

12 of 2022

M/s Fancy Home Products Vs. HSPCB

Present: Shri Divyam Singh, Advocate counsel for appellant
Shri Ramesh Chahal, Advocate for the respondent alongwith Shri Ajay Malik, AEE

Arguments heard in part. Learned counsel for the appellant submit that before refusal of CTO applied by the appellant no show cause notice was issued or served on the appellant. Learned counsel for the respondent submit that the show cause notice was issued on the portal, however this fact is neither mentioned in the reply, nor the copy of the show cause notice has been placed on file.

Learned counsel for the respondent seek time to place on record the copy of the show cause notice and also place on file the policy of the board with regard to the operation of units similar to the appellant in State of Haryana and status of compliance of the policy issued by the respondent board.

List on 19.01.2023.

Dated 15.12.2022


Appellate Authority