEGORY)	fee Investment	Orange Category	Capital investment fee	3	Capital Investment Fee In Rs. For In Rs.	Orange category
6	Exceeding Rs. 100 crores	-			1997	The same of the sa		
	Crores						150 crores to 200 1,20,000 crores	000,000
İ							100 crores to 150 crores	000'88'000
6	Exceeding Rs. 50 crores but	30000			More than 8c an	11,000	The second secon	
	Crores		More than 50	70% of	Crores upto 100 crores	000,10	75 crores to 100 crores	20,000
1			crofes				S0 crores to 75 crores	90,000
6	Exceeding Rs. 10 crores but	18000	1	Category.				
			More th. ** A	25,000	More than Rs. 10 25,000	3,000	40 crores to 50 crores	47,000
			N		ciores upto 50 crores		30 crores to 40 crores	35,000
							25 crores to 30 crores	30,000
			More 1, han 10 20,000	20,000				
			croves upto				20 crores to 25 crores	24,000
1			25 crores				15 crores to 20 crores	20,000
			-	-	-		10 crores to 15 crores	18 000

Cran Trades	Capital Investment fee Capital Investment Fee in Rs. For in Rs.	More than 01 crores 10,000 05 crores to 10 crores uto 10 crores	02 crores to 05 crores	01 crores to 02 crores	More than Rs. S0 lacs 3000 75 lacs to less than 01 upto Rs. 01 crores 50 lacs to 75 lacs
Rajasthan	Capital Fee in Rs. Cap Investment Orange in R In Rs.	More than 05 16000 More crores upto uto 10 crores	More than 2 14000 crores upto OS crores	More than 01 12000 crores upto	More than 50 10000 Moles upto 01 upto
•	Consent to consent (CTE) in Rupess (Orange CATEGORY)		1200	8550	6750
Haryana	Proposed slabs of Capital Investment of	Exceeding Rs. 3.0 crores 1 but not exceeding Rs. 10.0 crores		Exceeding Rs. 1 crores but Rnot exceeding Rs. 3 crore	Exceeding Rs. 0.50 crores to but not exceeding Rs. 1 Crores

. Š	. 6		Haryena			Rajaethan	Uttar Pradeah	•	Punjab
		Proposed Capital cost	alaba of Consent Investment establish (CTE) (Orange CATEGO	Investment establish/NOC fee (CTE) in Rupees (Orange CATEGORY)	Capital Investment In Rs.	Fee in Ra. Orange Catagory	Fee in Re. Capital Investment fee Orange Category	2	Capital investment Fee in Rs. For in Rs
	=	Exceeding Rabut not exce	Exceeding Rs. 0.25 crores but not exceeding Rs 0.50 Crores	3750	More than 25 lacs upto 50 lacs	0009	More than Rs. 10 lacs to Rs. 50 lacs	1500	25 lacs to 50 lacs
	2	Exceeding Rs but not excee Crores	Exceeding Rs. 0.10 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.25 Crores	2250	More than 10 4000 lacs upto 25 lacs	4000			10 lacs to 25 lacs
	=	Exceeding Rs but not excer Crores	Exceeding Rs. 0.02 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.10 Crores	1125	More than 05 3000 lacs upto 10 lacs	0000	Less than 10 lacs	200	05 lacs to 10 lacs
	Ê	Investment	upto 0.02	300	Upto 05 lacs	3000			Upto 05 lacs

& agm

8%. 2

- 48-

3. Comparative study of Proposed CTE fee Structure other states for Green category under Water Act, 1974.

	-	Green		3000 120000U	2000 90000	350,000	30000	500 235000	400 180000
	Capital investment	in Rs		S000 crores and above	2000 crores to 5000	1000 crores to 2000 crores	S00 crores to 1000 crores	400 crores to	300 crores to
£	100		2,00,000	2,50,000	1,25,000		75,000	000'00	0
Uttar Pradesh	Canbal	Investment in Re.	More than Rs. 10,000 crores	More than Rs 5000 crores upto Rs.	More than Rs. 1000	crores	More than Rs. 500 crores upto 1000 crores	More than 100	
than		Green Category							
Rajasthan		Capital Investment in Rs.							
Haryana		NOC fee (GTE)	CATEGORY) 78750				52500		42000
7		Proposed slabs of Consent Capital establish Investment cost in Ru	Ing Rs. 1000	crores			Exceeding Rs. 500 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1000 crores		Exceeding Rs. 200 crores but not
Sr.			ê				a L	De	0

è è	1	Haryana	Rejasthan	han	Uttar Pradesh	•		
	Proposed slabs of Capital Investment cost	Consent to	Capital nvestment in Re.	Fee in Rs. Green Category	Capital Investment in Rs.	3	Capital investment in Re	Fee in Rs. For Green category
	crores	CALEGORY					200 crores to 300 crores	_
6	ing Rs.	35000					150 crores to 200 crores	88000
	exceeding Rs. 200 crores						100 crores to 150 crores	70000
•	Exceeding Rs. 50 crores but not	22500		,	More than Rs. 50 crores upto 100	37,000	75 crores to 100 crores	-
	exceeding Rs. 100 crores		More than 50 crores				50 crores to 75 crores	47000
1	B.	13500	More than 25	12500	More than Rs. 10	25,000	40 crores to 50 crores	35,000
=	crores but not exceeding Rs. 50 crores		crores upto 50		crores upto 50 crores		25 crores to 30 crores	20,000
							20 crores to 25 crores	18,000
			crores upto 25	10000			15 crotes to 20 crores 10 crotes to 15 crores	15,000

4

M.

	Fee in Rs. For Green category	000%	2,000	2300	3500	1800
	Capital Investment in Rs	05 crores to 10 crores	02 crores to 05 crores	01 crores to 02 crores	75 lacs to less than 01 crores 50 lacs to 75 lacs	25 lacs to 50 lacs
•		10,000			3000	1500
Uttar Pradesh	Capital Investment in Re.	More than 01 crores			More than Rs. 50 lecs upto Rs. 01 crores	More than Rs. 10 lacs to Rs. 50 lacs
han	Fee in Re. Green Category	0000	7000	0009	2000	3000
Rajasthan	Capital Investment in Rs.	More than 05 crores upto 10 crores	More than 2 crores upto 05 crores	More than 01 crores upto 02	More than 50 lacs upto 01 crores	More than 25 lacs upto 50 lacs
Haryana	Consent to establish/NOC fee (CTE) in Rupees (Green CATEGORY)			6400	0005	2800
Ī	Proposed slabs of Capital Investment cost	Exceeding Rs. 3.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 10.0 crores			ing Rs. 0.50 but not ing Rs. 1	Exceeding Rs. 0.25 crores but not exceeding Rs 0.50 Crores
		6		2	0	9

1		Haryana		Rajaethan	than	Uttar Pradesh	deeh	Author	4
	Proposed slabe of Consent Capital establish Investment cost	Consent establish/NOC in Rupes	to (CTE)	Consent to Capital Fee in Green in Green CATE) investment in Green CATE Rupes (Green Ra. Category	Fee in Rs. Capital Green investin Catagory	Capital Investment in Re.	3	Capital Investment Fee in Re. For in Re.	dreen Ra. F. Category
2	Exceeding Rs. 0.10 1700 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.25	1700		More than 10 lacs upto 25 lacs	2000			10 lacs to 25 lacs	1200
4	Exceeding Rs. 0.02 crores but not exceeding Rs. 0.10	850		More than 05 lecs upto 10 lacs	1500	Less than 10 lacs	200	05 lacs to 10 lacs	750
1-	m) Investment upto 0.02 225	225		Upto 05 lacs	1000			Upto 05 lacs	009

agen

d. W

.42-

	No.	Haryana	#U#	Rajasthan	ethen	חמש	Utter Pradesh			2
		Proposed slabs of Capital Investment cost	of Consent to operate/NOC t fee (CTO) in Rupees (RED CATEGORY)	Capital Investment In Re.	Fee in Rs. Capital Red investr Category	Capital Investment in Re.	fe sal	Renewal	Capital Investment In Re	For red category
.101	•	Exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	450000			More than Rs. 10,000 crores	1000000	2,00,000		
						More than Rs 5000 crores upto Rs.	200000	2,50,000	5000 crores and 2400000 above	2400000
						More than Rs. 1000 crores upto 5000 crores	250000	1,25,000	2000 crores to 5000 crores to 2000 crores to 2000 crores	1200000
48- &	<u>a</u>	Exceeding Rs. 500 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	300000			More than Rs. 500 crores upto 1000 crores	150000	75,000	500 crores to 1000 crores	470000
20mm	0	Exceeding Rs. 200 crores but not exceeding Rs. 500 crores	210000			More than 100 crores upto 500 crores	100000	900'05	400 crores to 500 crores 300 crores to 400 crores	300000

B) 1. Comparative study of Proposed CTO fee Structure with other states for red category under Water Act, 1974.

2	Fee in Ra. For red category	235000	180000	120000	00009	47000	35,000	24,000	20,000	18,000
	Capital investment in Rs	200 crores to	150 crores to 200 crores	100 crores to	75 crores to 100	SO crores to 75	40 crores to 50	30 crores to 40	25 crores to 30 crores	20 crores to 25
	Renewal				35000		25000			
Uttar Pradesh	Initial	(M) in			75000		20000			
Uttar	Capital Investment in Rs.	And the second s			-	crores upto Au	=	crores upto 30		
than	Fee in Re. Red Category					Rs. 72000+ Rs. 1500 per crore or part thereof, of incremental investment above 50	_			0000
Rajasthan	Capital Investment In Rs.					More than 50 crores	More than 25	so crores		0000
ana.	Gonsent to operate/NOC fee (GTO) in Rupees (RED CATEGORY)		195000		120000		00006			
Haryana	Proposed slabs of Capital Investment cost		1-	NOT BEACE GRANT NO. 100 CO. 10	Exceeding Rs. 50 crores but	not exceeding Rs. 100 crores	Exceeding Rs. 10 crores but	not exceeding Rs. 50 crores		
ž. o		•	ਰਿ	•	•		C		Acar	2

	Har	Haryana	Rajasthan	than	Uttar	Uttar Pradesh		gefund	۵
Proposed Cepital In	Proposed slabs of Capital Investment cost	Consent to operate/NOC fee (CTO) in Rupees (RED CATEGORY)	Capital Investment In Rs.	Fee in Re. Red Category	Capital Investment in Rs.	initial for	Renewal	Capital Investment in Re	Fee in Ra. For red category
			crores upto					crores	
			ě					15 crores to 20	15,000
								10 crores to 15	12,000
Ексвед	Exceeding Rs. 3.0 crores but	90000	More than 05	48000	More than 01 crores	20000	10000	05 crores to 10	9,000
not exc	not exceeding Rs. 10.0 crores		crores upto		uto 10 crores			crores	
			More than 2 crores upto 05 crores	42000				02 crores to 05 crores	000′′
					•				
Exceed not ext	Exceeding Rs. 1 crores but not exceeding Rs. 3 crore	30000	More than 01 crores upto 02 crores	37500				01 crores to 02 crores	\$300
Exceed	Exceeding Rs. 0.50 crores but	15000	More than 50	28500	More than Rs. 50 lacs upto Rs. 01	2000	2500	75 lacs to less than 01 crores	3500
101			crores					50 lacs to 75 lacs	2400

M.S

Punjab	Renewal Capital Fee in Re. Investment in For red Rs category	50 25 lacs to 50 lacs 1800	10 lacs to 25 lacs 1200	250 05 lacs to 10 750 lacs
Utter Pradesh	for for	1500 750		500 25
Uttar	Capital investment in Rs.	More than Rs. 10 lecs to Rs. 50 lecs		Rs, 1 lac upto 10 lac
than	Fee in Ra. Capital Red investr Category	24000	16500	12000
Rajasthan	Capital Investment in Rs.	More than 25 lacs upto 50 lacs	More than 10 16500 lacs upto 25	More than 05 lacs upto 10
ana	of Consent to operate/NOC Capital If fee (CTO) in Rupees Investm (RED CATEGORY) in Re.	0009	2000	
Haryana	Proposed slabs of Capital Investment cost	Exceeding Rs. 0.25 crores but not exceeding Rs 0.50 Crores	Investment upto 0.25 crores	
S. S.		=	3	

2 - Barn

2. Comparative study of Proposed CTO fee Structure with other states for Orange category under Water Act, 1974.

Haryana		Rajasthan	than	Uttar	Uttar Pradesh			
				The second section is the second section in the second section in the second section is the second section in the second section in the second section is the second section in the second section in the second section is the second section in the second section in the second section is the second section in the second section in the second section is the second section in the second section in the second section is the second section in the second section in the second section is the second section in the second section in the second section is the second section in the second section in the second section is the second section in the second section in the second section is the second section in the second section in the second section is the second section in the second section in the second section is the second section in the second section in the second section is the second section in the second section is the second section in the second section in the second section is the second section in the second section in the second section is the second section in the section is the section in the section in the section is the section in the section is the section in th	in Main	Denamel	Capital	Fee in Rs. For
slabs of C	o e e e	Capital Investment In Rs.	Fee in Ra. Orange Catagory	Capital Investment in Rs.		2	Investment in	Orange category
Exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	CATEGORY)			More than Rs. 10,000 crores	1000000	2,00,000		
				More than Rs 5000 crores upto Rs.	000005	2,50,000	shove and above	1300000
			1	10,000 crores More than Rs. 1000	250000	1,25,000		to 900000
				crores upto 3000			1000 crores 2000 crores	to 470000
_	00000			More than Rs. 500	150000	75,000	22	to 350000
Exceeding Rs. 500 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1000 crores				crores upto 1000				
								_
	0000			than	100000	20,000	A00 crores to 500 crores	300000
but not exceeding Rs. 500				crores upto suo			300 crores to 400 235000 crores	00 235000

	Re. Fo						
9	Fee in Re. For Orange catagory	180000	120000	88000		88	35000
Punjab	Capital investment in Rs	200 crores to 300 crores	150 crores to 200 crores	100 grores to 150 crores	1-	50 crores to 75 crores	40 crores to 50 crores to 40
	Renewal			,	35000		25000
Uttar Pradesh	fee factories				75000		20000
Uttar	Capital Investment in Rs.		The second of th		More than Rs. 50 crores upto 100 crores		More than Rs. 10 crores upto 50 crores
E	Fee in Rs. Orange Category					Rs. 1500 Per crore or part thereof, of Incrementa i investment above 50	72000
Rajasthan	Capital nvestment n Re.					More than 50 crores	More than 25 crores upto
	Consent to operate/NOC fee (CTO) in Rupees (Grange	CALEGORY	65000		40000		30000
Haryana	slabs of Investment		Exceeding Rs. 100 crores		Exceeding Rs. 50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 100		Exceeding Rs. 10 crotes but not exceeding Rs. 30 crores
	Proposed Capital cost		Exceeding	crores	Exceeding not ex	Crores	Exceedi not exce
Z O			Ô		•		6

qef	Pee in Ra. For Orange category	30000	24000	20000	18000	15000	0006	7000
Punjab	Capital Investment In Rs	25 crores to 30 crores	20 crores to 25 crores	es to 20	ores to 15	res to 10	02 crores to 05 crores	01 trores to 02
	Renewal					10000		
Uttar Pradesh	fee					20000	*	
Uttar	Capital investment in Rs.					More than 01 crores uto 10 crores		
han	Fee in Ra. Orange Category		\$7000			48000	42000	37500
Rajasthan	Capital Investment in Re.		pt 0	25 crores		More than 05 crores upto- 10 crores	More than 2 crores upto 05 crores	More than 01
	Consent to operate/NOC fee (CTO) in Rupses (Orange CATEGORY)					30000	12 00	1000
Haryana	slabs of investment							_
	Proposed Cepital cost					Exceeding Rs. 3.0 crores but not exceeding Rs. 10.0 crores		Exceeding Rs. 1 crores but not exceeding Rs. 3 crore
Š						6		£

		Haryana	a c	Rajasthan	red	Utta	Uttar Pradesh		P	Punjab
	Proposed Capital cost	slabs of investment	Consent operate/NOC (CTO) in Rup (Orange CATEGORY)	to Capital fee Investment ees in Rs.	Pee in Ra. Orange Category	Capital Investment in Rs.	Initial	Renewal	Capital investment in Re	Fee in Rs. For
1				02 crores						
1	Exceeding R.	Exceeding Rs. 0.50 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1	2000	More than 50 lacs upto 01	28500	More than Rs. 50 lacs upto Rs. 01	2000	3500	75 lacs to less than 01 crores	4700
	Crores	•		crores					50 lacs to 75 lacs	3000
1	Exceeding Ribut not exce	Exceeding Rs. 0.25 crores but not exceeding Rs 0.50 Crores	2000	More than 25 lacs upto 50 lacs	24000	More than Rs. 10 lacs to Rs. 50 lacs	1500	0.57	25 lacs to 50 lacs	2400
2	Investment	upto 0.02	0.02 1000	More than 10 16500 lacs upto 25 lacs	16500				10 lacs to 25 lacs	1500
				More than 05 lacs upto 10 lacs	12000	As. 1 lac upto 10 lac	900	250	05 lacs to 10 lacs	006
				Upto 05 lacs	7500	Less than Rs. 1 lacs	25	10	Unto 05 lacs	009

4. 8

-55- ra 2 lam?

	FO		1				Address and the second	Γ
Punjab	Fee in Rs. Green category		1200000	000009	350000	300000	235000	180000
Pur	Capital Investment in Rs	The same of the sa	5000 crores and above	2000 crores to 5000 crores	1000 crores to 2000 crores	crores to 1000	400 crores to 500 crores	300 crores to 400
	Renewal	2,00,000	2,50,000	1,25,000	*	75,000	\$0,000	
Uttar Pradesh	for for	1000000	200000	250000		150000	10000	
Uttar	Capital Investment in Rs.	More than Rs. 10,000 crores	More than Rs 5000 crores upto Rs. 10,000 crores	More than Rs. 1000 crores upto 5000	crores	More than Rs. 500 crores upto 1000 crores	More than 100 crores upto 500	crores
Rajasthan	Fee in Ra. Green Catagory		1				1-0	_
Raja	Capital Investment in Re.	Andrew Andrews						
	0==							
lna.	Consent to Coperate/NOC fee It (CTO) in Rupees it (Green CATEGORY)	112500				75000	00009	
Haryana	Consent to operate/NOC fee (CTO) in Rupees (Green CATEGORY)	1000				1000 1000	700 10t	
Haryana	Consent to operate/NOC fee (CTO) in Rupees (Green CATEGORY)						but not	exceeding Rs. 500

T 1					Uttar Pradesh			Punjab
slabs of Investment	Consent to operate/NOC fee (CTO) in Rupees (Green CATEGORY)	Capital Investment In Rs.	Fee in Rs. Green Category	Capital Investment in Rs.	fee	Renewal	Capital Investment in Rs	Fee in Ra. For Green category
							200 crores to 300 crores	120000
100	48750						150 crores to 200 crores	88000
3							100 crores to 150 crores	70000
Exceeding Rs. 50 crores but not exceeding Rs.	30000			More than Rs. 50 crores upto 100	75000	35000	75 crores to 100 crores	00009
		More than 50 crores	Rs. 72000+ Rs. 1500 per crore or part thereof, of incremental investment above 50	Crores			SO crores to 75 crores	47000
Exceeding Rs. 10 crores but not exceeding Rs. 50	22500	More than 25 crores upto	72000	More than Rs. 10 crores upto 50	20000	25000	40 crores to \$0 crores	35,000
		50 crores					30 crores to 40 crores	40 24,000
							25 crores to 30 crores	30 20,000
		More than 10 57000	\$7000				20 crores to 25	25 18,000

M De &

- 27-

5/19/58/253

Haryana	Proposed slabs of Consent to Capital Fee in Gram Capital Investment operate/NOC fee investment Gram (CTO) in Rupses in Rs. Category	crores upto		Exceeding Rs. 3.0 crores 15000 More than 05 48000 but not exceeding Rs. 10.0 crores upto 10.0 crores	More than 2 42000 grores upto 05 crores	ling Rs. 1 crores 7500 or exceeding Rs. 3	Exceeding Rs. 0.50 3750 More than 50 28500 crores but not crores crores crores crores
Uttar Pradesh	Rs. Capital Initial Initial Investment in Rs. fee			More than 01 crores 200 uto 10 crores			More than Rs. 50 50 lacs upto Rs. 01 crores
G. 0.0	tial Renowal			20000 10000			2500
	Capital investment in Ra	15 crores to 20 crores	10 crores to 15 crores	05 crores to 10 crores	02 crores to 03	01 crores to 02 crores	01 crores 50 lacs to 75 lacs
	Fee in Rs. For Green category	15,000		0006	2,000	2 5300	

M. Q. 8

-38-

Uttar Pradesh	2	24000 More than Rs. 10 1500 750 25 lacs to 50 lacs 18000 lacs to Rs. 50 lacs	10 1665 10 23 1465	12000 Rs. 1 lac upto 10 lac 500 250 05 lacs to 10 lacs 750	600 selection of large
Rajaethan	to Capital Fee	More than 25 240 lacs upto 50 lacs	More than 10 161	More than 05 12000 lacs upto 10 lacs	
Haryana	200	1500			
Ha	Proposed slabs of Consent Capital Investment operate/N	Exceeding Rs. 0.25 crores but not exceeding Rs 0.50 Crores	Investment upto 0.25 750 grores		

va e lagris

Miles

C. Consent fee to establish (NOC/CTE) and Consent fees to operate (CTO) for legally constituted bodies and other units not covered above as per (I)A and (I)B

-	
uning activities	
-	
*	
=	
_	
**	
u	
_	
-	
_	
-	
5	
-	
-	
-	
-	
=	
v	
-	
10	
-	
Annual Consent feet to operate (CTO) for	
5	
See.	
0	
=	
•	
-	
=	
_	
**	
-	
-	
-	
-	
=	
-	
=	
-	
0	
•	
_	
-	
-	
3	
~	
=	
-	
•	
_	
•	
_	
-	
-	
-	
-	
L	
=	
~	
0	
=	
-	
_	
-	
-	
_	
-	
윤	
2	
12	
stab	֡
estab	
D estab	
to estab	
to estab	
e to estab	
se to estab	
fee to estab	
fee to estab	
t fee to estab	
nt fee to estab	
ent fee to estab	
cent fee to estab	
neant fee to estab	
ocent fee to estab	
oncent fee to estab	
Consent fee to estab	
Concent fee to estab	
Consent fee to establish (NOC/CTE) and An	
Consent fee to estab	
Consent fee to estab	֡
Consent fee to estab	֡
Consent fee to estab	֡

4	Sh. Mining activity	Haryana	Rajasthan					Uttar	gefund
		Proposed Consent to	Lease area of	CTE		СТО	The state of the s		9.0
		(NOC/ CTE) Annual Consent to operate fee (CTO)	<u>.</u>	Major	Minor	Major	Minor		
•	Units paying license feet Dead rent Royalty per annum not exceeding Rs. 25 lacs	1,50,000	Above 5 hectares	17000 + Re. 1000 per Incremental hectare above 05 hectares	12000 + Rs. 400 per incremental hectare above 05 hectares	90000 + Rs. 1500 per incremental hectare above 05 hectares	37500 + Rs. 750 per. incremental hectare above 05 hectares	No separate fee structure found.	No separate fee structure found.
a	Units paying license fea/ Dead rent/ Royatty per annum exceeding Rs. 25 lacs but not exceeding Rs.	2,25,000	1 to 5 hectares	17000	12000	37500	33000		
0 0	50 lacs Units paying license feet Dead rent/ Royalty per annum exceeding Rs. 50	3,00,000	than 01 hectares	15000	0000	33000	24000		

Consent fee to establish (NOC/CTE) and Annual Consent fees to operate (CTO) for Hotels.

Proposed Consent to establish (NOC/ CTE) & Annual Consent to establish to operate fee (CTO) in Rupees Nos. of rooms more than 20 but not exceeding consent to operate fee (CTO) in Rupees Nos. of rooms more than 20 but not exceeding 20,000 structure found. Stru	200	Hotel	Haryana	Rajasthan	Ottar Pradean	
10,000 No separate fee No separate fee structure found. 20,000 50,000 75,000 1,00,000			Proposed Consent to establish (NOC/ CTE) & Annual Consent			
50,000 structure found. structure found. 75,000 1,00,000			(0.0) 201 212 200 01	No senarate fee	No separate fee	No separate
50,000	1	Nos of rooms upto 20	10,000	purity format	structure found.	structure fou
		Nos. of rooms more than 20 but not exceeding	20,000			
		Nos. of rooms more than 50 but not exceeding				
		100	75,000			
		No. of rooms more than 100 Hotels having overall waste generation @ 100 KLD and more or Five Star Hotel (frespective				

same we

M. D

Nos. of beds upto 20		
to establish (NOC) Homes and CTE) & Annual Health Care Consent to operate establishment fee (CTO) in Rupees Upto 10 bedded health care facilities, Clinics, pethological, forensici Research laboratories/blood banks, veternary inattutions, dispensaries and animal houses, drug ware house	СТО	
fee (CTO) in Rupees Lipto 10 1,000 beds, non bedded health care facilities, clinics, perhological, forensici Research laboratories/ blood banks, veternary institutions, dispensaries and animal houses, drug ware house		
tee (CTO) in Rupees 1,000 beds, non bedded health care facilities, clinics, pethological, foremetor Research laboratories/ blood banks, veternary institutions, dispensaries and animal houses, drug ware house		
beds, non bedded health care facilities, clinics, pathological, forensici Research laboratories/ blood banks, veternary inattutions, dispensaties and animal houses, drug ware house	10000	No separate res
bedded health care facilities, clinics, pethological, forensici Research laboratories/ blood banks, veternary institutions, dispensaties and animal houses, drug ware house		structure tound
0000		
0000		
0000		
0000		
10000		
10000		
000		
0000		
0000	,	
0000		
0000		
10000		
10000		
10000		
10000		
10000		
10000		
3	+	
	_	
peds and		
Washington of Street,	+	
1	1	

in. Consent fee to establish (NOC/CTE) and Annual Consent fees to operate (CTO) for Health Care Facilities.

-	. 4E	Operator of 30000 100000		Resethen Utter Predesh Punish	2 8 5	Sel etaraces cit	structure found. structure found.	75000	100000
do	35,000 Mo	90.000	Annual Consent fees t	And the second s	Proposed Proposed Consent to Annual Consent (NOC/ CTE)	1	100000	200000	300000
exceeding 50	Nos. of Beds more than 50 but not exceeding 100	No. of Beds more than 100	lish (NOC / CTE)	1	of Area		Building and construction projects having built up area or covered area more than 20,000 square meter but not exceeding 150,000 square meter and having waste water	peneration not exceeding 100 N.E. Building and construction projects having built Building and construction projects having built up area or covered area more than 20,000 Square maler but not exceeding 150,000 Square maler and having waste water	Building and construction projects having built Building and construction projects having built up area exceeding 150,000 square mater or up area exceeding 150,000 square mater or up area exceeding 150,000 square mater or up area more than 50 Hectares but the waste area more than 50 Hectares but the waste

M

Building and construction projects having built 400000 150000 150000 up area exceeding 150,000 aquare meter or township and area development projects having area more than 50 Hectares and the waste waster generation exceeding 200 KLD but not exceeding 500 KLD Building and construction projects having built 600000 200000 up area exceeding 150,000 aquare meter or township and area development projects having area more than 50 Hectares and the waste waster generation exceeding 500 KLD		
	150000	200000
Building and construction projects having built up area exceeding 150,000 square meter or township and area development projects having area more than 50 Hectares and the waste water generation exceeding 200 KLD but not Building and construction projects having built up area exceeding 150,000 square meter or township and area development projects having area more than 50 Hectares and the waste water generation exceeding 500 KLD	400000	000000
	and construction projects having built exceeding 150,000 square meter or pand area development projects having ore than 50 Hectares and the waste eneration exceeding 200 KLD but not	ding 500 KLD ig and construction projects having built has acceeding 150,000 equare meter or hip and area development projects having more than 50 Hectares and the waste generation exceeding 500 KLD.

Consent fee to establish (NOC / CTE) and Consent fees to operate (CTO) for stone crushers, Brick Kilns, Hot Mix Plants, Pulverisers, Screening plants

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Proposed Consent fee to establish (NOC / CTE) and Annual Consent to operate fee (CTO) in Rupees			and the state of t
	10.000	No separate fee structure	No separate fee structure	No separate res successions
Stone Crushers, Brick Kilns, Hot Mix Flams,		found	TOURG	

V). Consent fee to establish (NOC / CTE) and Consent fees to operate (CTO) for legally constituted bodies and other units not covered above as per I (A), I (B), I (C)(i), I (C)(ii), I (C)(ii), I (C)(iv) and I (C)(v).

9	Sr. no. Common facilities	Haryana	Rajasthan Uttar Pradesh	Uttar Pradest		Punjap			
		Consent to establish (NOC/ CTE) & Annual Consent to operate fee (CTO) in		Initial fee	Renewal fee	for year	Fee for Fee 5 years years	Fee for Fee for 15 5 years years	-
	TO COLUMN TO SERVICE STATE OF THE SERVICE STATE STATE OF THE SERVICE STA	Rupees	etaraces on	20000	10000	40000	120000	280000	0
1	Municipal Corporation Tor each of P	December / Local Body)	fee structure	10000	2000	20000	90000	140000	0
1	Ciese A Municipalities I for each of the		found	2000	2500	10000	30000	17000	0
	Class B Municipalities (for each 3 I P)			3000	1500	2000	15000	35000	0
	Class 'C' Municipalities (for each STP)	CANAL STREET		2000	200				ĺ
	Industrial Estate	20000							
	University/ Educational Institutions								
	Govt. infrastructure projects such as new highway	100000							
	construction projects, arroom and commercial an					4 1			1

2. Consent to establish (NOC/CTE) and Annual consent to operate (CTO) fees under Air Act, 1981:-

A) 1.\ Comparative study of Proposed CTE fee Structure and Other states for red category under Air Act, 1974.

6-

Haryana	•	Raja	Rajaethan		Uttar Pradesn			
					la la la la	Danamal	Capital	Fee in Rs. For
Proposed slabs of Capital Investment cost	Consent to operate/NOC fee (CTE) In Rupees (RED	Capital Investment In Rs.	Red Category	Capital Investment in Ra.	2	3	investment in Ra	
	CATEGORY		-	More than Rs.	1000000	2,00,000		
Exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	315000			10,000 crores				
				More than Rs 5000	200000	2,50,000	5000 crores and	2400000
				crores upto Rs.			apone	
				More than Rs. 1000	150000	1,25,000	2000 crores to	1200000
				crores upto 5000			1000 crores to	000009
				Name than Rs. 500	150000	75,000	500 crores to 1000 470000	470000
Exceeding Rs. 500 crores but not exceeding Rs. 1000 crores	210000			crores upto 1000			crores	
				More than 100	100000	20,000	400 crores to 500	0 350000
Exceeding Rs. 200 crores but not exceeding Rs. 500 crores	168000			upto	0		300 crares to 400	000000
							200 crores to 300 crores	
		T			-	-	150 crores to 200	000001
Exceeding Rs. 100 crores but not exceeding Rs. 200 crores	136500			And the second s			crores	

dí.

Haryana Rajasthan Uttar Pradesh	Proposed slabs of Capital Consent to Capital Fee in Ra. Capital Initial Initial Investment cost in Rupees (RED in Ra. Category Ra.	CALEGORY	Exceeding Rs. 50 crores but 90000 Crores but 90000 crores upto 100	Andre than 50 Rs. 50000 + Rs. crores trores or part thereof, of incremental investment above 50	SADOD More than 25	So crores upto crores upto			More than 10 30000	25 crores	
	Renewal Cap	100 cr	35000	50 crc	25000	200 5	25	2	20 cror	1 5 E	191
	Capital Fee in Rs. For investment in Rs red category	100 crores to 150 120000 crores	ores to 100	ves to 75	40 crores to 50 60000	30 crores to 40 53000	25 crores to 30 47000	2	crores to 25	15 crores to 20 35000 crores	10 crores to 15 30000

eri

5/19/1687 263

	t		
	£		Fee in Rs. Capital Red investment in Category Rs.
20000 10000	10 01	uto	
			More than 2 21000 crores upto 05 crores
			18000
2500	19:	More than Rs.	More than 50 15000 More than Rs. 50
	3	grores	
1500 750	12	More than Rs. lacs to Rs. So lacs	More than 25 9000 More than Rs. 10 1500 lacs upto 50 lacs to Rs. 50 lacs lecs

3

	Rs. For	gory			-		The second second											
	Fee In	Green category	130000	88000		70000	00009	40 75 47000					35,000		24,000	20,000		to 25 18,000
e funda	Capital	sent in Rs	200 crores to 300	rores to 200	crores	100 crores to 150 crores	75 crores to 100	1	Savora				40 crores to 50	croffs	30 crores to 40	25 crores to 30	crores	20 crores to 2:
	- Constant						35000						25000					
Uttar Pradesh							75000						00000					
Uttar P		Capital Investment in Rs.						crores upto 100						5	crores upto so			
then		Fee in Rs. Green Category							Rs. 72000+ Rs.	or part	rener	Investment above 50	1	72000				00000
Rajasthen		Capital Investment In Rs.							More than 50	CLOVES				More than 25 72000	crores upto			0000
28		Consent to	EGORY)		48750			30000						22500	and the last of th			
Haryana		sed slabe of	1900		ling Rs.	exceeding Rs. 200 crores		Exceeding As. 50 crores	but not exceeding Rs. 100 crores					Contraction by 10 crores	but not exceeding Rs. 50	crores		
	. oz				6			•	-					1	e Qn			8

5/19/86/280

	Consent to Capital Fee in Rs. Capital Renewal Capital Inkial Renewal Capital Green category (CTO) in Rupees in Rs.	Crores	crores upto 15 crores to 20	10 crores to 15 12,000	Cro	crores 20000 10000 05 crores to - 10	uto 10 crores	73 crosses to 05 7,000	n 2 42000	O5 crores	01 crores to 02 \$300	2	S	28500 More than Ra. 30 3000	INCS upto Na. O.
Haryana	Proposed slabs of Consent Capital Investment operated					15000	but not exceeding Rs.			•		Exceeding Rs. 1 crores 7500	but not exceeding Rs. 3	1	_

2Vins

-87-

Agrin 32

3								
	Green category	1800		1200	-	2		009
	Capital Investment in Rs	25 lacs to 50 lacs	•	10 lacs to 25 lacs		05 lacs to 10 lacs		Upto 05 lacs
	Renewal	750				250		10
Utter Pradesh	Initial	1500	3			200		8
Uttar P	nent in Rs.	0	More than NS. Lo			Rs. 1 lac upto 10 lac		Less than Rs. 1 lacs
then	Fee in Ra. Capital Green investin Catagory		24000	16500		12000		7500
Rajasthan	to Capital fee Investment in Rs.		More than 25 lacs upto 50 lacs	16500	lacs upto 25	More than 05	lacs upto 10	Linto 05 lacs 7500
94	0	TEGORY)			750			
Haryaha	Proposed slabs of Consent Capital Investment operate/N		Rs. 0.25 1500 but not Rs 0.50		upto 0.25			
	Proposed Capital	cost	Exceeding crores exceeding		Investment			
			=		₹ .			

88- gm 82 &

C. Consent fee to establish (NOC/CTE) and Consent fees to operate (CTO) for legally constituted bodies and other units not covered above as per (I)A and (I)B

Consent fee to establish (NOC/CTE) and Annual Consent fees to operate (CTO) for mining activities

Consent fee to establish (NOC/CTE) and Annual Consent fees to operate (CTO) for mining activities

Sc			•	2	0
Sr. Mining activity			Units paying license fee/ Dead rent/ Royalty per annum not exceeding Rs. 25 isce	Units paying license fee/ Deed rent/ Royalty per annum exceeding Rs. 25 lace but not exceeding Rs.	Units paying license feel Dead rent Royalty per annum exceeding Rs. 50
Haryana	Proposed Consent to	(NOC/ CTE) & Annual Consent to operate fee	1,50,000	2,25,000	3,00,000
Rajasthan	Lease area of the mine		Above 5 hectares	1 to 5 hectares	then 01
	CTE	Major	17000 + Rs. 1000 per incremental hectare sbove 05 hectares	17000	15000
		Minor	12000 + Rs. 400 per incremental hectare above 05 hectares	12000	8000
	сто		90000 + Re. 1500 per incremental hectare above 05 hectares	37800	33000
		Minor	37500 + Rs. 750 per incremental hectare above 05 hectares	33000	24000
Pradesh			No beparate fee structure found.		
			No separate fee structure found.		

- Rami 182

Consent fee to establish (NOC/CTE) and Annual Consent fees to operate (CTO) for Hotels.

O.	Hotel	Haryana	Rajasthan	Uttar Pradesn	a fundament
					-
		Proposed Consent to establish (NOC/ CTE) & Annual Consent to operate fee (CTO) in Rupees			
1	Nos of rooms upto 20	10,000	No separate fee	No separate fee	No separate fee
	Nos. of rooms more than 20 but not exceeding	20,000	structure found.	structure tound	structure roughly
0	Nos. of rooms more than 50 but not exceeding	20,000			
1	No of rooms more than 100				
1	Hotels having overall waste generation @ 100 KLD and more or Five Star Hotel (irrespective	1,00,000			

Uttar Predesh Punjab	СТО	structure found.	40000
III. Consent fee to establish (NOC/CTE) and Annual Consent tees to operate (LTO) for manning that the set to establish (NOC/CTE) and Annual Consent tees to operate (LTO) for manning that the set to establish (NOC/CTE) and Annual Consent tees to operate (LTO) for manning that the set to establish (NOC/CTE) and Annual Consent tees to operate (LTO) for manning that the set to establish (NOC/CTE) and Annual Consent tees to operate (LTO) for manning that the set to establish (NOC/CTE) and Annual Consent tees to operate (LTO) for manning that the set to establish (NOC/CTE) and Annual Consent tees to operate (LTO) for manning that the set to establish (NOC/CTE) and the set to est	Hospital, CTE Nursing Homes and Health Care establishment	Upto 10 beds, non bedded health care facilities, clinics, pathological, forensic/ Research laboratories/ blood banks, veternary institutions, dispensaries and animal houses, drug vendor/drug ware house	-
Heryana	Proposed Consent to establish (NOC/CTE) & Annual Consent to operate	000,1	900
Health Care Facilities	200	Nos. of beds upto 20	

 sxoseding 50					beds and		
Nos. of Beds more than 50 but exceeding 100	the	put	ğ	38,000	More than 50 beds	20000+Rs 400 500 per 6 incremental incremental bed bed above bed 50 beds. 50	40000+ Ra. 500 per incremental bed above 50 bede
(b) No. of Beds more than 100	100			80,000	Operator of CBWTFs	30000	100000

iv. Consent fee to establish (NOC / CTE) and Annual Consent fees to operate (CTO) for construction projects

		Haryana		Kalesthan	1000	25.5
5	Construction projects/ Town Ship/ Area Development projects	Proposed Consent to establish (NOC/ CTE)	Proposed Annual Consent to operate fee (CTO) in Rupess			
•	Building and construction projects having built up area or covered area more than 20,000 Square meter but not exceeding 150,000 square meter and having waste water and having waste water	100000	20000	No separate fee structure found.	No separate fee structure found.	No separate fee structure found.
a a	Building and construction projects having built up area or covered area more than 20,000 Square meter but not exceeding 150,000 square meter and having waste water	200000	75000			
0	Building and construction projects having built up area exceeding 150,000 square meter or township and area development projects having area more than 50 Hectares but the waste	300000	100000			

m

Consent fee to establish (NOC / CTE) and Consent fees to operate (CTO) for stone crushers, Brick Kilns, Hot Mix Plants, Pulverlisers, Screening plants >

Proposed Consent fee to establish (NOC / CTE) and Annual Consent to operate						
_			Proposed Consent fee to establish (NOC / CTE) and Annual Consent to operate fee (CTO) in Rupess			•
a) Stone Crushers, Brick Kiins, Hot Mix Plants, 10,000 No separate fee structure No separate fee structure found.	a) Stone Crushers, Bric	K Kilns, Hot Mix Plants,	10,000	No separate fee structure found.	No separate fee structure found.	No separate fee structur found.

& Damo

on

Consent fees to operate (CTO) for legally constituted bodies and other units not covered above as per I (A), I (B), I (C)(i),	Г
5	
<u>e</u>	1
3	
ed .	
	4
og p	9
overe.	
not co	1
Sico	
- Street	1
pue	
selp.	
20	
aftre	
7 00	
-	
10	
(CTO	
do	
Pent.	
S	
Due (-
15/	Š
8	pue
# F	(N)(X)
estab	0.16
9	(C)
vi. Consent fee to establish (NOC / CTE) and Consent f	1 (C)(ii), 1 (C)(iii), 1 (C)(iv) and 1 (C)(v).
900	0
7	

Common facilities		Haryana	Kajastnan	Office Property				
					Danasia fee	T	Fee for	Fee for Fee for 15
	_			Initial Too	New Contract of the Contract o	_	5 vears	Years
		Consent to establish (NOC/				900		
		CTE) & Annual Consent to				year		
		operate fee (CTO) in						10000
		Rupess	_1	20000	40000	40000	120000	280000
The state of the s		5 000 (for STP of Govt.	No separate	1	200	20000	80000	140000
Municipal Corporation (for each 31P)	for each of P	Department / Local Body)	fee structure		2000	40000	30000	17000
Class 'A' Municipalities (for each UIP)	(for each SIP)		found.		300	200	48000	38000
Class 'B' Municipalities (for each STP)	(for each STP)			3000	1500	0006	386	
Class C. Municipalities (for each STP)	(for each STP)	0000	_					
Industrial Estate		A 000	_					
University/ Educational Institutions	Institutions	-	_					
ovt. Infrastructure pr	Govt, infrastructure projects such as new nignway							
construction projects,	and		-					

mag &

Annexure- D

The revision of existing fee structure as per Haryana Water (Prevention and Control of Water Pollution) Rules 1978 notified vide notification no. GSR-125/C.A.6/74/S.64/78 dated 22.12.1978 (Amended till date) under Water Act (Prevention and Control of Pollution) 1974 and as per Haryana Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Rules 1983 notification no. G.S.R. 73/C.A.14/81/S. 54/83 dated 15.12.1983 (amended till date) under Air Act (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981 of Environment Department Govt. of Haryana, is necessitated considering the following aspects:

The fee structure of the Board was previously revised in the year 1997/1999. The
fee is not revised for last 20 years and during this period the Price Index has been
increased from 159.1 (January 1997) to 247.86 (January 2018).

2. The Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Cess Act, 1977 has been abolished after coming into existence of GST Act, 2017. The revenues from the water cess collected under Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Cess Act, 1977 were the major share of the income of the Board which was 05 to 06 Crores annually which is now not a part of income of the Board.

 The Board has been expended many fold since 1997 and still in the process of expansion. Moreover as per the need of the time the Board is increasing its IT set up, Laboratories, Technical/ testing instruments/ monitoring devices.

4. The CPCB has issued the directions under section 18(1)(b) of the Water Act, 1974 and Air Act 1981 vide letter no. B-290129/ESS(CPA)/2015-16/8571 dated 07.03.2016, wherein the industries have been categorised as Red, Orange, Green and White based on the range of pollution index. The white category of industries have been exempted from the consent management. Therefore, separate fee slabs for charging the consent fees under Red, Orange and Green category are necessitated.

 The Board is in the process of constructing the residential building for the employees of the board, office building and strengthening of laboratories, which will need additional funds.

 A number of employees of the board will be retired in the coming years and additional funds will be required for their pension and other liabilities.



oh & Dopm

-95-

Agenda Item No. 180.20 (S)

Addendum to agenda item no 180.9 - Promotion to the post of Senior Scientist of Sh. P.K.M.K Das, Scientist 'C'.

Vide Agenda Item No. 180.9 promotion case of Dr. P.K.M.K Das, Scientist 'C' to the post of Senior Scientist has been placed before the Board of Directors for their consideration.

Dr. S.K. Rohilla, Scientist 'C' has submitted an representation dated 06.06.2018 (Copy enclosed at Annexure-'A'), wherein he has intimated that Civil Writ Petition No. bearing No 14631-2018 (O&M) has been filed by him in Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court. A Copy of Order dated 04.06.2018 is also provided alongwith his representation (Copy enclosed at Annexure-'B'), wherein Hon'ble Court has passed the following orders:

Notice of Motion for 13.07.2018. Promotion if any, of respondent No. 3 shall be subject to decision of this writ petition."

It is pertinent to mention here that the Hon'ble Court in its order dasted 04.06.2018 has not barred the Poliution Control Board for consideration of the case of promotion of Dr. P.K.M.K. Das to the post of Senior Scientist.

The matter is placed below for the information of the Board of Directors.

F-145-27861/1 7-6-18

 The Chairman, Haryana State Pollution Control Board, Panchkula

Member Secretary,
Haryana State Pollution Control Board,
Panchkula

Subject: Board meeting scheduled for 08.06.2018 for filling up the post of Senior Scientist.

Respected Sir,

With due respect and humble submission it is stated that I have served the Haryana State Pollution Control Board for almost 3 decades and have an unblemished service record. At the fag end of my career, when I was eligible to be promoted as Senior Scientist, my rights are being frustrated by completely ignoring my candidature on account of a unilateral decision taken to promote Sh. P.K.M.K Das. Hence, I submit the following facts for the kind consideration of your good self:-

As a matter of record, upon being appointed as Senior Scientific Assistant, I joined the Board on 21.08.1989, while Sh. P.K.M.K Das joined on 23.08.1989. Hence, for all intents and purposes and in terms of Rule 11 of the Haryana State Pollution Control Board (Group A,B & C) Service Regulations, 2004, on the principle of continuous length of service, Sh. P.K.M.K Das is deemed to be junior

3 hg

s(E) &n
7 618

EA-11

to me. It may not be out of place to state here that even at the time of joining service, Sh. P.K.M.K Das had been allowed to join after the expiry of seven days period as prescribed in the appointment letter. Ergo, an illegality in the appointment of Sh. P.K.M.K Das crept at the first instance.

- 2. The first and only tentative seniority list of Scientist B in the State Pollution Control Board was circulated on 23.11.2011, wherein Sh. P.K.M.K Das had erroneously been shown at serial No. 6, while I was placed at serial No. 7. Aggrieved by the wrong placement assigned to me, I submitted detailed objections on 08.12.2011, against the tentative seniority list. The records would reveal that till date the tentative seniority list has not been finalised and the objections submitted by me have not been considered and decided.
- 3. The dispute with respect to the inter-se seniority has remained unresolved and on account of this fact Sh.
 P.K.M.K Das and I were both promoted on the same day as Scientist C, i.e 04.04.2012.
- On 28.02.2018, Sh. Dinesh Kumar superannuated and the post of Senior Scientist was available. Therefore I

on a representation has been taken so far. However, I was surprised to come across the agenda for the proceeding scheduled on 08.06.2018, wherein the decision has already been taken to promote Sh. P.K.M.K. Das as Senior Scientist without deciding the seniority and objections filed by me against the tentative seniority.

I have obtained information under the RTI Act, and it reveals from the noting sheet pertaining to the issue of promotion to the post of Senior Scientist that on account of non-finalisation of the draft rules the process was stopped till finalization of amendments in rules. However, surprisingly on 07.05.2018 without the issue of draft rules being finalised, the process was re initiated. The noting sheet is further throw light on the following facts, which are per se incorrect:-

5.

assumption that Sh. P.K.M.K Das is the seniormost officer in the cadre, which fact is completely incorrect as no decision with respect to the finalisation of seniority has been taken yet.

Furthermore, Sh. P.K.M.K Das cannot be deemed to

be senior until and unless the objections submitted by me are considered and decided.

b)

The noting sheet further reflects that it has been stated that the complaint received from the CM window grievance against Sh. P.K.M.K Das was examined and it is the view of the Board that the officer is not at fault. It may be submitted here that the final decision is to be taken by the competent authority at the level of the Hon'ble Chief Minister and the Board is not in a position to grant a clean chit to him. Furthermore, an enquiry on a complaint submitted through the CM window itself is serious enough for ignoring the claims of Sh. P.K.M.K Das and more over no charge sheet has been issued so far after placing him under suspension.

sheets that actually the number of cases where in pendency / delay 52, but the number of cases delayed have been shown as 28 only, which shows correct information has not been provided by the branch.

d)

It is further stated in the noting sheet that merely because the post of Senior Scientist has been created, final amendment of the rules is not required. The same position continued to exist prior to May, 2018 and the matter had been deferred on this account but the sudden change in stand now taken is also unwarranted.

Apart from the aforementioned facts, the noting sheet is completely silent about certain very vital aspects which appeared to have been deliberately suppressed. Firstly, as per information made available under the RTI Act, it transpires that a complaint from the Director General, State Vigilance Bureau was also received against Sh. P.K.M.K Das having serious allegation of financial irregularities which has deliberately not been processed by the Board, so far. Secondly, the noting sheets are conspicuously silent about suspension 4-5 times on account of serious lapse and six chargesheets, which have been issued to Sh. P.K.M.K Das during the currency of his service. Thirdly, the noting sheet deliberately does not put forth a panel of three persons who can be considered for promotion to the post of Senior Scientist as the officials concerned are aware that the other two persons who can be made part of the panel have a clean and unblemished service record and would certainly stay in a march over Sh. P.K.M.K Das in terms of seniority as well as merit. These facts clearly reveal that several material facts have been deliberately suppressed.

- 5. Since, no action was being taken on my representation and the issue of seniority had also not been decided, I was constrained to approach the Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court by filing CWP No. 14631 of 2018, wherein notice of motion has been issued for 13.07.2018, with the specific direction that promotion, if any would be subject to the outcome of the said petition.
- 7. Pertinently, in para No. 11 of my writ petition I have disclosed the misleading facts recorded in the noting sheets and in para No. 12 of the writ petition details of service record of Sh. P.K.M.K Das, including the aforementioned six chargesheets have also been disclosed in a tabulated form. A copy of the order dated 04.06.2018 is enclosed herewith. Suffice it to say that the Board will have to respond to the aforementioned facts in case a decision is taken to promote Sh. P.K.M.K Das on 08.06.2018.

In normal circumstances, any officer facing enquiry can at best be considered for promotion by resorting to seal the cover process. However, in the present case it appears that entire process of service jurisprudence has been circumvented with the sole objective of granting preferential treatment to Sh. P.K.M.K. Das. The fact that no panel has been prepared and a tainted officer is being preferred over officers with clean service record itself reflects that something wrong is being projected and carried forward in the Board.

In light of the aforementioned circumstances and in order to protect the interest of the Board I have preferred the present representation, without prejudice to my rights, seeking for the kind indulgence of your good self to reject the proposal for promotion of Sh. P.K.M.K Das to the post of Senior Scientist in the meeting scheduled on 08.06.2018 until and unless the complete service record of all eligible employees, including myself are placed before the members of the board and the matter is considered strictly in terms of seniority and merit.

DAV As above

Yours faithfully,

(Dr S.K.Rohilla)

135

CWP-14631-2018 (O&M)

DR. SUDHIR KUMAR ROHILLA
V/S
HARYANA STATE POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD & OTHERS

Present:

Mr. R Kartikeya, Advocate.

for the petitioner.

Notice of motion for 13.07.2018.

Promotion, if any, of respondent No. 3 shall be subject to

decision of this writ petition.

(Lisa Gill) Judge

June 04, 2018

1

Agenda Item No. 180.21 (S)

Strengthening of Haryana State Pollution Control Board with additional Regional Offices, Zonal Offices and supervisory post in the rank of Chief Environmental Engineer in Head Office.

officials in different ranks as per the sanctioned strength with 12 Regional Offices under the control of Regional Officer with the field officials in the rank of Assistant Environmental Engineers, Junior Environmental Engineers and Scientist 'B'. It has been observed in different fora that with the increased load of work and responsibilities the Regional Offices which are currently holding jurisdiction of (mostly) 2-3 districts are not able to deliver the results efficiently and they need to be strengthened with additional Regional Offices (with at least one Regional Office per District) as has been done in all other States.

This matter has been discussed with the Government at the level of Additional Chief Secretary (Environment), Hon'ble Minister of Environment Haryana. Chairman and Members of Public Accounts Committee of Vidhan Sabha of State of Haryana in a meeting also felt the necessity of immediately strengthening of Board by providing more regions and monitoring officers and staff. There is need to establish regional office in each district with regular set up of 3 Assistant Environmental Engineers, 1 Junior Environmental Engineer, 1 Scientist 'B', 1 Assistant, 1 Clerk, 1 Peon & 1 Field Attendant as existing in other Regional Offices. It has also been felt that for monitoring these Regional Offices the Zonal Offices are also required to be set up in the lines of Divisional Commissioner rates established in the State of Haryana with one Senior Environmental Engineer level officer as its Head who may be assisted by 1 Assistant Environmental Engineer, 1 Deputy Superintendent, 1 Assistant, 2 Clerks & 1 Peon. It was also felt that there should be a post of Chief Environmental Engineer at Head Office level to effectively monitor the Zonal Offices and Regional Offices so that the Board can deliver its functions appropriately.

A detailed list of proposed additional manpower for creation of 12 more Regional Offices (with one Regional Office in each District of Haryana and with 2 Regional Offices each in Faridabad and Gurugram where the issues of severe air pollution and more number of industries are observed), 6 Zonal Offices (in Hisar, Ambala, Karnal, Faridabad, Gurugram and Rohtak) following the lines of Divisional Commissioners with the similar jurisdictions and a Chief Environmental Engineer at Headquarter of Haryana State Pollution Control Board has been placed below for consideration of the Board.

It is proposed that the Board may consider the above proposal and accord its inprinciple approval for the same.

5/21/2/299

ANNEXURE-A

		INDEX								
Details of Proposed Manpower for Strengthening of Haryana State Pollution Control Board										
ir. No.	Name of post	No. of posts proposed for creation								
		Head Office Panchkula								
1	Chief Environmental Engineer	1	0	0	1					
2	Senior Environmental Engineer	0	0	6	6					
3	Environmental Engineer	0	12	0	12					
4	Assistant Environmental Engineer	1	36	6	43					
5	Scientist-B	0	12	0	12					
6	Junior Environmental Engineer	0	12	0	12					
7	Deputy Superintendent	0	0	6	6					
8	Assistant	1	12	6	19					
9	Clerk	1	12	12	25					
10	Field Attendant	0	12	0	12					
11	Peon	1	12	6	19					
	Total posts required	5	120	42	167					

5/2/3/300

ANNEXURE-B

Sr.	Name of Office	Pro	posed requiremen	nt of staff str	ength in !	Head Offi	ce
		Chief Environmental Engineer	Assistant Environmental Engineer	Assistant	Clerk	Peon	Total posts required
1	Head Office Panchkula	1	1	1	1	1	5
	Total posts required	1	1	1	1	1	5

ANNEXURE-C

PROPOSED STRENGTHENING OF HARYANA STATE POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

Proposed additional Regional offices (12 Nos.) alongwith the detailed requirement of staff strength

Sr. No.	Name of Proposed Regional Office	Environme ntal Engineer	Assistant Environm ental Engineer	Scientis t-B	Junior Environm ental Engineer	Assistant	Clerk	Field Attend ant	Peon	Total posts required
1	Ambala	1	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	10
2	Kurukshetra	1	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	10
3	Karnal	1	3	1.	1	1	1	1	1	10
4	Palwal	1	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	10
5	Nuh	1.	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	10
6	Sirsa	1	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	10
7	Fatehabad	1	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	10
8	Kaithal	1	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	10
9	Jind	1	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	10
10	Rohtak	1	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	10
11	Mohindergarh	1	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	10
12	Charkhi Dadri	1	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	10
	otal posts required	12	36	12	12	12	12	12	12	120

5/21/5/302

ANNEXURE-D

Sr. No.	Name of Zonal Office	Proposed ne	etailed (requirement				
		Senior Environment al Engineer	Assistant Environment al Engineer	Deputy Superinten dent	Assistant	Clerk	Peon	Total posts required
1	Gurugram	1	1	1	1	2	1	7
2	Faridabad	1	1	1	1	2	1	7
3	Hissar	1	1	1	1	2	1	7
4	Karnal	1	1	1	1	2	1	7
5	Rohtak	1	1	1	1	2	1	7
6	Ambala	1	1	1	1	2	1	7
	al posts quired	6	6	6	6	12	6	42

