

I/160523/2023

HARYANA STATE POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD
C-11 Sector-6, Panchkula
Ph - 0172- 577870-73, Fax No. 2581201
E-mail- hspcbho@gmail.com
Website: hspcb.gov.in

Dated: Approved Date#

To

The Member Secretary,
SEIAA, Bays No. 55 - 58, Prayatan Bhawan,
1st floor, Sector 2, Panchkula.

Sub: Minutes of public hearing regarding for proposed Common Effluent Treatment Plant of 10 MLD capacity based on extended aeration system followed by Tertiary Treatment coming up at Near Industrial Estate Rai, Sector-35, Village- Akbarpur Barota, Tehsil-Rai, District Sonipat, Haryana promoted by HSIIDC Limited.

Kindly refer to the subject noted above.

In this connection it is intimated that on the request of project proponent, the public hearing was conducted by the Board on 03.02.2023 at 11:00AM under the provisions of EIA Notification dated, 14th September, 2006 (as amended) for proposed Common Effluent Treatment Plant of 10 MLD capacity based on extended aeration system followed by Tertiary Treatment coming up at Near Industrial Estate Rai, Sector-35, Village-Akbarpur Barota, Tehsil-Rai, District Sonipat, Haryana promoted by HSIIDC Limited by M/s Haryana State Industrial and Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited (HSIIDC), Industrial Estate, Rai, Sonipat. The proceeding of the above said public hearing submitted by Regional Officer, Sonipat, Haryana, State Pollution Control Board vide his letter No. 6056 dt. 28.03.2023, are attached herewith attendance sheet, video recording CD & photographs taken during the process of public hearing and other relevant documents, for information and further necessary action.

DA/As above.

Sr. Environmental Engineer (HQ)
For Chairman

Endst. No. HSPCB/2023/

Dated:

A copy of above alongwith copy of proceeding with attendance sheet is forwarded to following for information and further necessary action please:-

1. The Secretary, Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Indira Paryavaran Bhavan, Jorbagh Road, New Delhi - 110 003.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary to Govt. Haryana, Environment Forest & Wildlife Department, Chandigarh.
3. The Director General, Environment, Haryana.
4. The Deputy Commissioner, Sonipat
5. The Chairman, Zila Parishad, Sonipat.
6. Municipal Corporation / Committee, Sonipat.
7. Regional Officer, Sonipat. He is required to send the copy of proceedings to all the concerned Village Panchyat for displaying in their offices.

I/160523/2023

8. PS to Chairman.
 9. PA to Member Secretary.
 10. Nodal Officer IT Cell for uploading the proceeding on the website of the Board.
 11. M/s Haryana State Industrial and Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited (HSIIDC), Industrial Estate, Rai, Sonipat.
 - 12.
- DA/Copy of Proceeding.**

Sr. Environmental Engineer HQ)
For Chairman

Digitally Signed by
Bhupender Singh Rinwa
Date: 21-04-2023 17:03:11
Reason: Approved

HARYANA STATE POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

Star Complex, Opp. General Hospital, Delhi Road, Sonipat

Ph. - 0130-2236119, E-mail ID: - hspcbrosr@gmail.com

No. HSPCB/SR/2023/6056

Dated 28/03/2023

To

The Chairman,
Haryana State Pollution Control Board,
Panchkula.

Kind Attn.:- SEE, Planning (HQ)

Sub:- Minutes of public hearing regarding for proposed Common Effluent Treatment Plant of 10 MLD capacity based on extended aeration system followed by Tertiary Treatment coming up at Near Industrial Estate Rai, Sector-35, Village-Akbarpur Barota, Tehsil-Rai, District Sonipat, Haryana promoted by HSIIDC Limited.

Please find enclosed herewith the minutes of the Public Hearing of the **Common Effluent Treatment Plant of 10 MLD capacity based on extended aeration system followed by Tertiary Treatment coming up at Near Industrial Estate Rai, Sector-35, Village-Akbarpur Barota, Tehsil-Rai, District Sonipat, Haryana to be installed by HSIIDC Limited** held on 03.02.2023 at 11:00 AM along with attendance sheet of Officers, general Public, Photographs, Video (Pen Drive) & 07 Nos. of objections/suggestion of public received during public hearing.

Submitted for further submission to Chairman, SEIAA, Haryana for further necessary action, please.

- DA/**
1. Minutes of Public Hearing (02 sets).
 2. Attendance sheet of general public (02 sets).
 3. Video recording & photographs (Pen Drive 02 sets).
 4. 07 Nos. of objection/suggestion of Public (02 sets).

VIRENDER
SINGH PUNIA
Regional Officer
Sonipat Region

Digitally signed by
VIRENDER SINGH PUNIA
Date: 2023.03.28
16:31:07 +05'30'

Minutes of Public hearing regarding Proposed Common Effluent Treatment Plant 10 MLD at Near Rai Industrial Estate, Sector-35, Village- Akbarpur Barota, Tehsil-Rai, District- Sonipat, Haryana held on dated 03.02.2023 at 11.00 AM .

The Public Hearing of Proposed Common Effluent Treatment Plant (CETP) 10 MLD at Near Rai Industrial Estate, Sector-35, Village- Akbarpur Barota, Tehsil-Rai, District- Sonipat, Haryana was held on site i.e at sec 35 village Akbarpur Barota (Haryana).

The above-mentioned proposed 10 MLD CETP project pertains to treatment of industrial effluent generated from HSIIDC Industrial Estate Phase II and III on the total land measuring 23 Acres out of which plant will be constructed in 10 Acres only in Sector-35, Village- Akbarpur Barota, Tehsil-Rai, District- Sonipat (Haryana). The project is required to obtain the Environmental Clearance under EIA Notification of Ministry of Environment & Forest, Govt. of India, New Delhi dated 14.09.2006 subsequently amended on 15.01.2016.

This Project falls under Category B and requires Public Hearing. The Environment Clearance of this project is to be given by SEIAA/SEAC, Panchkula, Haryana. In this regard an advertisement for conducting Public Hearing was published in English & Hindi Newspaper.

The Copies of Executive summary of the project Proposed Common Effluent Treatment Plant 10 MLD at Near Rai Industrial Estate, Sector-35, Village- Akbarpur Barota, Tehsil-Rai, District- Sonipat, Haryana were made available in Hindi & English in the offices of Deputy Commissioner Sonipat, SDM Sonipat, Joint Director District Industries Centre Sonipat, DDPO Sonipat, DTP Sonipat, DFO Sonipat, DPRO Sonipat and G.M HSIIDC Sonipat for reading of general public at large and for inviting the suggestions, comments and objections, if any. Copies of the Executive summary of the project were also distributed to the persons and officers present at site during Public Hearing and presentation was given to the audience by the Project Proponent.

The following officers were present during the public hearing:-

1. Smt. Ankita Chaudhry, IAS, Additional Deputy Commissioner, Sonipat.
2. Sh. Virender Singh Punia, EE, Regional Officer, HSPCB, Sonipat.
3. Sh. Virender Kadian ,GM HSIIDC, Sonipat.
4. Sh. O.P. Saroha, HSIIDC, Sonipat
5. Sh. Praveen Kumar, AEE, HSPCB, Sonipat.


6. Sh. Tarun Malik, HSIIDC Rai, Sonipat.

With due permission of the Chairperson, the Regional Officer, HSPCB described in detail regarding the proposed 10 MLD CETP project including detailed concept/purpose of public hearing held under the provisions of EIA Notification 14.09.2006 and requested the public to record their comments/suggestions/objections/ views/complaints/ ideas one by one, if any. They were assured that their objections/suggestions/comments/complaints/ideas shall be recorded in the proceeding / minutes and sent to the concerned authorities.

Environmental Technical Consultant Dr. Yati Kachhawa of M/s Gaurang Environmental Solution Private Limited, Jaipur, explained the project report in detail and informed the people present there about the management of pollution and, proposed prevention measures to be taken as per CPCB/HSPCB Standards to control pollution due to proposed project. He also informed about Plantation, Corporate Social Responsibility, Health, and Safety etc.

Details of comments / suggestions / objections / views / ideas raised during public hearing are enclosed as **Annexure-'A'**, 02 No's sets of Video & Photographs of the public hearing are attached as **Annexure-'B'** in Pen Drives. Attendance of the public is enclosed as **Annexure-'C'**.

The hearing was ended by vote of thanks to the Chair and all the officers and general public for attending the public Hearing.



AEE
Sonipat Region



Regional Officer
Distt. Sonipat



Add. Deputy Commissioner
Distt. Sonipat.

Annexure-A

Details of comments/suggestions/objections/views/ideas rose during public hearing.

- Sh. Virender Singh Punia, R.O, HSPCB, Sonipat-** briefed the public gathering about the project and explained that this is the process that must be followed as per the notification before deciding the Environmental Clearance case. Opinions of the public gathering today will be sent in written to SEIAA and SEIAA is the final authority for deciding the EC.
- 1. Sh. Surender khushwa, Village-Akbarpur Barota, Sonipat -** Sh. Surender khushwa first of all gives his brief introduction about himself and regarding this proposed project and then raised series of objections which are as under:-
- (i) He asked whether the proposed site is industrial sector or residential sector and he further submitted that as per best of his knowledge that the said site is residential sector, hence officials of HSIIDC mislead the local public.
 - (ii) If it is an industrial sector then why these residential societies were developed all around the project site?
 - (iii) CETP must be integral part of industrial estate then where and how without this CETP industrial effluent was treated since last 13 years as industries are running here since last 13 years?
 - (iv) This CETP was proposed in Sector – 38, Phase - 2 for which the public hearing had already conducted on 07/11/2013, then why again this Public Hearing is conducting for the same project?
 - (v) He further claimed that HSIIDC has submitted false affidavit in the Hon'ble NGT in year 2017.
 - (vi) How HSIIDC damage the standing crops of the farmers at the proposed project site and also inform the media that HSIIDC had permission for the same and also claims that sequence adopted by the HSIIDC for obtaining EIA is not correct?
 - (vii) He claims that as CETP is a highly polluted industry with pollution index 60 why PP has not submitted the copy of NOC from Town and

Country planning department for conversion of residential sector to industrial sector at the time of application in FORM 1?

- (viii) He requested the chair person to re-investigate the project on technical ground and decide as per environmental laws and acts, also gives his suggestion to shift the location of proposed CETP to Sec 38 instead of Sec 35.
- (ix) He further asked that in past the said project was proposed in Sector 38 now, why HSIIDC is proposing this CETP in this sector?
- (x) My suggestions to again think about the technical point of establishing this CETP as around 40 thousand people will be affected.

Sh. Virender Singh Punia, R.O, HSPCB, Sonipat directed the P.P to reply the objections/view/suggestions by Sh. Surender Khushwa.

P.P Dr. Yati Kachhawa – Explained that the land is dully converted for the industrial purpose and Govt. has acquired the said 23 Acres of land for the construction of CETP and handed over it to the HSIIDC. Regarding sequence of EIA clearance Dr. Yati explains that they have adopted the correct sequences as plot is allotted to HSIIDC and they had already submitted draft EIA in the SEIAA and also clarifies that Public Hearing does not means at all that proposed project is approved, it is just a step of EIA clearance. This is a platform where objections/questions/suggestions of public are recorded and same will be sent to SEIAA for the final decision. Committee will examine the case keeping in view of all the objections/suggestions.

Sh. Virender Singh Punia, R.O, HSPCB, Sonipat- you are requested to ask the queries related to this project and related to environment and pollution. if you have any written submission you may submit the same either now or in the office of RO Sonipat all these written objections will also be sent along with the M.O.M to the higher authority for the consideration.

Smt. Ankita Chaudhary, IAS, Additional Deputy Commissioner, Sonipat - RO Pollution already told you and your suggestions/objections are welcomed related to environment and pollution or any other queries which is affected due to construction of this project.

2. Sh. Sukhpal Kushwa, Village-Akbarpur Barota, Sonipat – gives brief details about the documents which he acquired by the means of RTI regarding this proposed Site from various departments. He further submitted that the plot was allotted to HSIIDC in the year 2012 and it takes 09 years to conduct Public Hearing & quote various Acts, Rules, Procedure & explains that this project was already dropped by the Govt. in past & needs explanation regarding the same.

Sh. Virender Singh Punia, R.O, HSPCB, Sonipat- again requested him that the detailed reports/documents which you have with yourself may kindly submit, so the all the documents can attach with the MOM and ask some relevant questions regarding impact on environment due to this proposed CETP at this site, as the facts/policy/acts/rules are already available in the record.

P.P Dr. Yati Kachhawa – explains that this 23 Acre of land was dully converted into industrial sector for allotted to HSIIDC for the construction of CETP (10 MLD) he can show the necessary documents in this regards.

Sh. Sukhpal Kushwa, Village-Akbarpur Barota, Sonipat - again raised his objection regarding land record and acquirement by HSIIDC and gives various references of different departments regarding the same.

Sh. Virender Singh Punia, R.O, HSPCB, Sonipat- again requested him to please raise objection related to environmental aspects and also give chance to PP for reply.

Sh. Sukhpal Kushwa , Village-Akbarpur Barota, Sonipat - asked question from HSIIDC officer regarding letter dated 25/01/2019 written by HSIIDC to him through Hon'ble CM Haryana.

Sh. Virender Kadian, GM HSIIDC, SONIPAT- replied that it is a matter of record.

3. **Sh. Sunil Saroha S/o Sh. Attar Singh resident of Tulip Society, Sonipat** - whether HSIIDC representative are having complete papers regarding land record with them or not and if they have then give the answer to the point.

Sh. Virender Kadian, GM HSIIDC, SONIPAT- Yes, all the papers of land record are with them.

Sh. Sunil Saroha S/o Sh. Attar Singh resident of Tulip Society, Sonipat - asked the public not to waste the time of others and please ask particular questions relates to proposed CETP so that PP can explains to the point. He further submitted that this CETP was mentioned in the master plan of 2011, but in 2021 master plan this land was declared as residential zone please justify the same and why CETP was not mentioned in the master plan 2021?

P.P Dr. Yati Kachhawa – Explains that Haryana Govt. has released a gazette notification on dated 12/04/2022 in which at annexure 'B' it is clearly mentioned that this type of project covers under public utility.

Sh. Sunil Saroha S/o Sh. Attar Singh resident of Tulip Society, Sonipat- asked that whether the public present here is in the favor of this project or against the project?

Public Present- Almost 100% public raised their voice against this proposed project.

Sh. Virender Singh Punia, R.O, HSPCB, Sonipat – again asked that, if anybody wants to submit any suggestion/objection/comments/view can submit the same please.

Sh. Sunil Saroha S/o Sh. Attar Singh resident of Tulip Society, Sonipat - requested in public interest that please cancel this project & EC may not granted.

4. **Sh. Sukhpal Kushwa, Village-Akbarpur Barota, Sonipat-** reads final order of Hon'ble NGT that HSIIDC will not construct CETP at sect 35 without obtaining E.C and you can't move even a single step without complying laws.

P.P –Dr. Yati Kachhawa – replied that EC committee will consider each and every aspect regarding land, air, water, soil, noise pollution & aravali clearance also consider your comments/suggestions/objection before granting E.C. and we are following the orders of Hon'ble NGT.

Sh. Sukhpal Kushwa, Village-Akbarpur Barota, Sonipat- again reads the order of Hon'ble NGT that Rahul khurana AAG submitted the representation of HSIIDC officer present in the court that construction of CETP land measuring 23 acres at Sector-35, Rai is in progress and ask HSIIDC officers what do you want to say regarding this?

P.P –Dr. Yati Kachhawa – He explains that CETP project in progress does not mean at all that they have started construction at site it means that they have applied for the Environmental Clearance for approval which is still pending & also explain in brief that EC process start on the day when application for TOR was filed & complete on the day when EC granted and this public hearing is 3rd step in the process and justify in that way this project is in progress but no physical activity was going on site.

Sh. Sukhpal Kushwa, Village-Akbarpur Barota, Sonipat- raised series of objections which are as under:-

- (a) Mentioned CPCB & HSPCB letter dated 01/04/2012 regarding categorization of industries also quote some Hon'ble High Court reference that minimum 400 mtr distance is required from residential zone for setting up of Red categories of industries & specify that this proposed CETP is just 60 mtr from the residential zone not even 100 mtr then how can it be proposed?
- (b) Also quote verdict of Raghuraj v/s State of Haryana regarding violation in NCR planning Board.
- (c) Quote letter of HSIIDC (2017) in which he demands some documents & HSIIDC explained that when they have existing CETP then why again there is a requirement of this additional CETP in Sec 35?

Sh. Virender Singh Punia, R.O, HSPCB, Sonipat – Directed the HSIIDC to give answers to the questions raised by Sh. Sukhpal kushwa ji.

Sh. Virender Kadian, GM, HSIIDC, SONIPAT- submitted that there is already an existing functional CETP for the treatment of industrial effluent generated from phase 1, Rai and this proposed CETP is for the treatment of effluent generated from industrial estates of Phase 2 and Phase 3, Rai.

Sh. Sukhpal Kushwa , Village-Akbarpur Barota, Sonipat - He again & again asked that this project is already scrapped by the govt. then why again it has revived?

Sh. Virender Singh Punia, R.O, HSPCB, Sonipat – again requested to raise their question relevant to the project.

Smt. Ankita Chaudhary, IAS, Additional Deputy Commissioner, Sonipat- She also requested to please ask relevant questions that what precautionary measures were adopted for air & water pollution controls & be specific regarding questions of environmental issues raised by this project. All other documents/permission will be scrutinized by the committee.

Sh. Sukhpal Kushwa, Village Akbarpur Barota, Sonipat- asks PP why are you mentioned in EIA Form 1 that the distance between interstate boundary is 12 KM i.e from Bhagpat (U.P)?

Sh. Virender Singh Punia, R.O, HSPCB, Sonipat – Ask what is your concerned regarding this? Are they showing distance less or more please elaborates?

Sh. Sukhpal Kushwa, Village-Akbarpur Barota, Sonipat - submitted that they are showing nearest interstate boundry from Bhagpat (U.P) which is approx. 12 KM and the nearest interstate boundary from here is with Delhi which is just 4.5 KM. They are showing nearest boundry from Bagpat (UP) instead of Delhi, so that this project can come under category 'B' & for such category SEIAA, Haryana is the competent authority. They knowingly don't want to show Delhi as nearest interstate boundary, as if they do so the project comes under category 'A' & for clearance of category 'A' projects MoEF&CC, New Delhi is the competent authority.

Sh. Virender Singh Punia, R.O, HSPCB, Sonipat – Noted and also appreciated & encourage him to raise such kinds of good technical question related to the project.

5. **Sh. A.k Shrivastav** - on behalf of RSWA he raised concerned that mostly senior citizens are residing here, so they request not to start this project here.
6. **Smt. Preet Chaturvedi** - she also raised her voice against the project that why HSIIDC don't made plantation at existing STP at Rathdhana to control smell as it smells very bad. Why are you forcing us to smell champa chameli, we don't want these champa chemli here.

Sh. Virender Singh Punia, R.O, HSPCB, Sonipat – Noted.


7. **Sh. Ashish Gulati**- he claims that during effluent treatment at this proposed CETP various hazardous gases were release which smells bad and also hazardous for human health. My main concerned is hazardous smell which make difficult to live here.

P.P –Dr. Yati Kachhawa – explains that proper precautionary measures were taken to reduce smell proper green belt will be developed all around the CETP.

8. **Mrs. Kamlesh Sharma**- she also raised her voice against the project.
9. **Sh. Sonu S/o Raj Singh**- we have bought this land to built house but we are forced to give this land to the Govt forcefully for treatment plant.

Sh. Virender Singh Punia, R.O, HSPCB, Sonipat – Thanks to all for giving their precious time to this public hearing & ensure that he will send all your objections/suggestion to SEIAA, Haryana.

The hearing was ended by vote of thanks to the Chair and all the officers and general public for attending the public Hearing.









AEE
Sonipat Region


Regional Officer
Distt. Sonipat


Add. Deputy Commissioner
Distt. Sonipat

Attendance Sheet

Sub. Public Hearing Notice in respect of Environmental Clearance as per (TOR) letter from EAC, MoEF & CC, GoI, New Delhi M/s Haryana State Industrial and Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited (HSIIDC), Industrial Estate, Rai, Sonipat in respect of their proposed project for "common effluent treatment plant of 10 MLD capacity based on extended aeration system followed by Tertiary Treatment coming up at Near Industrial Estate Rai, Sector-35, Village-Akbarpur Barota, Tehsil-Rai, District- Sonipat, Haryana.

| Sr. No. | Name of Officer | Designation/Organization | Mobile No. | Signature |
|---------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------|--|
| 1 | Ankita Choudhary | ADC Sonipat | 9582837647 |  |
| 2 | Virender Singh Punia | RO, Sonipat, HSPCB | 9888899904 |  |
| 3 | Virender Kadyan | AGM, HSIIDC, Rai | 9416767348 |  |
| 4 | Omparkash | Manager, HSIIDC, Rai | 8980133659 |  |
| 5 | Rohit Deswal | AGM, HSIIDC, Rai | 9992999008 |  |
| 6 | Manish | IEO DIC PNP | 9416106251 |  |
| 7 | Praveen Kumar | AEE, HSPCB, PNP | 9560065828 |  |
| 8 | | | | |
| 9 | | | | |
| 10 | | | | |
| 11 | | | | |
| 12 | | | | |
| 13 | | | | |
| 14 | | | | |
| 15 | | | | |
| 16 | | | | |
| 17 | | | | |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | | | | |

Sub:- Public Hearing for proposed Common Effluent Treatment Plant of 10 MLD capacity based on extended aeration system followed by Tertiary Treatment coming up at HSILDC Industrial Estate Rai, sector-35 village-Akbarpur Barota, Tehsil- Rai, Distt- Sonapat, Haryana held on 03.02.2023 near Barota Police Chowki.

श्री श्री राजेश कुमार राय
आयोजक
आयोजन समिति

Attendance sheet

| Sr. No. | Name | Village/ Town | Email id & Mobile No. | Signature |
|---------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| 1. | गारो | Barota | 9813523202 | ममम |
| 2. | सुरेश | Barota | 9996607303 | दोश |
| 3. | सुधी | Barota | 9050820202 | सुधी |
| 4. | शोभाकुमार | Barota | 8930291800 | मम |
| 5. | डिम्पल अहिल | Tulip Ground | 9466874540 | डिम्पल |
| 6. | पंकज शेरमा | Tulip Ground | 9896022809 | पंकज |
| 7. | SINIL SAROHA | Tulip Ground | 8059001123 | सिनिल |
| 8. | B.P. Kulkarni | Tulip Ground | 9013322271 | पिन |
| 9. | रमेश सिंह | Barota | 9518904536 | रमेश |
| 10. | Vishwan Kumar | Barota | 9034992008 | विश्वान |
| 11. | Deen Deved Sharma | Tulip Ground | 9818802800 | दीन |
| 12. | अमर | Barota | 8059618000 | अमर |
| 13. | Sangeer Dhis | Tulip Ground | 9891348338 | संगीर |
| 14. | Sohil Chakra | Tulip Ground | 9050329332 | सोहिल |
| 15. | अमल कुमार | Tulip Ground | 9991188869 | अमल |

| | | | | |
|-----|-------------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| 16. | ಶಿವನಿಶಾ 4221121 | 3453104 | 9091218408 | ಶಿವನಿಶಾ |
| 17. | 3421197 | 51531 | 7015903549 | 3421197 |
| 18. | Santosh | " | 9813793914 | SF |
| 19. | Satish | 51531 | 8952103166 | Satish |
| 20. | ಶಿವನಿಶಾ | 51531 | 9953086551 | ಶಿವನಿಶಾ |
| 21. | Ash Ruketi | Tulip | 9611335577 | ಅಶ್ವ |
| 22. | ಶಿವನಿಶಾ | 51531 | 9728329696 | ಶಿವನಿಶಾ |
| 23. | ಶಿವನಿಶಾ | 51531 | 9168842197 | ಶಿವನಿಶಾ |
| 24. | Sany | 51531 | 9416909209 | Sany |
| 25. | Anand | 51531 | 7206779177 | Anand |
| 26. | Satish | " | 9050815741 | Satish |
| 27. | Prof Sharma | Tulip Grand | 82219-59569 | Satish |
| 28. | ಶಿವನಿಶಾ | Tulip Grand | 8447121010 | ಶಿವನಿಶಾ |
| 29. | Balniki Arundhary | Tulip Grand | 9870414198 | ಶಿವನಿಶಾ |
| 30. | Prem Anand | Tulip Grand | 9911381088 | Anand |
| 31. | Kristal Chhabra | Tulip Grand | | Kristal |
| 32. | ಶಿವನಿಶಾ | 51531 | | ಶಿವನಿಶಾ |
| 33. | Ramesh | 51531 | 9812194326 | Ramesh |

| | | | | |
|-----|--------------|------------|--------------|--------|
| 34. | RAVIN DER | BAROTA | 98131 64005 | |
| 35. | KUNAL | BAROTA | 7022992404 | KUNAL |
| 36. | OMBIK | BAROTA | 9813649665 | OMBIK |
| 37. | ANSON MAN | Bharota | 9019 6022503 | |
| 38. | Chiraj Sai | AP, Barota | 9901875955 | |
| 39. | AMIT KUMAR | TULIPARAND | 7206949999 | Amit |
| 40. | ved Rankeish | AP, Melman | 95885757584 | |
| 41. | Qubraish | BAROT | 9991688800 | |
| 42. | Rakesh | Sathraj | 8307821446 | |
| 43. | Tushu | Taheri | 9971519900 | |
| 44. | Mukesh | Sathraj | 7988960485 | |
| 45. | MAJION | MAJION | | MAJION |
| 46. | Tinku | MAJION | 9050074658 | |
| 47. | Sunid | MAJION | 839688220 | |
| 48. | Vijay | MAJION | 8059583666 | Vijay |
| 49. | Sunit | Taheri | 9728003131 | |
| 50. | Nikhil | Barota | 9306267060 | Nikhil |

| | | | | |
|-----|---------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|--|
| 51. | Braun Jun | 15/11/20 | 9660173040 | |
| 52. | A.K. Shivrajwar | Ansul Green Escape APT. | 9891238874 | |
| 53. | Raj Ravi | Ansul Green Escape APT. | 94165 18619 | |
| 54. | Prat Chaturvedi | Ansul Green Escape APT. | 9868765361 | |
| 55. | Asha Srivastava | Ansul Green Escape APT. | 9911238874 | |
| 56. | Kamlesh Shrivastava | Tulip ground | 9416259894 | |
| 57. | Anurupa Randeley | Tulip ground | 7596401719 | |
| 58. | Madhu | Tulip ground | 9205664509 | |
| 59. | Seema | Tulip ground | 9643122762 | |
| 60. | Vikas Singh & Madhu Singh | Tulip Green APT. | 9896177976 | |
| 61. | ANURUPA RANDELEY | Tulip Ground | 9915115521 | |
| 62. | SURENDER KUSHWAHA | Vill Anurupa Bazar | 9971352444 | |
| 63. | Vijay Pal Singh | -Do- | 7906950000 | |
| 64. | Tulshar Singh Gaudam | Tulip Ground | 8307839277 | |
| 65. | Ravi Kant Chaturvedi | Ansul Green Escape | 9968021616 | |
| 66. | Pooleshree | TULIP- | | |
| 67. | Aashish | Anurupa Bazar | 89350731762 | |
| 68. | R.S. BHATTIN | Ansul Green Escape | 9355745159 | |

| | | | | |
|-----|------------------------|--------------------|------------|--|
| 69. | R.K. Khatkar | Anmol Green Pasupa | 9818289114 | |
| 70. | Mahinder Pal Singh | Anoop Kumar | 9582407103 | |
| 71. | विश्वनाथ सिंह | विश्वनाथ सिंह | 9991373955 | |
| 72. | विकास | विकास | | |
| 73. | विश्वनाथ | विश्वनाथ | | |
| 74. | अधी | अधी | | |
| 75. | विश्वनाथ | विश्वनाथ | | |
| 76. | विश्वनाथ | विश्वनाथ | | |
| 77. | Ramesh Chandra | महेश चंद्र | 9350929269 | |
| 78. | विश्वनाथ | विश्वनाथ | 9991136509 | |
| 79. | विश्वनाथ | विश्वनाथ | 9955414841 | |
| 80. | Rakesh S. Sarwaj Singh | राजेश | 8307821446 | |
| 81. | विश्वनाथ | विश्वनाथ | 822187701 | |
| 82. | विश्वनाथ | विश्वनाथ | 9729507510 | |
| 83. | ERANK SODHAKA SINDHA | JATHERRA | | |
| 84. | विश्वनाथ | विश्वनाथ | 9813623789 | |
| 85. | Deepak Singh | Deepak Singh | 9991569619 | |
| 86. | Sukhpal Singh | Atul Kumar | 9560166609 | |

| | | | | |
|------|--|--|--|--|
| 87. | | | | |
| 88. | | | | |
| 89. | | | | |
| 90. | | | | |
| 91. | | | | |
| 92. | | | | |
| 93. | | | | |
| 94. | | | | |
| 95. | | | | |
| 96. | | | | |
| 97. | | | | |
| 98. | | | | |
| 99. | | | | |
| 100. | | | | |

2737760/2023/Estt.Br

EC/IE/38-39 Rai/ 85/ Dated; 02.02.2023

To

Deputy Commissioner

Sonipat.

Subject: - Objection, Observations and suggestions on Public Hearing 03.02.2023 for construction of CETP in Residential Sector 35, Sonipat.

Dear Sir,

This is with reference to public hearing conducted on 03.02.2023 (letter no: HSPCB/SR/2023/5257 Dated 23.01.23. TOR No SEIAA (133)/HR/2021/12 dated 03.01.2023

1. Sector-35 near village AkbarPur Barota is Residential Sector as per **Sonipat Kundli Multifunctional Urban Complex Final Development Plan 2021**, whereas the above TOR by SEIAA and Notice by HSPCB is has been issued for PH for Industrial sector so both of the quoted document is not applicable on Residential 35.
2. Project of sector 38 Phase II & 39 is pending with EAC violation committee of MOEF&CC, for EC approval. please refer your letter no HSIIDC /IA/R /20/1635 dated 28.1.2020. Term of Reference no IA/HR/NCP/142015/2020 F.No 21-31/2020-IA.III when this project is pending with Violation Committee then How and under which rule a second TOR has been opened with SEAC ?
3. This land of falls in Residential sector 35 within controlled area of Residential zone. Have you informed to SEIAA /MOEF&CC ?
4. Have you disclosed to SEIAA/SEAC that the matter of Sector 38 Phase II & 39 is pending with MOEF &CC/ EAC violation committee because you have already developed the project without EC?
5. Please refer No. 21-1046/2007-IA.III, Dated June 20, 2008, Contract No.: EIA/EMP HSIIDC: IA:2007:959 dt.10.05.2007. based on Sector 38 Phase II is already developed. Have you informed to EAC / MOEF&CC about change the location of CETP to Residential sector 35?
6. Land was Acquired on 5.09.2013 on utmost urgent basis then why it took 9 years to conduct Public Hearing?
7. Public Hearing was conducted by HSPCB on 7.11.2013 for Development of Sector 38 Phase II and CETP was part of that PH. How that project is working without CETP and then why separate PH hearing for same Project with wrong inputs saying that sector 35 is industrial estate?
8. Have HSIIDC told to HSPCB or any other competent authorities that 38 Phase II & 39 is already working without Environment Clearance and is under violation?
9. Development of Sector 38 Phase II was started in 2010 without obtaining EC, then how Industries are being operated without CETP?
10. Implementation Project of Sector 38 Phase II was started in 2010 where as CGWA have given clearance for water in Nov 2017. This permission was given on condition that that 80% of treated water would be reuse back for industrial purpose. Have you informed to CGWA about

Krishna

2737760/2023/Estt.Br

change of location of CETP to Residential sector 35 to CGWA because three-time recharging of total usage was mandatory, shifting of CETP would change that plan and defeat the purpose?

11. CGWA permission was granted for 2 years and expired in 2019 for sector 38 Phase II. Have you informed to CGWA that project is gone under violation?
12. Town and country planning department had raised objection on the land Acquisition for CETP in Residential Sector 35, Have you got NOC/ permission from Town and Country Planning?
13. Have you mentioned Change of land use status in Form B while applied for TOR/EC for this project of CETP in Residential Sector? Because, It is mandatory to mention in the form.
14. This Residential Sector-35 is part of planned development under **Sonipat-Kundli Multifunction Urban Complex Final Development plan 2021**. Construction of any polluted industry is said as non-conforming land use How you will make it comply?
15. **NGT Court Order: OA 346/2017 stated as " HSIIDC would not construct or establish CETP in Sector-35 tehsil; Rai, District Sonipat, Haryana without obtaining Environmental Clearance and complying with all the laws enforced"**. i.e Acts, Rules, notifications, processes, and procedure released by Center and state Government or departments for sustainable development. How will you comply all the laws enforced?
16. **HSPCB Notification on Classification of Industries: Under the provisions of the water (Prevention and Control of Pollution ACT) 1974 and the Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution ACT) 1981.**
Refer HSPCB office order: letter no. HSPCB/201/Policy/100 Dated 1st April 2012 and B-29012/ESS(CPA)/2015-16/8571 dated 07.03.2016 " for consent management and inventorization of industries under Red, Orange, Green, White Categories and sitting of industries shall be in conforming areas"
Whereas CETP (Common Effluent Treatment Plant) is classified as one of the most polluted industry falls into "Red" Category. The pollution index of Red category is highest i.e. 60. The red category industry is strictly prohibited in Residential Zone How you will comply it?
17. **Pl refer EIA/ EMP No. 21-1046/2007-IA.III, Dated June 20, 2008, for sector 38 Phase II HSIIDC have committed to adhering to Regulatory bodies, Norms, and policies of MOEF&CC and CBCB guidelines, National Capital Region Planning Board ACT1985, Environment protection Act 1986, EIA Notification 2006, The Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981, The Water (Prevention & Control of Pollution) Act, 1974.**
How can you bypass these laws in Residential sector 35 ?
18. **Town and country planning; Haryana had raised questions on Technical Grounds @ the time of acquisition of this land. District Town Planner, Sonipat in his letter No 6/06-97 dated 16-07-2013 opposes the Acquisition of Land in Residential Zone for establishment of CETP (Industrial Unit) considering this as "Non-Conforming Land use" Have any of your office never responded to DTP Town and country planning on this communication. How will you establish CETP without approval of Town and country Planning Department?**

Sanjwal

2737760/2023/Estt.Br

19. **Haryana Industrial policy 2010-11** (Notification 31st Dec 2010- No 49/100/2010-41b1 is against this Acquisition "under restrictive list of industries in residential areas" on non-conforming land zones. How you will comply it?
20. Refer Punjab Act 41 of 1963- The Punjab Scheduled Roads and Controlled Areas Restriction of Unregulated development ACT 1963 is against Industrial use of land in Residential Planned area. How you change the ACT because you are not complying?
21. NCR Planning Board ACT 1985- under section no 29.1 On Violation of Regional Plan (stated as "...no development shall be made in the region which is inconsistent with the Regional Plan as final published... How you will comply it?
22. **NCR Planning Board Regional Land Use Plan 2021**: The National Capital Region Plan Act, 1985 is a central legislation and the entire matter pertains to violation of NCR Planning Board ACT 1985 and policies. This institution, under **Chapter 17.1.2 Legal Status of Regional Land Use**: quoted one of the Allahabad High court Judgment dated 18.12.1998. Petition No.13899 of 1998, States as
- "... land uses cannot be changed except with the tacit permission and scrutiny of the National Capital Region Planning Board. Development of industry of land reserved for conforming uses.... within the area eclipsed by the National Capital Region, is prohibited. Whatever development is permissible must be strictly monitored under the National Capital Region Plan Act, 1985 by the **authorities named and constituted**. How you will comply It?
23. Town and country planning Haryana- Notification No CCP (NCR) SCA /2003/1917 2nd Sept. 2003 under section 5 (7) of Punjab Act no 41 of 1963 (SCHEDULED ROADS AND CONTROLLED AREAS RESTRICTION OF UNREGULATED DEVELOPMENT) defined Residential, Industrial, Commercial areas. "**no further expansion shall be allowed within the area of the non-conforming uses**. Adhering to this Notification, all industries have been already removed from residential sector 35. How you will comply it?
24. **Chief Secretary Haryana thro Letter no 8DP-88/12105-12705 dated 21st November 1980**-on Selection of sites and acquisition of land by Government Department within controlled areas. This letter was released under the provision of PB ACT 41 of 1963 to **stop the haphazardious growth in planned areas. According to this letter permission from Town and Country planning was mandatory before acquisition. How you can disobey this? Explain**
25. **Haryana Govt Gaz Notification no 4641, April 16, 1999**. HSIIDC authorities are not authorized for acquisition of land in residential controlled areas for **any inconsistent Development**. Under the provisions of the Punjab Act 41 of 1963, The powers to MD of HSIIDC have conferred under section 3,6,7,8,9,12,14 and 16, only for Industrial Areas. This acquisition without formal approval of DG Town and Country Planning is violation of PB ACT 41 of 1963 is self-explanatory. How you will justify acquisition It?
26. HSIIDC was very much aware that they wouldn't get approval from Town and Country Planning for construction of CETP in residential sector, despite of this fact they acquired

Rishwal

2737760/2023/Estt.Br

- land for their vested interest to escape from the responsibilities of treating water because it was in their vested interest. It was very easy to drain the water by bypassing the system.
27. Haryana Govt. Notification- Policy-2015 notified vide No. 49/43/2015 - 4IB1 dated 14th August 2015, the Governor of Haryana notify the "**Restrictive List of Industries**" stated as the State would not encourage the following categories of Industries for reasons of **pollution or water scarcity or planning perspective**: "Industrial units involving trade effluents and air emissions setup within or operating from the residential areas. How you will justify and comply it?"
 28. MOEF OM F. No-22-76/2014-IA-III Dated 7th Oct 2014 Status of Land Acquisition w.r.t project site. According to this OM, possession is not necessary for apply for environment Clearance. On 19 November 2017, force was applied to destroy the crops on this site. HSIIDC informed publicly to media that they have got NGT clearance for this site and work would be started at this site. Your statement proved false. Police investigation submitted to PMO by DC Sonipat. DSP Sonipat office recommended to pursue the matter with NGT. why not case to be reopen at NGT for disrespecting of court and spreading rumors?
 29. As per police Investigation Report, we are planning to pursue the matter with Court because, number of times HSIIDC given false statements and false affidavit in NGT saying that you have already applied for EC. How would you justify in the court now?
 30. How this shifting of CETP would be beneficial for residents of Sector 35? pl justify
 31. **Why the case not to be reopen in NGT for misleading and false statements, Hiding the facts from Punjab and Haryana High court. Hiding the facts from CM office, SEIAA, HSPCB and EAC for violations and developing Industrial estates in Sector 38 Phase II, Saha Phase II, Kundli 53-56 and Phase IV, Rewari- Bahwal Phase III, IV, V without obtaining EC, damaging natural resources?**
 32. Plz refer OM: F. No 22-21/2020-IA.III Dated 7th July 2021, Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) for identification and handling of Violation cases under EIA Notification 2006 in compliance to order of Hon'ble National Green Tribunal in O.A. No 34/2020 WZ regarding
 33. **Mandatory Green belts around Theis proposed CETP in Residential Sector** : - Because this site is surrounded by residential Zones so development of Green/ buffer zone is mandatory: - In South of this land, along with KMP 100-meter green belt is standard as per plan. In North of this land across the road residential sector already developed 200-meter green including road is considered. In East and West being residential zone, if we calculate each side 200 meters green belt to safeguard of the developed residential zone, due to odor problem, **then there is no space left for provision of CETP. Because the land size is E-W length 400 meters and N-S breadth is only 240 meters approx.. entire area will be consumed in green belt and buffer zone.**
- Note: it is necessary to mention the minimum 400-500 green belt/ buffer zone is mandatory for polluted unit /TDSF / CETP**

All the above points indicates that it's Non feasible on technical ground and no use to waste time. Pl give point wise justification/ explanation/ compliance

Kanshoni

Suggestions: -

1. You have an alternative site 6.92 Acre land approved by CTP near KMP Expressway. refer letter No HSIIDC/IA/R-787 Dated 04-01-2019. The details of the land mentioned in that letter and all carrier lines are already connecting to that site.
2. You have to do reverse calculation of water consumption in Industries.
3. You are not doing recycling and reuse of water in Industries as per plan submitted to CGWA and MOEF&CC for Sector 38 Phase II. You can reduce the water consumption by doing this.
4. You have to monitor and control of water supply to industries. Apart from the HSIIDC supply of water, every industry might have their own local source of extracting of ground water increasing consumption and increasing load on CETP.
5. optimization and of usage and rationing of water is mandatory for Industries should not be used as luxury.
6. If your treatment of water is up to the specified level, you can supply the water to Agriculture sectors close to Yamuna River from where you are extracting fresh water
7. You can supply the treated water to HUDA in Rajiv Gandhi Education City for horticulture through pipeline as it is closed to Industrial sector. There is huge scope as thousands of acres green belts park and other purpose.
8. You were supposed to de-silt the existing ponds of Jatheri, Liwan, Badmalik, Rai village. Local water of these villages could've been accommodated in ponds. but you never did it. Aware Villagers to waste less water to decrease the load and stop wastage of water.
9. You had committed to CGWA that 40 pits in open spaces and 613 in Industries, have you checked how many are functioning. That can take load of rain water.
10. Stop fresh water for construction work of industries and use recycled water.
11. You can supply water to Ch Devi Lal Park and other park nearby.

Thanking you,

Sincerely Yours.

Sukhpal Singh (R/o of Village Akbar Pur Barota, Distt. Sonipat)

A9 G, Delhi Police Apartment, Mayur Vihar, Phase I, Delhi 110091, :

Mobile +91 9560166609, Email : sukhpal_s@hotmail.com

2737760/2023/Estt.Br



Diary No. **AGERWA/01/02-2023**
February 1, 2023

To
The Deputy Commissioner
Sonipat, Haryana

Respected Sir,

Sub: Objection through public hearing scheduled on 03-02-2023 on "Shifting of CETP in residential Sector-35, Sonipat"

The AGERWA (Ansal Green Escape Residential Welfare Association), sector 35, Sonipat, hereby strongly submitting objections for shifting of CETP (Common Effluent Treatment Plant) in residential area of sector 35 in Sonipat.

1. CETP is the industrial unit for processing and segregation of slug/solid Industrial waste from the industrial water. The pollution that would arise from this polluted unit would put the life in danger of the residents because entire process generates gases and odor in open large tanks.
2. CETP is one of the most polluted industries as per the categorization of Industries by Central Pollution Control Board in "Red" category and any of the polluted unit can't be part of newly developed planned residential zone.
3. There is no industry in residential sector 35 and people living peacefully.
4. People already shifted here from Delhi and around for cleaner environment. At this stage by mixing the sector with industrial polluted unit, we are feeling cheated. We had been told by developer that the sector has been developed with compliance of Sonipat-Kindly Multifunction Urban Complex Final Development plan 2021
5. This proposed site is hardly 40 meters away from our housing society across the road.
6. This CETP should be shifted to the designated place in industrial estate or with utility sector planned by town and country planning department in Final Development plan of 2021

We hope that HSIIDC department would look into this matter seriously and would avoid setting up the CETP in front of the residential area.

Looking forward to your kind co-operation.

With regards
For Ansal Green Escape Residents Welfare Association

Kushal Roy
Gen. Secretary

2737760/2023/Estt.Br

To

The Deputy Commissioner,

Sonipat- 131001, Haryana.

Subject: Clarification/ objections on shifting of CETP in Planned Residential Sector-35, sought from Project Proponent -HSI IDC. Through Public Hearing conducted by HSPCB, 3RD Feb 23

Dear Sir,

Notification No- HSPCB/SR/2023/5257 dated 23.01.23 for public hearing have no relevance because sector-35 is residential in accordance with Sonipat-kundli Multifunctional urban complex final development plan 2021. Also please refer revised Notification of Town and Country planning: Ref Memo No: CCP(NCR)/F.NO 754(VI)/2022/7/26/2022-2TCP Dated 22.11.22 referring to CS Memo no 8DP-88/12105-12705 dated 14/21.11.1980

1. If sector 35 is Industrial as per HSPCB/HSI IDC PH notice, then why the public hearing is conducted in residential sector 35 by HSPCB/ Project Proponent?
2. Project proponent informed that they have obtained NOC/ Change of Land use from Town and country planning, then why the project has not been started the work?
3. If the work of project proponent is suffering and getting delayed and obtained have all Clearance as told to media on 19 December 2017 and If the Hon'ble P&H High Court and NGT had given the judgement in favor of HSI IDC, then why project proponent is not approaching Hon'ble Court for relief?
4. CETP for Industrial sector Phase- I is already working as per plan given to MOEF&CC, the water is been drained in canal no 6 through carrier line. Then why Project Proponent want to establish another CETP in residential sector 35? Despite of that you have alternative land available in Industrial sector as per records of HSI IDC.
5. This land in Residential sector-35 falls with boundary lines of approx. 4.5 Km of Delhi i.e. (Safiyabad bad Boarder). Why Project Proponent hide this fact and informed to SEIAA the UP boarder at Distance of 12 KM? HSI IDC intentionally did this to escape from applying in General Category if mentioned 4.5 KM, the project went to category A and then to EAC/ MOEF&CC for appraisal. Fact is that doesn't suit to HSI IDC?
6. HSI IDC is misinterpreting the things, if CETP is Public Utility, then there are separate provisions for Public Utility Sectors Development plan 2021 in sect 26A and near sector 69-70 drain no 8. then why don't HSI IDC shift the CETP there?
7. Project Proponent Inform to SEIAA that this land is @ distance of 1.2 Km from Village Akbar Pur Barota, whereas the Village is already expended close to this land [proposed for CETP, only KMP is in between? on another side of this Land thousands of people are already residing @ distance of 30-40 Meter across the MDR 138- State

2737760/2023/Estt.Br

Highway In planned housing societies of Tulip Grand, ANSAL API and Metro View Apartments

It is requested to competent authorities to investigate the matter on technical non-feasibility ground and takes strict action on violations of Acts, Rules, Regulations, Process and procedures. The basic reason appears to grab the land and not to establish CETP because the due procedure was not followed in Acquisition of land for unplanned development.

Thanks, and Regards,

Name: Ran Pal Singh

Sign : Ranpal Singh

Village: Akbarpur Bardi

Max Height Metro View Apartment RWA

Regd No 1606

The Deputy Commissioner,
Sonipat.

03/02/2023

Subject: Objections on shifting of CETP- Industrial polluted unit in residential Sector- 35

Dear Sir,

RWA, metro View apartments, Sector 35 raising our objections thro Public Hearing held on 03.02.2023 for shifting the CETP in already developed Residential Zone.

1. we have spent our hard-earned money to live in clean environment and most of the families have been shifted from Delhi.
2. This kind of unplanned activities by HSIIDC in Planned sector is violation of certain ACTs, Rules, process and procedures.
3. It may lose the credibility of the institutions like Town and Country planning Haryana and NCR Planning Board approved this plan of **Sonipat-Kundly Multifunction Urban Complex Final Development plan 2021**
4. As per the above said plan sufficient land has been allocated for Industrial use in Industrial sectors and CETP is part of that. Then why there is intervention of the life of people for polluting the environment in residential zone?
5. There is no Industry in the residential sector 35 because it is developed in accordance with the above Development plan.
6. It will waste water and may cause of crisis for ground water in the area because government is emphasizing on recycle and reuse of water and promoting rain harvesting to save natural resources for future generations.
7. Water from Industrial sector 38 is being drain in canal through underground pipes, then why this CETP is being shifted in resident Zone to disturb the life of people.

8. We request for your kind intervention to revert this highly polluted proposed CETP unit back to industrial sector of 38 Phase II.

Thanking You,

Sincerely yours,



PRISIDENT

RWA (Metro View Apartments sector 35)

9811420777

Ref. No.

Date

Ref: TGRWA/05/2023

Dated: 28.01.2023

To

The Deputy Commissioner,

Sonipat,

Subject: Objections on shifting of CETP in residential Sector- 35

Dear Sir,

We, the resident of the Tulip Grand, Sector 35 are strongly submitting our objections through this public hearing conducted on site on 03.02.2023 by HSPCB/ HSIIDC.

1. This site falls Infront of the door of the society across the road. In our housing society more than 600 families have been shifted from Delhi and around for pollution free environment and expansion of Housing Society is still in progress.
2. We had been told by the developer that the Housing Society has been developed with compliance of **Sonipat-Kindly Multifunction Urban Complex Final Development plan 2021**. Its violation development plan and other laws enforced.
3. Our society residents had approached to NGT court in 2017 under case no 346 against establishment of CETP. Later it has been noticed that HSIIDC given false inputs to NGT court that HSIIDC have already applied for Environment Clearance. this is very serious offence. When thousands of people have been settled, shifting of CETP in residential area is violation of Government Acts, rules, processes and disrespect of court.
4. A protest was held on 19 November 2017 by land owners on this site when HSIIDC Team was deployed to damage the crops. The statement of HSIIDC was published in news papers that HSIIDC have got Environment Clearance. The question arises when the EC was granted then why Public Hearing being conducting again?
5. When there is crisis of water globally, there is no relevance of shifting of CETP just because they want to drop water in canal. The water is already being drained by HSIIDC from Industrial sector 38 Phase 1 through pipe line.

6. In Final Development plan of 2021, Separate sectors have been earmarked as utility sectors. Although CETP is part of Industrial sector. Still if required additional land, This CETP can also be shifted to utility sectors.

7. Rai block is already in crisis of water and falls under over Exploited of water zone.

Even Hon'ble CM and PM are campaigning for saving natural resources like water.

We hope that HSIIDC would be adhered to long term and sustainable Development in accordance with Final Development plan of 2021.

Thanking You,

Sincerely Yours,
For Tulip Grand Residents Welfare Association


President

Tulip Grand, Sector 35, Sonipat

Signatures of Residents

1. PRAVEEN SPTA C-2/12 - Mirmas - C-1/206
2. DEEPA RAM choudhary 3/2-502 13. Sunabhu B1302
3. STUTI 15. Scoul B2=1001
4. Narinder B/3/403 Narinder 17. Sanjeev SHOP - 14-11/15
5. Harish B3/1101 Hira 18. Uday C-2/205
6. Geta B2/506 Renuka 19. Uday A2-1102
7. Parkishu A4/803 20. B2/406
8. Padup B2/1201 21. B3-304
9. Rubin Kaur Sh 6 22. Neelam - B2/804
10. Toti Rao B2104 23. Meha Pahwa C1/204
11. Manish Bams B1-404 24. RAVI SHARMA A3/1201
25. Pankaj Bams D1/306
- 26.

Mohit Jain A-2/1102
 Manish Tandon A-1/702
 Deepak Raj D-2/1003
 Anveta Datta B1/503
 Ashutosh B3/1005
 Manoj Kumar B-1/1404
 Dishita Srivastava B3-905
 Yanika B3-306
 Poojyeshi B3-306
 Ashutosh A1-401
 USLA B3/801 341
 Beenu Kazi - C2-702
 Mohide - A2-902
 Varun - C2-704
 A1-601 - Amandeep
 A3-502 - Sahil
 A3-604 - Rahul
 B1-405 - Navan
 B3-803 - Shuchi
 B1-904 - Anshu
 A3-503 Sanjay
 B2-1202 Anish
 A1-903 Rishi

Jaisy C2/401
 Anil A2/103
 Ashu Kumar A2/104
 Ganu A3/1402
 Manju C2/904
 Rishu Kumar C2/904
 AMIT SINGH B3/905
 Vikander A3/602
 KAMLESH A2/603
 Anshu A3/904
 MAMTA A2/604
 SUNIL SAROJA B-2/101 ~~Shuk~~
 Anshu
 Sanyal B2/803 814
 Anshu C2/606
 Poojyeshi A1/101 Poojyeshi
 Anshu B1-1201
 Prehav B2/901 Anshu
 Chander C1/104 Anshu
 Manjeet C2/101 Anshu
 Anshu C2-106 Anshu

D1-805

Sham

A-4 703

Handey

D1-806

Sanjay

D1-506

Madhuvi

A2-602

Manu

B1/301

Bardey

B1/406

Sanjay

A4/702

Sushil

A4/701

Sanjeev

A4/202

Sanjay

2/604

Manita

C2/404

Narindra Chopra

C2/504

Chopra

C1/803

Chopra

A2/303

Ash (ASHA ANAZI)

C-1/604

~~Handey~~

B-3/404

~~Handey~~

A4/203

Handey (Balinder Choudhary)

B-1/301

Arun

A3/502

Khairati Lal Chhabra

B3/1202

Asham

To
Deputy Commissioner
Sonipat,

Through Public Hearing by HSPCB, 3RD February 2023

Subject: Technical objections on shifting of CETP in Planned Residential Sector-35.
Clarification sought from Project Proponent -HSI IDC

Dear Sir,

Under the notification No- HSPCB/SR/2023/5257 dated 23.01.23 under notice for public hearing: sector 35 is mentioned as Industrial estate whereas as per Sonipat-kundli Multifunctional urban complex final development plan 2021, sector 35 is residential sector?

1. If sector 35 is Industrial as per HSPCB/HSI IDC as per hearing notice, then how it has been developed at residential? Because thousands of people are already living here?
2. If it is industrial, then why public hearing is being conducted because PH is already exempted for projects under notify industrial zones?
3. CETP is integrated part of industrial estates as per Haryana sub regional plan 2021.
4. This proposed CETP is part of sector 38 Phase II, Public hearing was conducted on 07.11.2013 by HSPCB. Then why this PH is being conducted for the same project?
5. HSI IDC had informed to NGT court in affidavit that they have already applied for EC for this site then why again this public hearing is being conduct?
6. On 19 December 2017, when HSI IDC damaged the crops, they informed to media they have got clearance for construction, then why this complete exercise is being done?
7. Have HSI IDC informed to environment department for shifting of CETP?
8. CETP is one of the highest industrial polluted units with pollution index 60. Land was acquired in 2012. Have HSI IDC taken NOC/ Change of Land use certificate from Town and country planning, Haryana. Because change of land use status is mandatory to mention in base line data of form -1 for obtaining TOR from SEIAA/ MOEF&CC.
9. Maximum part of Sector 38 Phase II is already developed in last 13 years then how it's being operated without CETP?
10. Shifting of CETP in residential sector 35 is a violation of The Air (prevention and control of pollution) act 1981. What would be value addition in the life of the people in residential sector, 60 meter away from this proposed site for shifting of CETP?
11. CPCB/HSPCB have already closed all the industrial units in this residential sector 35. Have HSI IDC checked Feasibility with CPCB/ HSPCB for this polluted unit?

We request to all competent authorities to investigate the matter on technical ground and take strict action on hiding the facts, misleading to all institutions on this unscientific approach.

Suggestions: 1. CETP is part of sector 38 and should be there to minimize the operational cost and resource optimization.

If HSI IDC needs additional space then Separate utility sectors like 26A and close to sector 70 near drain no 8. They can be allocated space in those sectors.

Thanks,

Surender Kumar Kushwaha, Village Akbar Pur Barota, Mob:9971350444

सेवा में

उपायुक्त

सोनीपत (हरियाणा)

एचएसपीसीबी द्वारा जन सुनवाई के माध्यम से, 3 फरवरी 2023

विषय: नियोजित आवासीय सेक्टर-35 में सीईटीपी के स्थानांतरण पर तकनीकी आपत्तियां। परियोजना प्रस्तावक-

एचएसआईआईडीसी से स्पष्टीकरण मांगा गया

प्रिय महोदय,

अधिसूचना क्रमांक- एचएसपीसीबी/एसआर/2023/5257 दिनांक 23.01.23 के तहत जनसुनवाई हेतु नोटिस के तहत: सेक्टर 35 को औद्योगिक एस्टेट के रूप में वर्णित किया गया है जबकि सोनीपत-कुंडली मल्टीफंक्शनल अर्बन कॉम्प्लेक्स फाइनल डेवलपमेंट प्लान 2021 के अनुसार, सेक्टर 35 आवासीय सेक्टर है?

1. यदि जन सुनवाई नोटिस के अनुसार एचएसपीसीबी/एचएसआईआईडीसी के अनुसार सेक्टर 35 औद्योगिक है, तो इसे आवासीय क्षेत्र में कैसे विकसित किया गया है? क्योंकि यहां पहले से ही हजारों लोग रह रहे हैं?
2. यदि यह औद्योगिक है, तो जन सुनवाई क्यों की जा रही है क्योंकि पीएच को अधिसूचित औद्योगिक क्षेत्रों के तहत परियोजनाओं के लिए पहले से ही छूट दी गई है?
3. हरियाणा उप क्षेत्रीय योजना 2021 के अनुसार CETP औद्योगिक सम्पदा का एकीकृत हिस्सा है।
4. यह प्रस्तावित सीईटीपी सेक्टर 38 फेस II का हिस्सा है, सार्वजनिक सुनवाई 07.11.2013 को एचएसपीसीबी द्वारा आयोजित की गई थी। फिर यह जन सुनवाई उसी परियोजना के लिए क्यों की जा रही है?
5. एचएसआईआईडीसी ने एनजीटी कोर्ट को हलफनामे में सूचित किया था कि उन्होंने इस साइट के लिए पर्यावरण मंजूरी के लिए पहले ही आवेदन कर दिया है फिर यह जन सुनवाई क्यों की जा रही है?
6. 9 दिसंबर 2017 को जब HSIIDC ने फसलों को नुकसान पहुंचाया तो उन्होंने मीडिया को बताया कि उन्हें निर्माण की मंजूरी मिल गई है, फिर यह पूरी कवायद क्यों की जा रही है?
7. क्या एचएसआईआईडीसी ने सीईटीपी को स्थानांतरित करने के लिए पर्यावरण विभाग को सूचित किया है?
8. CETP प्रदूषण सूचकांक 60 के साथ उच्चतम औद्योगिक प्रदूषित इकाइयों में से एक है। 2012 में भूमि का अधिग्रहण किया गया था। क्या HSIIDC ने टाउन एंड कंट्री प्लानिंग, हरियाणा से NOC / लैंड यूज चेंज सर्टिफिकेट लिया है? क्योंकि SEIAA/MOEF&CC से TOR प्राप्त करने के लिए फॉर्म -1 के बेस लाइन डेटा में भूमि उपयोग की स्थिति में परिवर्तन का उल्लेख करना अनिवार्य है।

9. पिछले 13 वर्षों में सेक्टर 38 फेज II का अधिकांश हिस्सा पहले से ही विकसित हो चुका है, फिर बिना सीईटीपी के इसे कैसे संचालित किया जा रहा है?

10. आवासीय सेक्टर 35 में सीईटीपी को शिफ्ट करना वायु (प्रदूषण निवारण एवं नियंत्रण) अधिनियम 1981 का उल्लंघन है। सीईटीपी को शिफ्ट करने के लिए इस प्रस्तावित स्थल से 60 मीटर दूर आवासीय सेक्टर में लोगों के जीवन में क्या वैल्यू एडिशन होगा ?

11. सीपीसीबी/एचएसपीसीबी ने इस आवासीय सेक्टर 35 की सभी औद्योगिक इकाइयों को पहले ही बंद कर दिया है।

क्या HSIIDC ने इस प्रदूषित इकाई के लिए CPCB/HSPCB के साथ व्यवहार्यता की जाँच की है?

हम सभी सक्षम अधिकारियों से तकनीकी आधार पर मामले की जांच करने और इस अवैज्ञानिक दृष्टिकोण पर सभी संस्थानों को गुमराह करने वाले तथ्यों को छिपाने पर कड़ी कार्रवाई करने का अनुरोध करते हैं।

सुझाव: 1. सीईटीपी सेक्टर 38 का हिस्सा है और परिचालन लागत और संसाधन अनुकूलन को कम करने के लिए वहां होना चाहिए।

यदि HSIIDC को अतिरिक्त स्थान की आवश्यकता है, तो नाला संख्या 8 के पास 26A और सेक्टर 70 जैसे अलग-अलग यूटिलिटी सेक्टर हैं। उन्हें उन क्षेत्रों में स्थान आवंटित किया जा सकता है।

धन्यवाद,

सुरेंद्र कुमार कुशवाहा, ग्राम अकबरपुर बरोटा,

मो: 9971350444

